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## Religion and the 2004 Election: A Pre-election Analysis

Much has been said in recent years about the growing identification of religious conservatives - especially Evangelical Protestants - with the Republican Party and the corresponding affinity of a growing number of Mainline Protestants, minorities and secularists with the Democratic Party. A new survey, which gauges the political attitudes in 18 distinct American religious communities on a wide variety of issues, confirms those trends. But the survey also shows that the connections between religious beliefs and politics are far more complex than commonly assumed.

The Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, which was conducted in the spring of 2004, pays special attention to the diversity of opinion within the nation's three major religious traditions - Evangelical Christians, Mainline Protestants and white Roman Catholics - by comparing the views of traditionalists, centrists and modernists within each group. The results show that religious traditionalists, whether Evangelical, Mainline Protestant or Catholic, hold similar positions on issue after issue, and that modernists of these various traditions are similarly like-minded. The divisions between traditionalists and modernists are strongest on social issues such as abortion, school vouchers and gay marriage, but large majorities of both groups agree on many other issues, including the need for anti-poverty programs, strong environmental protection and gay rights.

The survey, co-sponsored by the Pew Forum on Religion \& Public Life, was conducted by John C. Green, a political scientist at the University of Akron who has conducted similar polls in connection with the last three presidential elections. Green, who is director of the university's Ray C. Bliss Institute of Applied Politics, is considered one of the nation's foremost experts on the influence of religion on American politics. An explanation of the survey methodology can be found at the end of this report.

Other highlights of the survey include the following:

- Most Americans want politicians to address issues of faith, but they are sharply divided over whether religious groups should become directly involved in politics.
- A clear majority supports embryonic stem cell research, but religious groups are more divided on the issue of abortion. Overall, only a slim majority favors a woman's right to terminate her pregnancy in most circumstances.
- A solid majority supports traditional marriage over civil unions or same-sex marriage. At the same time, an even larger majority of those surveyed favor equal rights for gay people.
- On economic issues, Americans have little appetite for reducing government spending, but they narrowly approve of large tax cuts. But interestingly, a substantial majority would support tax increases to pay for anti-poverty programs. Almost two-thirds of Americans voice skepticism about free trade, and a large majority favors strong environmental regulation.
- The events of recent years have not led to increased support for isolationism in international affairs. Indeed, the number of Americans who believe this country should avoid foreign entanglements has dropped 7 percentage points since 2000. What's more, nearly three-fourths of voters believe the U.S. should cooperate with international organizations rather than taking the lead in keeping peace. However, a sizeable majority ( $62 \%$ ) also supports the concept of preemptive war.


## Party Loyalties

At first glance, the standing of Republicans and Democrats does not appear to have changed much since 1992. Twelve years ago, Democrats held a modest $41 \%$-to- $37 \%$ edge, and today the margin is an almost identical $42 \%$-to- $38 \%$ in their favor. (Table 3) But beneath the surface, there has been a steady realignment of religious constituencies. The change is most marked among Evangelical Protestants. In 1992, this group, which represents about one-fourth of the U.S. population, favored Republicans 48\%-to-32\%. But it now leans Republican 56\%-to-27\%. Republicans also are approaching parity with the Democrats among Catholics, who once were a heavily Democratic constituency. The Democratic margin has shrunk from 43\%-to-38\% in 1992 to $44 \%$-to- $41 \%$ today.

Democrats, on the other hand, have made inroads among Mainline Protestants, who once were a core Republican constituency. In 1992, Democrats trailed Republicans 32\%-to-50\% among this group, but today the margin has narrowed to $39 \%$-to- $44 \%$. (Table 3) Democrats also have widened their support among Latino Catholics, who now favor Democrats by a $61 \%$-to- $15 \%$ margin; among Jewish voters, who support Democrats $68 \%$-to- $21 \%$; and among a diverse group of religiously unaffiliated voters, who lean Democratic 43\%-to-27\%.

The realignment among major religious constituencies has been paralleled by realignment within each of the three major religious traditions. Today, Evangelical Protestants, Mainline Protestants and Catholics each have three distinct factions: traditionalists, who are characterized by a high level of orthodox belief, attend church very regularly and are eager to preserve traditional beliefs and practices in a changing world; modernists, who subscribe to heterodox beliefs, attend church less frequently and are eager to adopt modern beliefs and adapt practices to changing conditions; and centrists, who fall between those two groups.

Traditionalists are far more likely to say their religious beliefs shape their political thinking than are modernists: fully $81 \%$ of traditionalist Evangelicals express this view, for instance, compared to just $12 \%$ of modernist Catholics and $15 \%$ of modernist Mainline Protestants. (Table 6) Overall, $39 \%$ of Americans say religion is important in shaping their political thinking, $24 \%$ say it is somewhat important and $37 \%$ say it is unimportant.

Some $68 \%$ of Americans say it is important to have a president with strong religious beliefs. (Table 4) And only $37 \%$ say they get uncomfortable when candidates discuss their faith.

In light of these patterns, it should come as no surprise that both President Bush and his Democratic rival, Senator John Kerry, are reaching out to religious voters. Both candidates might be walking a fine line, however, if they seek the active involvement of organized religious groups in promoting their candidates: some $47 \%$ of Americans, including majorities of all modernist groups, believe organized religious groups should stay out of politics. (Table 5)

## Liberal or Conservative?

Overall, some $35 \%$ of Americans classify themselves as "conservative," compared to $22 \%$ who say they are "liberal" (the remaining 43\% call themselves "moderates"). (Table 27) That represents a three percentage point increase in the number of conservatives since 1992, which, in turn, reflects a 13-point jump in the number of Evangelical Protestants who consider themselves conservative. (Table 29)

But the increase in the number of self-identified conservatives is not always mirrored in public attitudes toward specific policies. For instance, only $26 \%$ believe government spending should be reduced, compared to $40 \%$ who would hold it at current levels and $34 \%$ who advocate more spending. (Table 9)

Likewise, the margin in favor of tax cuts is a narrow $48 \%$-to- $45 \%$. (Table 9) Traditionalist Evangelicals and traditionalist Catholics are most likely to take the conservative position and support tax cuts, while modernists of all three major White Christian traditions (as well as atheists and agnostics) are more likely to oppose them. Surprisingly, Latino Protestants and, to a lesser extent, Latino Catholics and Black Protestants tend to side with those favoring tax cuts, even though big majorities of all three strongly oppose cuts in government spending.

Support for tax cuts doesn't mean voters want less government in all cases. By a $55 \%$-to- $27 \%$ margin, for example, voters favor strong regulation to protect the environment, with strong majorities in every group except Black Protestants, who are evenly divided on the issue. (Table 10)

While Americans don't appear to be calling for a dramatic change in current tax or spending policies, they do appear disenchanted with one long-standing pillar of economic policy - free trade. By a margin of $53 \%$-to- $30 \%$, they disagree with the statement, "Free trade is good for the economy even if it means the loss of some U.S. jobs." (Table 10) The issue appeared to divide Evangelical traditionalists and modernists, but opposition was fairly uniform in the other
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major traditions. The strongest support for free trade came from Jews (48\%-to-33\%) and from atheists and agnostics ( $44 \%$-to- $42 \%$ ).

## Abortion, Stem Cells and Gay Marriage

Culture wars divide virtually every religious group in America, but not every issue is a battleground. While there continue to be deep divisions over abortion, for instance, there is a fairly broad consensus that embryonic stem cell research should not be prohibited. And while disagreements over same-sex marriage or civil unions are substantial, there is widespread support for gay rights.

While "pro-choice" advocates (those who would impose, at most, just "some limits") outnumber "pro-life" advocates (people who believe abortion should always be illegal or that there should be "many limits") by a narrow $52 \%$-to- $48 \%$ margin, there has been an eight-point gain for pro-life positions since 1992. (Tables 20, 21) Behind those figures lies a sharp division between traditionalists and modernists in each of the three major Christian traditions. Among Evangelical Protestants, for instance, traditionalists are overwhelmingly pro-life ( $84 \%$-to$16 \%$ ), while modernists favor the pro-choice position ( $63 \%$-to- $37 \%$ ). (Table 20) A split also occurs among traditionalist and modernist Catholics and Mainline Protestants, although Catholics as a whole are more "pro-choice" than Evangelical Protestants, and Mainline Protestants are more "pro-choice" still. At the same time, strong majorities of Latino Protestants, Latino Catholics and Black Protestants favor the "pro-life" positions. NonChristians and people without formal religious affiliations tend to be "pro-choice."

The sharp divisions over abortion do not extend to embryonic stem cell research. On this issue, a solid majority - $51 \%$-to- $32 \%$ - opposes a ban. (Table 22) While traditionalists are more likely than modernists to favor a ban, groups are far less polarized on this issue than on abortion. And majorities of only two of the 18 groups studied favor a ban: traditionalist Evangelicals and traditionalist Catholics.

Just as voters see abortion and embryonic stem cell research differently, they also make distinctions between non-traditional marriage and gay rights. Overall, voters split 55\%-to-45\% in favor of the proposition that marriage should only be allowed between men and women. But people agree with the statement "Homosexuals should have the same rights as other Americans" by a $57 \%$-to- $28 \%$ margin. (Table 23)

The strongest advocates of traditional marriage are traditionalist Evangelicals, followed closely by other Christians, Black Protestants and Latino Protestants. The biggest supporters of samesex marriage are atheists and agnostics, Jews, modernist Catholics, modernist Mainline Protestants and modernist Evangelical Protestants. Interestingly, granting full marriage rights to homosexuals has more adherents than only allowing civil unions, even though the latter often is seen as a compromise position: overall, $27 \%$ of survey respondents said they would accept same-sex marriage, compared to just $18 \%$ who favored civil unions. (Table 23)

Majorities of most groups support gay rights, including centrist and modernist Evangelicals, centrist and modernist Mainline Protestants, traditionalist, centrist and modernist Catholics as
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well as Jews, Latino Catholics, people of other faiths, unaffiliated believers, secular people and atheists and agnostics.

The greatest opposition to gay rights comes from traditionalist Evangelicals, who oppose it by a $50 \%$-to- $36 \%$ margin. (Table 23) Support among Evangelical Protestants as a whole has grown by 10 percentage points since 1992, from $35 \%$ to $45 \%$. (Table 24)

A plurality of Black Protestants ( $44 \%-$ to- $40 \%$ ) opposes gay rights, a major shift in attitudes from even four years ago, when $56 \%$ supported equal rights for homosexuals. (Tables 23, 24) The dramatic change may be surprising to some, given African-Americans' historic focus on civil rights as well as their general commitment to the Democratic Party. But many Black Americans are social conservatives and the recent controversy over same-sex marriage may have reduced their support for gay rights.

## Social Welfare Issues

Religious communities are less divided on social welfare issues. A majority - $50 \%$-to- $35 \%$ believes the government should do more to fight hunger and poverty, even if that would require increasing taxes on the middle class. (Table 12) Traditionalist Evangelicals are the only group in which a plurality opposes this proposition, and even among them the margin is a narrow $45 \%$-to- $40 \%$. What's more, the idea of taxing the wealthy, rather than the middle class, wins overwhelming support from every religious group. Even traditionalist Evangelicals back it $55 \%$-to- $25 \%$. Overall, nearly two-thirds of all Americans approve the concept. (Table 12)

Issues like abortion are more closely contested than social welfare issues in part because of the shifting allegiances of minorities. Latinos and Blacks tend to take the "conservative" view on life and family matters, but they are on the "liberal" side on questions of social welfare.

Overall, Americans disapprove of school vouchers by a $45 \%$-to- $39 \%$ margin. (Table 25) Traditionalist Evangelicals and traditionalist Catholics favor them, while modernist Evangelical Protestants, modernist Catholics, centrist and modernist Mainline Protestants, Jews, seculars, atheists and agnostics oppose them. But minorities were split on the issue of school vouchers. Latinos generally favor vouchers - Latino Protestants by a $51 \%$-to- $31 \%$ margin and Latino Catholics by an even larger $58 \%$-to- $22 \%$ margin. (Table 25) But Black Protestants are more ambivalent, splitting 43\%-to-40\% against vouchers.

Overall, $50 \%$ of Americans approve of providing public funds to faith-based groups, while $34 \%$ object. (Table 25) Latino and Black Protestants are particularly supportive, by margins of $62 \%$-to- $27 \%$ and $61 \%$-to- $23 \%$ respectively. Only modernist Mainline Protestants, nonChristians, secularists and atheists and agnostics disapprove. And posting the Ten Commandments in public places wins even wider support: $66 \%$ approve and $20 \%$ disapprove, with only non-Christians, secularists and atheists and agnostics objecting; interestingly, the margin among secularists is a narrow $44 \%$-to- $43 \%$ against. (Table 25)

## Views on Foreign Affairs

Since the $9 / 11$ terrorist attacks, the religious landscape has tilted away from isolationism. Some $48 \%$ now disagree with the statement, "The U.S. should mind its own business internationally and let other countries get along as best they can on their own." Only $37 \%$ agree with the statement. (Table 15) That represents a 7 percentage point shift away from a net isolationist position just four years earlier. (Table 16)

Americans would like to work with other nations, but not if that means risking their own security. By a strong $74 \%$-to- $26 \%$ margin, they favor cooperating with international organizations to keep the peace, (Table 15) while at the same time approving of President Bush's doctrine of preemptive war by the lopsided majority of $62 \%$ to $22 \%$. (Table 17) Every major religious group agrees with the preemptive war concept by a substantial margin, with the strongest support coming from traditionalist and centrist Evangelicals and traditionalist Mainline Protestants. Atheists and agnostics oppose the preemptive war doctrine by a margin of $45 \%-40 \%$. A majority of the entire sample ( $54 \%$ ) also believes the U.S. has a special role to play in the world. (Table 15) Within the two major Protestant traditions, traditionalists are more likely than modernists to believe in American exceptionalism, but there is no such rift among Catholics.

Asked about foreign policy priorities other than security, a plurality (48\%) emphasizes promoting human rights. (Table 19) Promoting economic development comes next at $29 \%$, followed by promoting democracy, which $23 \%$ endorse. And among possible humanitarian goals of Americans abroad, a majority ( $66 \%$ ) would give top priority to fighting AIDS. Unaffiliated believers, non-Christians, Black Protestants and Latinos were most likely to take this position, followed by atheists and agnostics, Jews, modernist Mainline Protestants, seculars and other Christians. Traditionalists in the three largest Christian traditions were less likely to give AIDS high priority.

Some 53\% of all Americans listed famine relief as a high humanitarian priority. (Table 19) The patterns of support were similar to those for fighting AIDS, but at a generally lower level. Finally, 28\% cited fighting religious persecution. On this issue, traditionalists scored higher than modernists in the three major Christian traditions.

A plurality (38\%) disagreed with the proposition that the U.S. should support Israel over the Palestinians, while a slightly smaller group (35\%) sided with the Jewish state. (Table 17) These numbers should not be read as an indicator of lack of overall support for Israel - a longtime U.S. ally - since the question posed dealt simply with the Palestinian issue and not broader issues, such as guaranteeing Israel's security.

## A Few Words on Methodology

The Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics collected information from 4,000 randomly selected adults in March, April and May of 2004. The margin of error is plus or minus $2 \%$. Among other things, the project showed that the American landscape is remarkably diverse, as Table 1 demonstrates.

To define the religious landscape, the first step was to categorize respondents according to their denominational affiliation. Latino Protestants and Catholics and Black Protestants were placed in separate categories, both because most congregations in America are ethnically or racially homogenous and because these groups are religiously and politically distinct.

A series of questions helped divide the three major religious communities into traditionalists, centrists and modernists. First, six belief measures (belief in God, belief in an afterlife, views of the Bible, the existence of the devil, evolution and the truth of all the world's religions) were combined into a single scale running from the most traditional to the most modern. Then, five measures of religious behavior (worship attendance, financial support of a congregation, private prayer, scripture reading and participation in small religious groups) and the salience of religion were combined in a single scale running from the lowest to the highest level of religious engagement.

Third, measures of religious identification were created for traditionalist and modernist religious movements. For Evangelical Protestants, traditionalists were those who claimed to be fundamentalist, Evangelical, Pentecostal or charismatic, and others who agreed on the need to preserve religious traditions. For Mainline Protestants, traditionalists were defined as those who claimed to be "traditional or conservative" or agreed on the need to preserve religious traditions. Modernists in all three major traditions were those who claimed to be liberal, progressive, ecumenical or mainline, and who agreed about the need to adapt religious beliefs and practices to the modern world.

The "unaffiliated" category, meanwhile, consists of three distinct groups: unaffiliated believers, who claim no religious affiliation but nonetheless reported a high level of religious belief; seculars, who claim no affiliation and reported only modest religious beliefs or practices; and atheists and agnostics, who respectively believe there is no God or who say they have no way of knowing about God.

Table 1. The Religious Landscape and Self-Identified Partisanship, Spring 2004

|  | Percent Population | Republican | Partisan |  | ratic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 100.0\% | 38\% | 20 | 42 | = 100\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 26.3 | 56\% | 17 | 27 |  |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 12.6 | 70\% | 10 | 20 |  |
| Centrist Evangelical | 10.8 | 47\% | 22 | 31 |  |
| Modernist Evangelical | 2.9 | 30\% | 26 | 44 |  |
| Mainline Protestant | 16.0 | 44\% | 18 | 38 |  |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 4.3 | 59\% | 10 | 31 |  |
| Centrist Mainline | 7.0 | 46\% | 21 | 33 |  |
| Modernist Mainline | 4.7 | 26\% | 20 | 54 |  |
| Latino Protestants | 2.8 | 37\% | 20 | 43 |  |
| Black Protestants | 9.6 | 11\% | 18 | 71 |  |
| Catholic | 17.5 | 41\% | 15 | 44 |  |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 4.4 | 57\% | 13 | 30 |  |
| Centrist Catholic | 8.1 | 34\% | 19 | 47 |  |
| Modernist Catholic | 5.0 | 38\% | 11 | 51 |  |
| Latino Catholic | 4.5 | 15\% | 24 | 61 |  |
| Other Christian | 2.7 | 42\% | 36 | 22 |  |
| Other Faiths | 2.7 | 12\% | 33 | 55 |  |
| Jewish | 1.9 | 21\% | 11 | 68 |  |
| Unaffiliated | 16.0 | 27\% | 30 | 43 |  |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 5.3 | 28\% | 37 | 35 |  |
| Secular | 7.5 | 29\% | 27 | 44 |  |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 3.2 | 19\% | 27 | 54 |  |

* Partisan "leaners" included with Republicans and Democrats; minor party affiliation included with independents.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ ).

Table 2. The Religious Landscape by Partisanship, Spring 2004*

## Republican Independent Democratic

|  | Majority Republican |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 70\% | 10 | 20 |  |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 59\% | 10 | 31 |  |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 57\% | 13 | 30 |  |
| Evangelical Protestants | 56\% | 17 | 27 |  |
|  | Plurality Republican |  |  |  |
| Centrist Evangelical | 48\% | 22 | 30 |  |
| Centrist Mainline | 47\% | 20 | 33 |  |
| Mainline Protestants | 44\% | 18 | 38 |  |
| Other Christians | 42\% | 36 | 22 |  |
|  | Plurality Democratic |  |  |  |
| Catholic | 41\% | 15 | 44 |  |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 38\% | 20 | 42 | =100\% |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 28\% | 37 | 35 |  |
| Unaffiliated | 27\% | 30 | 43 |  |
| Latino Protestants | 37\% | 20 | 43 |  |
| Modernist Evangelical | 32\% | 24 | 44 |  |
| Seculars | 29\% | 27 | 44 |  |
| Centrist Catholic | 35\% | 19 | 46 |  |
|  | Majority Democratic |  |  |  |
| Modernist Catholic | 37 | 11 | 52 |  |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 19 | 27 | 54 |  |
| Other Faiths | 12 | 33 | 55 |  |
| Modernist Mainline | 23 | 21 | 56 |  |
| Latino Catholic | 15 | 24 | 61 |  |
| Jewish | 21 | 11 | 68 |  |
| Black Protestants | 11 | 18 | 71 |  |

* For ease of presentation, the groups are listed in order of Republican identification and then the order of Democratic identification.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 3. Major Religious Traditions and Partisanship, 1992-2004*

|  | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 0 4} \\ \text { Rep Dem } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 0 0} \\ \text { Rep Dem } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { Rep Dem } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \\ \text { Rep Dem } \end{gathered}$ | 1992-2004 <br> Net Change <br> Rep Dem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLES | 3842 | 3942 | 4142 | 3741 | +1 +1 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 5627 | 5133 | 5333 | 4832 | +8-5 |
| Mainline Protestant | 4439 | 5033 | 4934 | 5032 | $-6+7$ |
| Black Protestant | 1171 | 1274 | 1580 | 1077 | +1 - |
| Roman Catholic | 4144 | 3743 | 3944 | 3843 | $+3+1$ |
| Latino Catholic | 1561 | 2557 | 2952 | 2249 | $-7+12$ |
| Jewish | 2168 | 2447 | 2951 | 1845 | $+3+23$ |
| Unaffiliated | 2743 | 3241 | 3740 | 3041 | - $3+2$ |

*Independents omitted for ease of presentation; independents equal to 100 minus the sum of each pairs of numbers in a single year.

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); $2000(n=6000) ; 2004(n=4000)$

Table 4. The Religious Landscape and Religious Expression by Candidates, Spring 2004*

| ENTIRE SAMPLE | Uncomfortable When Candidates Discuss Faith Agree Disagree |  | Important that President have Strong Religious Beliefs Agree Disagree |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 37\% | $63$ | $68 \%$ | $32$ |
| Evangelical Protestant | 24\% | 76 | 87\% | 13 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 14\% | 86 | 97\% | 3 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 30\% | 70 | 83\% | 17 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 45\% | 55 | 60\% | 40 |
| Mainline Protestant | 35\% | 65 | 71\% | 29 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 22\% | 78 | 94\% | 6 |
| Centrist Mainline | 30\% | 70 | 76\% | 24 |
| Modernist Mainline | 53\% | 47 | 40\% | 60 |
| Latino Protestants | 31\% | 69 | 82\% | 18 |
| Black Protestants | 28\% | 72 | 85\% | 15 |
| Catholic | 40\% | 60 | 70\% | 30 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 25\% | 75 | 93\% | 7 |
| Centrist Catholic | 39\% | 61 | 74\% | 26 |
| Modernist Catholic | 54\% | 46 | 43\% | 57 |
| Latino Catholic | 40\% | 60 | 73\% | 27 |
| Other Christian | 26\% | 74 | 78\% | 22 |
| Other Faiths | 61\% | 39 | 47\% | 53 |
| Jewish | 67\% | 33 | 25\% | 75 |
| Unaffiliated | 54\% | 46 | 28\% | 72 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 40\% | 60 | 48\% | 52 |
| Secular | 56\% | 44 | 24\% | 76 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 72\% | 28 | 6\% | 94 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; no opinion omitted for ease of presentation.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 5. The Religious Landscape and Political Activity by Religious Groups, Spring 2004*

## Organized Religious Groups Organized Religious

| Groups |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | Should Stand up for Beliefs Agree Disagree |  | Should Stay out of Politics Agree Disagree |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 76\% | 24 | 47\% | 53 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 84\% | 16 | 35\% | 65 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 90\% | 10 | 25\% | 75 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 81\% | 19 | 43\% | 57 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 73\% | 27 | 53\% | 47 |
| Mainline Protestant | 76\% | 24 | 48\% | 52 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 87\% | 13 | 35\% | 65 |
| Centrist Mainline | 77\% | 23 | 49\% | 51 |
| Modernist Mainline | 63\% | 37 | 61\% | 39 |
| Latino Protestants | 78\% | 22 | 40\% | 60 |
| Black Protestants | 89\% | 11 | 35\% | 65 |
| Catholic | 74\% | 26 | 52\% | 48 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 88\% | 12 | 38\% | 62 |
| Centrist Catholic | 73\% | 27 | 53\% | 47 |
| Modernist Catholic | 63\% | 37 | 64\% | 36 |
| Latino Catholic | 76\% | 24 | 40\% | 60 |
| Other Christian | 63\% | 37 | 57\% | 43 |
| Other Faiths | 70\% | 30 | 60\% | 40 |
| Jewish | 63\% | 37 | 57\% | 43 |
| Unaffiliated | 63\% | 37 | 64\% | 36 |
| Unaffiliated Believer | 72\% | 28 | 53\% | 47 |
| Secular | 59\% | 41 | 68\% | 32 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 57\% | 43 | 74\% | 26 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; no opinion omitted for ease of presentation.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 6. The Religious Landscape, Religion and Political Thinking, Spring 2004 Importance of religion to political thinking

|  | Important | Somewhat Important | Not Important |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 39\% | 24 | $37=100 \%$ |
| Evangelical Protestant | 58\% | 21 | 21 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 81\% | 10 | 9 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 41\% | 32 | 27 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 21\% | 27 | 52 |
| Mainline Protestant | 32\% | 28 | 40 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 56\% | 27 | 17 |
| Centrist Mainline | 29\% | 30 | 41 |
| Modernist Mainline | 15\% | 26 | 59 |
| Latino Protestants | 51\% | 25 | 24 |
| Black Protestants | 57\% | 24 | 19 |
| Catholic | 26\% | 34 | 40 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 50\% | 31 | 19 |
| Centrist Catholic | 22\% | 37 | 41 |
| Modernist Catholic | 12\% | 32 | 56 |
| Latino Catholic | 40\% | 28 | 32 |
| Other Christian | 54\% | 15 | 32 |
| Other Faiths | 33\% | 17 | 50 |
| Jewish | 33\% | 20 | 47 |
| Unaffiliated | 13\% | 14 | 73 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 23\% | 21 | 56 |
| Secular | 7\% | 12 | 81 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 8\% | 9 | 83 |

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, May-March 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 7. Major Religious Traditions, Religion and Political Thinking, 1992-2004* Importance of religion to political thinking

|  |  |  |  |  | 1992-2004 <br> Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2000 | 1996 | 1992 |  |
|  | Yes No | Yes No | Yes No | Yes No | Yes No |
| ENTIRE SAMPLES | 3937 | 4236 | 4236 | 3742 | +2-5 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 5821 | 5920 | 5923 | 5125 | + $7-4$ |
| Mainline Protestant | 3240 | 3737 | 3736 | 3042 | +2-2 |
| Black Protestant | 5719 | 6419 | 6613 | 5824 | - $1-5$ |
| Catholic | 2640 | 3439 | 3239 | 2649 | 0-9 |
| Latino Catholic | 3931 | 3935 | 4629 | 3745 | +2 -14 |
| Jewish | 3340 | 3748 | 4437 | 4839 | $-15+1$ |
| Unaffiliated | 1373 | 1766 | 1963 | 2271 | $-9+2$ |

Legend: Yes=Religion important to political thinking; No=Religion not important to political thinking; "Somewhat important" omitted for ease of presentation but equal to 100 minus the sum of each pairs of numbers in a single year.

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 8. The Religious Landscape and Issue Priorities, Spring 2004

|  | Economic, <br> Welfare Issues | Foreign <br> Policy <br> ENTIRE SAMPLE | Cultural <br> Issues | Political <br> Process |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENO | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7}=\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |  |

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, May-March 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 9. The Religious Landscape, Government Spending, and Tax Cuts, Spring 2004

| ENTIRE SAMPLE | Govt. Spending: |  |  | Big Tax Cuts* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Less | Same | More | Good | No Op | Bad |
|  | 26\% | 40 | 34 | 48\% | 7 | 45 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 30\% | 41 | 29 | 57\% | 10 | 33 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 40\% | 39 | 21 | 67\% | 8 | 25 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 21\% | 44 | 35 | 50\% | 12 | 38 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 22\% | 37 | 41 | 41\% | 8 | 51 |
| Mainline Protestant | 28\% | 43 | 29 | 45\% | 8 | 47 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 36\% | 41 | 23 | 44\% | 8 | 48 |
| Centrist Mainline | 30\% | 44 | 26 | 51\% | 9 | 40 |
| Modernist Mainline | 20\% | 43 | 37 | 37\% | 6 | 57 |
| Latino Protestants | 24\% | 36 | 40 | 52\% | 11 | 37 |
| Black Protestants | 19\% | 36 | 45 | 49\% | 6 | 45 |
| Catholic | 25\% | 40 | 35 | 46\% | 5 | 49 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 30\% | 37 | 33 | 56\% | 3 | 41 |
| Centrist Catholic | 25\% | 37 | 38 | 49\% | 7 | 44 |
| Modernist Catholic | 22\% | 46 | 32 | 34\% | 4 | 62 |
| Latino Catholic | 16\% | 36 | 48 | 50\% | 8 | 42 |
| Other Christian | 28\% | 40 | 32 | 48\% | 7 | 45 |
| Other Faiths | 12\% | 43 | 45 | 31\% | 7 | 62 |
| Jewish | 19\% | 38 | 43 | 33\% | 5 | 62 |
| Unaffiliated | 25\% | 42 | 33 | 39\% | 6 | 55 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 22\% | 36 | 42 | 45\% | 9 | 46 |
| Secular | 25\% | 47 | 28 | 39\% | 4 | 57 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 32\% | 40 | 28 | 31\% | 6 | 63 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree $=$ agree, strongly agree; disagree $=$ disagree, strongly disagree; No $O p=$ no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, May-March 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 10. The Religious Landscape, Free Trade, and Environmental Regulation, Spring 2004

|  | Free Trade* |  |  |  | Environmental Regulation* |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agree | No Op | Disagree | Agree | No Op | Disagree |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree $=$ agree, strongly agree; disagree $=$ disagree, strongly disagree; No $O p=$ no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, MayMarch, 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 11. Major Religious Traditions and Economic Policies, 1996-2004
Percent supporting less and more government spending*
1996-2004
200420001996 Net Change
Less More Less More Less More Less More
ENTIRE SAMPLE
2636
3220
4517

- $19+19 \%$

Evangelical Protestant
3030
4020
5312
$-23+18 \%$
Mainline Protestant
2829
4017
5310
$-25+19 \%$
Black Protestant
1945
2533
3438
$-15+7 \%$

Catholic | 25 | 35 | 29 | 22 | 43 | 17 | -18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Latino Catholic $\begin{array}{llllllll}16 & 48 & 26 & 33 & 34 & 25 & -18 & +23 \%\end{array}$
Jews $\begin{array}{lllllll}19 & 43 & 29 & 25 & 37 & 22 & -18\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 25 & 25 & 29 & 25 & 42 & 19 & -17 & +14 \%\end{array}$

* The "same" level of government spending has been omitted for ease of presentation; this figure equals $100 \%$ minus the sum of the pairs of figures for each year.

Percent agree, strict environmental regulations

|  |  |  |  |  | 1992-2004 <br> Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2000 | 1996 | 1992 |  |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 55\% | 52\% | 54\% | 54\% | + 1\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 52\% | 45\% | 50\% | 50\% | + 2\% |
| Mainline Protestant | 61\% | 59\% | 57\% | 57\% | + 4\% |
| Black Protestant | 39\% | 43\% | 43\% | 43\% | - 4\% |
| Catholic | 60\% | 54\% | 56\% | 56\% | + 4\% |
| Latino Catholic | 47\% | 51\% | 50\% | 50\% | - 3\% |
| Jews | 67\% | 66\% | 71\% | 71\% | - 4\% |
| Unaffiliated | 56\% | 55\% | 60\% | 60\% | - 4\% |

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); $2000(n=6000) ; 2004$ ( $n=4000$ )

Pew Forum on Religion \& Public Life

Table 12. The Religious Landscape and Social Welfare Policy, Spring 2004*

| ENTIRE SAMPLE | Fight Poverty, Tax Middle Class |  |  | Fight Poverty Tax Wealthy |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agree | No Op | Disagree | Agree | No Op | isagree |
|  | 50\% | 15 | 35 | 62\% | 18 | 20 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 43\% | 17 | 40 | 55\% | 20 | 25 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 40\% | 15 | 45 | 46\% | 21 | 33 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 45\% | 16 | 39 | 61\% | 18 | 21 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 54\% | 20 | 26 | 69\% | 20 | 11 |
| Mainline Protestant | 52\% | 17 | 31 | 59\% | 17 | 24 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 51\% | 19 | 30 | 50\% | 21 | 29 |
| Centrist Mainline | 47\% | 18 | 35 | 57\% | 17 | 26 |
| Modernist Mainline | 61\% | 14 | 25 | 71\% | 14 | 15 |
| Latino Protestants | 43\% | 20 | 37 | 57\% | 19 | 24 |
| Black Protestants | 53\% | 16 | 31 | 68\% | 18 | 14 |
| Catholic | 51\% | 15 | 34 | 63\% | 18 | 19 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 46\% | 14 | 40 | 52\% | 22 | 26 |
| Centrist Catholic | 49\% | 15 | 36 | 64\% | 19 | 17 |
| Modernist Catholic | 58\% | 16 | 26 | 70\% | 14 | 16 |
| Latino Catholic | 50\% | 14 | 36 | 64\% | 16 | 20 |
| Other Christian | 41\% | 27 | 32 | 56\% | 23 | 21 |
| Other Faiths | 58\% | 5 | 37 | 73\% | 10 | 17 |
| Jewish | 65\% | 8 | 27 | 80\% | 11 | 9 |
| Unaffiliated | 57\% | 11 | 32 | 67\% | 15 | 18 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 54\% | 13 | 33 | 62\% | 16 | 22 |
| Secular | 57\% | 11 | 32 | 66\% | 18 | 16 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 64\% | 9 | 27 | 78\% | 6 | 16 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; No Op=no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, May-March 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 13. The Religious Landscape and Social Welfare Policy, Spring 2004*

|  | Government |  | Government <br> Help Minorities |  |  | Help Disadvantaged |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agree | No Op | Disagree <br> ENTIRE SAMPLE | $\mathbf{3 9 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; No $O p=$ no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 14. Major Religious Traditions and Social Welfare Programs, 1992-2004
Percent Agree, Fight Poverty and Tax Middle Class

|  |  |  |  |  | 1992-2004Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2004 | 2000 | 1996 | 1992 |  |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 50\% | 47\% | 51\% | 58\% | - 8\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 43\% | 42\% | 46\% | 54\% | -11\% |
| Mainline Protestant | 52\% | 43\% | 43\% | 55\% | -3\% |
| Black Protestant | 53\% | 58\% | 70\% | 72\% | -19\% |
| Catholic | 51\% | 48\% | 49\% | 60\% | - 9\% |
| Latino Catholic | 50\% | 52\% | 66\% | 61\% | -11\% |
| Jews | 65\% | 56\% | 62\% | 61\% | + 4\% |
| Unaffiliated | 57\% | 46\% | 54\% | 55\% | + $2 \%$ |

Percent Agree, Government Help Minorities
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc} & & & & \text { 1992-2004 } \\ \text { Net Change }\end{array}\right]$ - 4\%

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 15. The Religious Landscape and Foreign Policy, Spring 2004

|  | U.S. Mind |  | U.S. has |  |  | To Keep the Peace, US: |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Own Business* | Special Role* |  |  | Take |  | Cooperate |  |
| Agree | No Op |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree $=$ disagree, strongly disagree; No Op=no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 16. Major Religious Traditions and Isolationism, 2000-2004
Percent agree, U.S. should mind own business abroad

|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 0 4}$ <br> Net Change <br> $\mathbf{- 7 \%}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | $\mathbf{3 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 \%}$ | $-12 \%$ |
| Evangelical Protestant | $34 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Mainline Protestant | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Black Protestant | $43 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $-5 \%$ |
| Catholic | $35 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $-4 \%$ |
| Latino Catholic | $39 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $-11 \%$ |
| Jews | $17 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $-29 \%$ |
| Unaffiliated | $43 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $-3 \%$ |

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 17. The Religious Landscape, Preemptive War, and Israel, Spring 2004*

| ENTIRE SAMPLE | U.S. Can Engage in Preemptive War |  |  | U.S. Support Israel over Palestinians |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agree | No Op | Disagree | Agree | Op | sagree |
|  | 62\% | 16 | 22 | 35\% | 27 | 38 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 72\% | 15 | 13 | 52\% | 23 | 25 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 78\% | 12 | 10 | 64\% | 18 | 18 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 70\% | 15 | 15 | 45\% | 26 | 29 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 50\% | 24 | 26 | 28\% | 37 | 35 |
| Mainline Protestant | 62\% | 16 | 22 | 33\% | 30 | 37 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 70\% | 14 | 16 | 43\% | 28 | 29 |
| Centrist Mainline | 68\% | 16 | 16 | 34\% | 34 | 32 |
| Modernist Mainline | 47\% | 19 | 34 | 22\% | 26 | 52 |
| Latino Protestants | 63\% | 12 | 25 | 37\% | 30 | 33 |
| Black Protestants | 54\% | 24 | 22 | 24\% | 32 | 44 |
| Catholic | 63\% | 15 | 22 | 31\% | 26 | 43 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 65\% | 15 | 20 | 43\% | 26 | 31 |
| Centrist Catholic | 66\% | 15 | 19 | 30\% | 24 | 46 |
| Modernist Catholic | 57\% | 15 | 28 | 23\% | 29 | 48 |
| Latino Catholic | 59\% | 20 | 21 | 25\% | 36 | 39 |
| Other Christian | 52\% | 21 | 27 | 33\% | 27 | 40 |
| Other Faiths | 51\% | 9 | 40 | 22\% | 8 | 70 |
| Jewish | 57\% | 11 | 32 | 75\% | 13 | 12 |
| Unaffiliated | 57\% | 12 | 31 | 20\% | 27 | 53 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 65\% | 11 | 24 | 19\% | 30 | 51 |
| Secular | 59\% | 12 | 29 | 23\% | 26 | 51 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 40\% | 15 | 45 | 15\% | 23 | 62 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; No $O p=$ no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 18. Major Religious Traditions and Support for Israel over Palestinians, 1992-2004 Percent agree and disagree, U.S. should support Israel over the Palestinians

|  | 2004 | 2000 | 1996 | 1992 | 1992-2004 <br> Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes No | Yes No | Yes No | Yes No | Yes No |
| ENTIRE SAMPLES | 3539 | 2933 | 3138 | 2837 | $+7+2$ |
| Evangelical Protestant | 5225 | 3827 | 3735 | 3929 | +13-4 |
| Mainline Protestant | 3338 | 2732 | 3036 | 2436 | $+9+2$ |
| Black Protestant | 2444 | 2238 | 2547 | 2147 | + $3-3$ |
| Catholic | 3143 | 2235 | 2938 | 2139 | $+10+4$ |
| Latino Catholic | 2539 | 2442 | 2740 | 2639 | - 10 |
| Jewish | 7512 | 6622 | 823 | 837 | $-8+5$ |
| Unaffiliated | 2053 | 2438 | 2344 | 2145 | - $1+8$ |

Legend: Yes=agree U.S. should support Israel over the Palestinians; No=disagree U.S. should support Israel over the Palestinians; no opinion excluded for ease of presentation; no opinion equal to 100 minus the sum of each pairs of numbers in a single year.

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 19. The Religious Landscape and Foreign Policy Goals, Spring 2004

|  | Security Aside, the best Foreign Policy Goals is:* |  |  | U.S. Should Give <br> High Priority to:** |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Human | Economic | Promote | Fight Famine Religious AIDS Relief Persecution |  |  |
|  | Rights | Development | Democracy |  |  |  |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 48\% | 29 | 23 | 66\% | 53\% | 28\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 47\% | 24 | 29 | 58\% | 49\% | 37\% |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 46\% | 22 | 32 | 53\% | 46\% | 43\% |
| Centrist Evangelical | 45\% | 26 | 29 | 61\% | 49\% | 33\% |
| Modernist Evangelical | 54\% | 30 | 16 | 68\% | 65\% | 32\% |
| Mainline Protestant | 46\% | 29 | 25 | 63\% | 53\% | 21\% |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 43\% | 28 | 29 | 61\% | 57\% | 30\% |
| Centrist Mainline | 43\% | 28 | 29 | 61\% | 46\% | 21\% |
| Modernist Mainline | 53\% | 31 | 16 | 68\% | 58\% | 14\% |
| Latino Protestants | 57\% | 23 | 20 | 76\% | 51\% | 37\% |
| Black Protestants | 52\% | 29 | 19 | 81\% | 66\% | 33\% |
| Catholic | 47\% | 30 | 23 | 63\% | 52\% | 24\% |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 48\% | 24 | 28 | 59\% | 51\% | 36\% |
| Centrist Catholic | 40\% | 35 | 25 | 60\% | 50\% | 19\% |
| Modernist Catholic | 56\% | 26 | 18 | 72\% | 57\% | 22\% |
| Latino Catholic | 42\% | 31 | 27 | 77\% | 61\% | 24\% |
| Other Christian | 51\% | 30 | 19 | 63\% | 52\% | 24\% |
| Other Faiths | 51\% | 34 | 15 | 82\% | 59\% | 33\% |
| Jewish | 46\% | 33 | 21 | 69\% | 49\% | 37\% |
| Unaffiliated | 49\% | 31 | 20 | 71\% | 51\% | 19\% |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 48\% | 30 | 22 | 81\% | 50\% | 26\% |
| Secular | 51\% | 29 | 20 | 67\% | 52\% | 17\% |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 47\% | 39 | 14 | 70\% | 49\% | 13\% |

*Row sums to $100 \%$.
** Row does not sum to $100 \%$.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, May-March 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 20. The Religious Landscape and Abortion, Spring 2004

|  | Abortion should be: |  | Legal in many Circumstances | Legal and up to |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Always | Legal in few |  |  |
|  | Illegal | Circumstances |  | Woman to decide |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 15\% | 33 | 17 | 35 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 24\% | 45 | 12 | 19 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 32\% | 52 | 7 | 9 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 19\% | 40 | 16 | 25 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 7\% | 30 | 19 | 44 |
| Mainline Protestant | 6\% | 29 | 21 | 44 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 8\% | 45 | 16 | 31 |
| Centrist Mainline | 7\% | 30 | 22 | 41 |
| Modernist Mainline | 2\% | 12 | 24 | 62 |
| Latino Protestants | 22\% | 40 | 15 | 23 |
| Black Protestants | 21\% | 33 | 14 | 32 |
| Catholic | 13\% | 35 | 17 | 35 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 26\% | 51 | 6 | 17 |
| Centrist Catholic | 12\% | 36 | 20 | 32 |
| Modernist Catholic | 3\% | 18 | 25 | 54 |
| Latino Catholic | 18\% | 39 | 17 | 26 |
| Other Christian | 35\% | 38 | 10 | 17 |
| Other Faiths | 3\% | 18 | 21 | 58 |
| Jewish | 0\% | 16 | 24 | 60 |
| Unaffiliated | 7\% | 20 | 20 | 53 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 13\% | 33 | 19 | 35 |
| Secular | 5\% | 16 | 19 | 60 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 0\% | 9 | 25 | 66 |

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 21. Major Religious Traditions and Abortion, 1992-2004

| Percent, Pro-Life positions* |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 1992-2004 |
|  | 2004 | 2000 | 1996 | 1992 | Net Change |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 48\% | 47\% | 45\% | 40\% | + 8\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 69\% | 66\% | 60\% | 56\% | +13\% |
| Mainline Protestant | 35\% | 38\% | 33\% | 33\% | + $2 \%$ |
| Black Protestant | 54\% | 49\% | 43\% | 46\% | + 8\% |
| Catholic | 48\% | 50\% | 50\% | 40\% | + 8\% |
| Latino Catholic | 57\% | 52\% | 41\% | 47\% | +10\% |
| Jews | 16\% | 24\% | 24\% | 20\% | - 4\% |
| Unaffiliated | 27\% | 31\% | 29\% | 21\% | + 6\% |

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 22. The Religious Landscape, Stem Cell Research, and Death Penalty, Spring 2004


[^0]Table 23. Religious Landscape, Marriage, and Gay Rights, Spring 2004

|  | For Marriage Favor:* |  |  | Support Gay Rights* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Traditional | Civil | Same-sex | Agree | Op | sagree |
|  | Marriage 55\% | Unions 18 | Marriage 27 | 57\% | 15 | 28 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 75\% | 13 | 12 | 45\% | 15 | 40 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 89\% | 8 | 3 | 36\% | 14 | 50 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 67\% | 16 | 17 | 50\% | 15 | 35 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 42\% | 24 | 34 | 63\% | 19 | 18 |
| Mainline Protestant | 47\% | 27 | 26 | 60\% | 19 | 21 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 72\% | 18 | 10 | 44\% | 24 | 32 |
| Centrist Mainline | 44\% | 29 | 27 | 62\% | 17 | 21 |
| Modernist Mainline | 29\% | 33 | 38 | 73\% | 15 | 12 |
| Latino Protestants | 71\% | 9 | 20 | 47\% | 15 | 38 |
| Black Protestants | 72\% | 10 | 18 | 40\% | 16 | 44 |
| Catholic | 48\% | 22 | 30 | 64\% | 16 | 20 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 71\% | 18 | 11 | 51\% | 17 | 32 |
| Centrist Catholic | 52\% | 19 | 29 | 59\% | 18 | 23 |
| Modernist Catholic | 20\% | 29 | 51 | 83\% | 12 | 5 |
| Latino Catholic | 52\% | 14 | 34 | 61\% | 17 | 22 |
| Other Christian | 77\% | 8 | 15 | 41\% | 17 | 42 |
| Other Faiths | 30\% | 20 | 50 | 68\% | 13 | 19 |
| Jewish | 16\% | 29 | 55 | 82\% | 7 | 11 |
| Unaffiliated | 31\% | 19 | 50 | 73\% | 11 | 16 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 58\% | 10 | 32 | 57\% | 13 | 30 |
| Secular | 23\% | 24 | 53 | 79\% | 11 | 10 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 7\% | 21 | 72 | 89\% | 7 | 4 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree =agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; No Op=no opinion.

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, May-March 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 24. Major Religious Traditions and Gay Rights, 1992-2004
Percent agree, homosexuals should have same rights ad other Americans

|  |  |  |  | 1992-2004 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | $\mathbf{5 7 \%}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 \%}$ | Net Change <br> $+\mathbf{6 \%}$ |
| Evangelical Protestant | $45 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $+10 \%$ |
| Mainline Protestant | $60 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $55 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| Black Protestant | $40 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $59 \%$ | $-19 \%$ |
| Catholic | $64 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $+7 \%$ |
| Latino Catholic | $61 \%$ | $72 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| Jews | $82 \%$ | $74 \%$ | $70 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $+14 \%$ |
| Unaffiliated | $73 \%$ | $71 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $+16 \%$ |

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); $2000(n=6000) ; 2004(n=4000)$

Table 25. The Religious Landscape, Religion and Public Life, Spring 2004

| ENTIRE SAMPLE | Support School Vouchers* |  |  | Support Funds for Faith-based Groups* |  |  | Support Posting of Ten Commandments* |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Agree | No Op | Disagree | Agree | No Op | Disagree | Agree | No Op | Disagree |
|  | 39\% | 16 | 45 | 50\% | 16 | 34 | 66\% | 14 | 20 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 44\% | 15 | 41 | 57\% | 16 | 27 | 83\% | 9 | 8 |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 51\% | 13 | 36 | 59\% | 13 | 28 | 91\% | 5 | 4 |
| Centrist Evangelical | 41\% | 17 | 42 | 58\% | 18 | 24 | 82\% | 11 | 7 |
| Modernist Evangelical | 28\% | 16 | 56 | 45\% | 17 | 38 | 56\% | 14 | 30 |
| Mainline Protestant | 29\% | 15 | 56 | 44\% | 19 | 37 | 68\% | 13 | 19 |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 37\% | 14 | 49 | 60\% | 14 | 26 | 80\% | 13 | 7 |
| Centrist Mainline | 30\% | 15 | 55 | 46\% | 21 | 33 | 76\% | 12 | 12 |
| Modernist Mainline | 18\% | 15 | 67 | 29\% | 21 | 50 | 44\% | 14 | 42 |
| Latino Protestants | 51\% | 18 | 31 | 62\% | 11 | 27 | 67\% | 20 | 13 |
| Black Protestants | 40\% | 17 | 43 | 61\% | 16 | 23 | 67\% | 20 | 13 |
| Catholic | 42\% | 16 | 42 | 49\% | 15 | 36 | 67\% | 14 | 19 |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 52\% | 18 | 30 | 61\% | 15 | 24 | 83\% | 12 | 5 |
| Centrist Catholic | 44\% | 15 | 41 | 46\% | 15 | 39 | 68\% | 17 | 15 |
| Modernist Catholic | 32\% | 16 | 52 | 43\% | 15 | 42 | 52\% | 12 | 36 |
| Latino Catholic | 58\% | 20 | 22 | 59\% | 18 | 23 | 55\% | 24 | 21 |
| Other Christian | 37\% | 20 | 43 | 41\% | 23 | 36 | 60\% | 15 | 25 |
| Other Faiths | 36\% | 13 | 51 | 41\% | 9 | 50 | 41\% | 14 | 45 |
| Jewish | 42\% | 3 | 55 | 37\% | 7 | 56 | 34\% | 8 | 58 |
| Unaffiliated | 32\% | 15 | 53 | 36\% | 15 | 49 | 44\% | 15 | 41 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 41\% | 16 | 43 | 48\% | 15 | 37 | 57\% | 17 | 26 |
| Secular | 29\% | 16 | 55 | 36\% | 16 | 48 | 43\% | 13 | 44 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 22\% | 13 | 65 | 16\% | 16 | 68 | 25\% | 12 | 63 |

*All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree $=$ disagree, strongly disagree; No Op=no opinion.
Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 26. Major Religious Traditions, Vouchers, and Faith-based Programs, 2000-2004 Percent Agree, School Vouchers

| PNTIRE SAMPLE | 2004 | 2000 | 2000-2004 <br> Net Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 39\% | 42\% | - 3\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 44\% | 46\% | - $2 \%$ |
| Mainline Protestant | 29\% | 33\% | - 4\% |
| Black Protestant | 40\% | 50\% | -10\% |
| Catholic | 42\% | 45\% | -3\% |
| Latino Catholic | 58\% | 52\% | + $6 \%$ |
| Jews | 42\% | 37\% | + 5\% |
| Unaffiliated | 32\% | 34\% | - 2\% |
| Percent Agree, Faith-based Programs |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2000-2004 |
|  | 2004 | 2000 | Net Change |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 50\% | 45\% | + 5\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 57\% | 44\% | +13\% |
| Mainline Protestant | 44\% | 37\% | + 7\% |
| Black Protestant | 61\% | 65\% | - 4\% |
| Catholic | 49\% | 45\% | + 4\% |
| Latino Catholic | 59\% | 58\% | + 1\% |
| Jews | 37\% | 35\% | + $2 \%$ |
| Unaffiliated | 36\% | 37\% | - 1\% |

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 27. The Religious Landscape and Self-Identified Ideology, Spring 2004

|  | Conservative | Moderate | Liberal |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 35\% | 43 | 22 | =100\% |
| Evangelical Protestant | 55\% | 31 | 14 |  |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | 66\% | 25 | 9 |  |
| Centrist Evangelical | 48\% | 36 | 16 |  |
| Modernist Evangelical | 30\% | 39 | 31 |  |
| Mainline Protestants | 34\% | 46 | 20 |  |
| Traditionalist Mainline | 49\% | 38 | 13 |  |
| Centrist Mainline | 37\% | 43 | 20 |  |
| Modernist Mainline | 15\% | 56 | 29 |  |
| Latino Protestants | 32\% | 44 | 24 |  |
| Black Protestants | 27\% | 48 | 25 |  |
| Catholic | 33\% | 47 | 20 |  |
| Traditionalist Catholic | 54\% | 39 | 7 |  |
| Centrist Catholic | 29\% | 49 | 22 |  |
| Modernist Catholic | 21\% | 50 | 29 |  |
| Latino Catholic | 25\% | 47 | 28 |  |
| Other Christian | 44\% | 47 | 9 |  |
| Other Faith | 10\% | 46 | 44 |  |
| Jewish | 19\% | 35 | 46 |  |
| Unaffiliated | 20\% | 48 | 32 |  |
| Unaffiliated Believers | 26\% | 49 | 25 |  |
| Secular | 21\% | 48 | 31 |  |
| Atheist, Agnostic | 10\% | 46 | 44 |  |

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 28. The Religious Landscape by Ideology, Spring 2004

|  | Conservative | Moderate | Liberal |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Majority Conservative |  |  |
| Traditionalist Evangelical | $66 \%$ | 25 | 9 |
| Evangelical Protestant | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| Traditionalist Catholic | $54 \%$ | 39 | 7 |



Majority Moderate or Liberal

| Mainline Protestants | $\mathbf{3 4 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Catholic | $\mathbf{3 3 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ |
| Latino Protestants | $32 \%$ | 44 | 24 |
| Centrist Catholic | $29 \%$ | 49 | 22 |
| Black Protestants | $27 \%$ | 48 | 25 |
| Unaffiliated Believers | $26 \%$ | 49 | 25 |
| Latino Catholic | $25 \%$ | 47 | 28 |
| Secular | $21 \%$ | 48 | 31 |
| Unaffiliated | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| Jewish | Plurality Liberal |  |  |
| Other Faith | $19 \%$ | 35 | 46 |
| Atheist, Agnostic | $10 \%$ | 46 | 44 |
|  | $10 \%$ | 46 | 44 |

Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, March-May 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

Table 29. Major Religious Traditions and Self-Identified Ideology, 1992-2004

|  | 2004 <br> Cons Lib | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 0 0 0} \\ \text { Cons Lib } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1996 \\ \text { Cons Lib } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1992 \\ \text { Cons Lib } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 1992-2004 } \\ & \text { Net Change } \\ & \text { Cons Lib } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ENTIRE SAMPLE | 3522 | 3321 | 3418 | 3222 | + 30 |
| Evangelical Protestant | 5514 | 4915 | 4513 | 4216 | +13-2 |
| Mainline Protestant | 3420 | 3421 | 3515 | 3518 | - $1+2$ |
| Black Protestant | 2725 | 2625 | 2724 | 2331 | $+4-6$ |
| Catholic | 3320 | 3118 | 3219 | 3021 | + 3 - |
| Latino Catholic | 2528 | 2221 | 3024 | 2632 | - 1 - 4 |
| Jews | 1946 | 1549 | 1529 | 1537 | $+4+9$ |
| Unaffiliated | 2032 | 2439 | 2421 | 2525 | - $5+7$ |

Legend: Cons=conservative; Lib=liberal; moderate omitted for ease of presentation; moderate equal to $100 \%$ minus the sum of each pair of numbers in a single year.

Source: National Surveys of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute of Applied Politics 1992 ( $n=4001$ ); 1996 ( $n=4034$ ); 2000 ( $n=6000$ ); 2004 ( $n=4000$ )

Table 30. Defining the Religious Landscape: Measures of Religion
Worship Attendance: View of God: View of Tradition:
Regular Often Rarely Personal Impersonal Unsure Preserve Adapt Adopt

| ENTIRE SAMPLE | $\mathbf{4 3 \%}$ | 32 | 25 | $\mathbf{4 0 \%}$ | 41 | 19 | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | 40 | 15 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Evangelical Protestant

| Traditionalist Evangelical | $87 \%$ | 11 | 2 | $89 \%$ | 11 | 0 | $78 \%$ | 18 | 2 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Centrist Evangelical | $36 \%$ | 41 | 23 | $60 \%$ | 37 | 3 | $48 \%$ | 43 | 9 |
| Modernist Evangelical | $23 \%$ | 46 | 31 | $12 \%$ | 56 | 32 | $30 \%$ | 42 | 28 |

Mainline Protestant

| Traditionalist Mainline | $59 \%$ | 33 | 8 | $75 \%$ | 24 | 1 | $61 \%$ | 35 | 4 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Centrist Mainline | $33 \%$ | 45 | 22 | $28 \%$ | 55 | 17 | $33 \%$ | 53 | 14 |
| Modernist Mainline | $19 \%$ | 46 | 35 | $4 \%$ | 58 | 38 | $3 \%$ | 62 | 35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino Protestants | $63 \%$ | 31 | 6 | $57 \%$ | 33 | 10 | $57 \%$ | 29 | 14 |
| Black Protestants | $57 \%$ | 33 | 10 | $54 \%$ | 44 | 2 | $43 \%$ | 38 | 19 |

Catholic

| Traditionalist Catholic | $87 \%$ | 11 | 2 | $56 \%$ | 44 | 0 | $65 \%$ | 32 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Centrist Catholic | $45 \%$ | 36 | 20 | $34 \%$ | 59 | 7 | $29 \%$ | 55 | 16 |
| Modernist Catholic | $21 \%$ | 49 | 30 | $4 \%$ | 56 | 40 | $3 \%$ | 66 | 31 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Latino Catholic | $47 \%$ | 41 | 12 | $35 \%$ | 55 | 10 | $44 \%$ | 31 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other Christian | $57 \%$ | 28 | 15 | $43 \%$ | 43 | 14 | $63 \%$ | 28 | 9 |
| Other Faiths | $40 \%$ | 35 | 25 | $12 \%$ | 62 | 26 | $37 \%$ | 43 | 20 |
| Jewish | $24 \%$ | 49 | 27 | $10 \%$ | 45 | 45 | $37 \%$ | 46 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unaffiliated | $9 \%$ | 33 | 58 | $15 \%$ | 70 | 15 | NA | NA | NA |
| Unaffiliated Believers | $1 \%$ | 20 | 79 | $2 \%$ | 28 | 70 | NA | NA | NA |
| Secular | $1 \%$ | 16 | 83 | $0 \%$ | 5 | 95 | NA | NA | NA |

Legend: Worship attendance: "regular": weekly or more; "often": 1-2 a month; few times a year; "rarely": seldom or never; View of God: "Personal": God is a person; "Impersonal": God is a spirit or force; "Unsure": not sure or doesn't believe in God; View of Tradition: "Preserve": strive to preserve beliefs/practices; "Adapt": strive to adapt beliefs/practices to new times; "Adopt": strive to adopt new beliefs/practices; NA: Not asked.
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[^0]:    *All rows sum to $100 \%$. Agree=agree, strongly agree; disagree=disagree, strongly disagree; No Op=no opinion.
    Source: Fourth National Survey of Religion and Politics, Bliss Institute University of Akron, Spring 2004 ( $N=4000$ )

