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## Obama Job Approval Slips into Negative Territory Opposition to Syrian Airstrikes Surges

Over just the past week, the share of Americans who oppose U.S. airstrikes in Syria has surged 15 points, from $48 \%$ to $63 \%$, as many who were undecided about the issue have turned against military action. By contrast, the share of Americans who support airstrikes remains virtually unchanged: Just 28\% favor U.S. military airstrikes against Syria in response to reports that its government used chemical weapons.

## Most Oppose U.S. Airstrikes against Syria

Growing opposition to Syrian airstrikes


Aug 29-Sept 1


Sept 48

PEW RESEARCH CENTER/USA TODAY Sept. 4-8, 2013.

The new survey by the Pew Research Center and USA TODAY, conducted Sept. 4-8, 2013 among 1,506 adults nationwide, finds that this growing opposition to Syrian airstrikes is intense: $45 \%$ say they oppose airstrikes very strongly. That is roughly three-times the percentage (16\%) that strongly favors airstrikes.

Republicans, in particular, have turned against Syrian airstrikes. A week ago, Republicans were divided about evenly: $35 \%$ favored and $40 \%$ opposed military airstrikes in response to the government's alleged use of chemical weapons. Today, Republicans oppose airstrikes by an overwhelming $70 \%$ to $21 \%$ margin, with $51 \%$ saying they are strongly opposed.

Republicans Increasingly Oppose Airstrikes

| U.S. airstrikes <br> against Syria <br> Sept 4-8 | Total <br> $\%$ | Rep <br> $\%$ | Dem <br> $\%$ | Ind <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Favor | 28 | 21 | 35 | 28 |
| Oppose | 63 | 70 | 53 | 66 |
| Don't know | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{6}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Aug 29-Sept 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Favor | 29 | 35 | 29 | 29 |
| Oppose | 48 | 40 | 48 | 50 |
| Don't know | $\underline{23}$ | $\underline{24}$ | $\underline{23}$ | $\underline{20}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER/USA TODAY Sept. 4-8, 2013. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.

Opposition has grown among independents as well, with two-thirds (66\%) now opposed, up from half ( $50 \%$ ) last weekend. Today, $50 \%$ of independents strongly oppose airstrikes, compared with just $15 \%$ who are strongly in favor.

Despite Obama's efforts to raise support for military action, Democrats continue to oppose airstrikes. Currently, $53 \%$ of Democrats oppose military airstrikes against Syria while $35 \%$ support them; the margin is little changed from a week ago ( $29 \%$ favored, 48\% opposed).

As the debate over military action in Syria intensifies, Barack Obama's standing with the public has declined. Obama's overall job approval has slipped into negative territory ( $44 \%$ approve, $49 \%$ disapprove) for the first time since early last year. Public ratings of his handling of foreign policy - once an area of relative strength for the president - have slipped and now just $33 \%$ approve of his handling of the issue. And when it comes to Obama's handling of Syria in particular, more disapprove than approve by nearly two-toone ( $56 \%$ vs. $29 \%$ ).

As Obama prepares for his prime-time address on Syria on Tuesday, a majority of Americans (54\%) say he has not explained clearly why the United States should launch military airstrikes against the country while $35 \%$ say he has. As with overall support for the operation, Obama has made little headway in offering a clear rationale for military action. A week ago, $32 \%$ said he had explained clearly the reasons for airstrikes and 48\% said he had not.

## Most Say Obama Has Not Given Clear Explanation for Airstrikes

| Has Obama explained <br> clearly why the U.S. <br> should launch | Aug 29- <br> Sept 1 | Sept <br> $\mathbf{4 - 8}$ | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| airstrikes? | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Explained clearly | 32 | 35 | +3 |
| Not clearly enough | 48 | 54 | +6 |
| Don't know | $\underline{20}$ | $\underline{12}$ | -8 |
|  | 100 | 100 |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER/USA TODAY Sept. 4-8, 2013.
Figures may not add to 100\% because of rounding.

And the public, by roughly two-to-one (61\% to 30\%), believes that Congress - not the president - should have final authority over whether the U.S. conducts airstrikes in Syria. Fully $75 \%$ of Republicans and $64 \%$ of independents say Congress should be the ultimate arbiter of whether the U.S. launches airstrikes. Democrats are divided, with $47 \%$ saying Congress should have final authority and $45 \%$ the president.

## Majority Says U.S. Must Show Disfavor with Chemical Weapons Use

The public thinks that the United States must do something to register its disapproval of the use of chemical weapons in Syria. Six-in-ten agree that the U.S. must act to show that the use of chemical weapons is unacceptable. However, even among those who express this view just 42\% favor U.S. airstrikes against Syria while $51 \%$ are opposed.

A narrow majority of the public (54\%) says the U.S. has a moral obligation to stop the violence against civilians. But an even higher percentage ( $75 \%$ ) says that U.S. airstrikes in Syria are likely to make things in the Middle East worse. And just $39 \%$ say the U.S. will lose credibility around the world if it does not act in Syria.

The public is divided over whether the situation in Syria is a threat to U.S. security: $45 \%$ say it is, while $50 \%$ say it is not.

## Public Sees No Good Options for Dealing with Syria

| Agree <br> \% agree with each <br> statement about Syria <br> U.S. airstrikes in Syria are |
| :--- |
| U. <br> likely to make things in the <br> Middle East worse |
| There are no good options |
| The <br> for the U.S. in how it deals <br> with Syria |
| The U.S. must act to show <br> that the use of chemical <br> weapons is unacceptable |
| The U.S. has a moral |
| obligation to stop the <br> violence against civilians |
| The situation in Syria is a <br> Threat to the security of the |
| U.S |
| U.S |
| The U.S. will lose credibility <br> around the world if it does <br> not act |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER/USA TODAY Sept. 4-8, 2013.
Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. Question asked Sept. 5-8 only, N=1242.

And the public generally thinks that there are no good policy options for dealing with Syria. About six-in-ten (61\%) agree there are no good options for the U.S. in how it deals with Syria, while $34 \%$ disagree.

Large majorities of the supporters of military airstrikes against Syria agree with arguments being made in favor of the use of force. Fully $92 \%$ say the U.S. must show that the use of chemical weapons is unacceptable and $83 \%$ think the U.S. has a moral obligation to stop violence against civilians in Syria.

Most of those who favor airstrikes also say U.S. international credibility will be weakened if the U.S. does not act ( $70 \%$ agree) and that the Syria situation is a threat to U.S. security (66\%).

Yet a majority of the supporters of U.S. military action (57\%) also say that airstrikes in Syria are likely to make things in the Middle East worse.

Among opponents of airstrikes, $84 \%$ say military action is likely to make things worse in the region. And most reject the idea that failure to act in Syria will undermine U.S. credibility (70\%) and that the situation there threatens U.S. security (59\%).

Notably, opponents of U.S. airstrikes are divided over whether the United States must act to demonstrate that the use of chemical weapons is unacceptable ( $47 \%$ agree $/ 49 \%$ disagree).

## Views of Supporters, Opponents of U.S. Airstrikes in Syria

| Agree Disagree |
| :--- |
| \% agree with each <br> statement about Syria <br> \% <br> Among those favoring airstrikes: |
| The U.S. must act to show |
| That the use of chemical <br> that <br> weapons is unacceptable |
| The U.S. has a moral <br> obligation to stop the <br> violence against civilians |
| No good options for U.S. in <br> dealing with Syria |
| U.S. will lose int'I credibility <br> if it does not act |
| Syria situation is threat to |
| U.S. security |
| U.S. airstrikes in Syria <br> likely to make things in <br> Middle East worse |

The only statement on which majorities of supporters and opponents of airstrikes agree is that the United States lacks good options in Syria. About seven-in-ten supporters (72\%) of U.S. airstrikes think the U.S. has no good options as do a smaller majority of opponents (57\%).

## Majority Says Congress Has Final Authority on Airstrikes

By two-to-one, more Americans say the final authority for deciding whether the United States should conduct military airstrikes against Syria rests with Congress (61\%), not President Obama (30\%).

Majorities of both Republicans (75\%) and independents ( $64 \%$ ) say Congress has the final authority on this decision, while Democrats are divided: 47\% say Congress has the final authority, $45 \%$ say Obama does.

In the fall of 2002, as Congress was debating the authorization of military action in Iraq, $54 \%$ of the public said Congress had the final authority on that decision, while $40 \%$ said President Bush had that authority. In contrast to public opinion today, a majority of Republicans said President Bush had the final authority then (58\% Bush, 32\% Congress), while most Democrats said the final authority rested with Congress ( $26 \%$ Bush, $71 \%$ Congress).

## Obama's Job Rating Matches Low

Obama's job approval rating has dropped over the last few months and has now edged into negative territory. Today, $44 \%$ of Americans approve of the way he is handling his job, while $49 \%$ disapprove. As recently as June, more approved of his performance than disapproved ( $49 \%$ vs. $43 \%$ ). Obama's current job rating matches previous lows in the summer and fall of 2011.

Independents' ratings of Obama have followed a similar trajectory. Currently $36 \%$ of independents approve of Obama's performance while $55 \%$ disapprove. Earlier this year independents' views were more

## Obama's Job Approval Declines



PEW RESEARCH CENTER/USA TODAY Sept. 4-8, 2013. divided.

Obama's job approval rating among Democrats and Republicans is little changed. About eight-in-ten Democrats (79\%) - including 85\% of liberal Democrats - continue to approve of the job Obama is doing. And while just $14 \%$ of Republicans today approve of Obama's performance, this is consistent with his ratings among Republicans over the course of his administration.

## Foreign Policy Rating at All-Time Low

For the first time, a majority of Americans give Obama a negative rating for his handling of foreign policy, and most disapprove of his handling of the situation in Syria in particular. Currently, $33 \%$ approve of how the president is handling foreign policy overall, while $57 \%$ disapprove. In January 2012, opinions were mixed, with 46\% approving and $45 \%$ disapproving of Obama's foreign policy.

Opinions of Obama's handling of foreign policy - once an area of relative strength for the president - are now more negative than his rating on the economy ( $43 \%$ approve).

The drop in Obama's foreign policy approval reflects growing skepticism among Republicans and independents. Only 9\% of Republicans approve of how Obama is dealing with foreign policy, down from 26\% in January 2012. Among independents, approval of the president's foreign policy is down 15 percentage points, from 42\% in January 2012 to $27 \%$ in the current poll. Meanwhile, Democrats continue to give Obama positive marks on foreign policy; $62 \%$ approve of how Obama is handling it, compared with $68 \%$ last year.

## Foreign Policy Approval

| Obama job rating | Approve <br> on ... | Disapprove <br> $\%$ | DK <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign policy |  |  |  |
| Sept 2013 | 33 | 57 | $11=100$ |
| Jan 2012 | 46 | 45 | $10=100$ |
| Nov 2011 | 46 | 40 | $13=100$ |
| Jun 2010 | 45 | 40 | $15=100$ |
| Nov 2009 | 44 | 38 | $18=100$ |
| Feb 2009 | 52 | 17 | $31=100$ |
| Syria |  |  |  |
| Sept 2013 | 29 | 56 | $15=100$ |
| Iran (6-13) | 45 | 41 | $13=100$ |
| Israel (6-13) | 41 | 39 | $20=100$ |
| China (6-13) | 39 | 37 | $24=100$ |
| Afghanistan (3-12) | 49 | 42 | $9=100$ |
| Libya (4-11) | 41 | 46 | $13=100$ |
| Iraq (1-11) | 46 | 41 | $12=100$ |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER/USA TODAY Sept. 4-8, 2013.
Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.

The public also gives Obama mostly negative marks for his handling of the situation in Syria. About three-in-ten (29\%) approve and $56 \%$ disapprove of how Obama is dealing with this issue. Obama receives more negative reviews for his handling of Syria than he has for any other specific foreign policy issue. For example, in June 2013, the public was divided on Obama's handling of Iran, Israel and China while, in March 2012, slightly more approved than disapproved of his handling of Afghanistan.

Republicans are particularly critical of the president's handling of Syria - just 14\% approve and $77 \%$ disapprove of how Obama is dealing with this situation - but independents also give him negative marks (26\% approve and 59\% disapprove).

Democrats, on balance, approve of the way Obama is handling Syria, but his rating on this particular issue is far less positive than his overall foreign policy rating. About half ( $47 \%$ ) of Democrats approve and $33 \%$ disapprove of Obama's handling of the situation in Syria.

## About the Survey

The analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted September 4-8, 2013 among a national sample of 1,506 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia ( 751 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 755 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 401 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see http://peoplepress.org/methodology/

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin and nativity and region to parameters from the 2011 Census Bureau's American Community Survey and population density to parameters from the Decennial Census. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size among respondents with a landline phone. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

| Group | Unweighted <br> sample size <br> 1,506 | Plus or minus... |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample | 396 | 5.9 percentage points |
| Republican | 472 | 5.3 percentage points points |
| Democrat <br> Independent | 569 | 4.8 percentage points |
| Favor Syria airstrikes | 443 | 5.4 percentage points |
| Oppose Syria airstrikes | 931 | 3.7 percentage points |
| Question 8 agree list <br> (asked Sept. 5-8 only) | 1,242 | 3.2 percentage points |

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.
In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

## PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL TABLE

Pew Research Center, Jul 17-21, 2013 and Pew Research Center/USA TODAY Sep 4-8, 2013
Q2: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handing his job as president?

|  | July 2013 |  |  | September 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approve | Disapprove | (VOL.) DK/Ref | July | Approve | Disapprove | (VOL.) DK/Ref | Sept |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| TOTAL | 46 | 46 | 7 | 1480 | 44 | 49 | 8 | 1506 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 42 | 50 | 8 | 738 | 42 | 50 | 8 | 730 |
| Women | 51 | 43 | 6 | 742 | 46 | 47 | 8 | 776 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-49 | 51 | 40 | 9 | 582 | 47 | 43 | 10 | 637 |
| 50+ | 41 | 54 | 5 | 873 | 39 | 56 | 5 | 854 |
| DETAILED AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-29 | 52 | 37 | 12 | 233 | 52 | 35 | 13 | 245 |
| 30-49 | 51 | 42 | 7 | 349 | 44 | 48 | 7 | 392 |
| 50-64 | 41 | 54 | 5 | 468 | 40 | 55 | 5 | 456 |
| 65+ | 41 | 54 | 5 | 405 | 39 | 57 | 4 | 398 |
| GENDER BY AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men 18-49 | 46 | 43 | 11 | 330 | 48 | 43 | 9 | 343 |
| Men 50+ | 36 | 59 | 5 | 399 | 34 | 61 | 6 | 382 |
| Women 18-49 | 57 | 36 | 7 | 252 | 47 | 43 | 10 | 294 |
| Women 50+ | 46 | 50 | 5 | 474 | 44 | 52 | 4 | 472 |
| RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic | 33 | 60 | 7 | 1047 | 31 | 61 | 8 | 1080 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 93 | 5 | 3 | 153 | 87 | 10 | 3 | 171 |
| Hispanic | 69 | 22 | 9 | 166 | 63 | 28 | 9 | 152 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College grad+ | 54 | 40 | 6 | 582 | 47 | 47 | 6 | 578 |
| Some college | 39 | 53 | 9 | 408 | 42 | 51 | 7 | 439 |
| High school or less | 47 | 46 | 7 | 481 | 43 | 47 | 9 | 483 |
| FAMILY INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$75,000+ | 47 | 48 | 5 | 428 | 42 | 52 | 5 | 453 |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 42 | 51 | 7 | 480 | 41 | 51 | 7 | 496 |
| Less than \$30,000 | 53 | 38 | 8 | 414 | 47 | 42 | 10 | 415 |
| RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Protestants | 41 | 53 | 7 | 743 | 39 | 53 | 8 | 770 |
| White NH evang. Prot. | 17 | 77 | 6 | 276 | 19 | 72 | 9 | 287 |
| White NH mainline Prot. | 33 | 59 | 8 | 276 | 35 | 59 | 7 | 260 |
| Total Catholic | 52 | 40 | 8 | 313 | 45 | 50 | 5 | 358 |
| White NH Cath. | 38 | 55 | 7 | 193 | 28 | 68 | 5 | 257 |
| Unaffiliated | 52 | 40 | 8 | 277 | 53 | 39 | 8 | 247 |
| ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly or more | 41 | 53 | 6 | 584 | 42 | 50 | 8 | 619 |
| Less than weekly | 50 | 42 | 8 | 883 | 45 | 48 | 8 | 870 |
| REGION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 55 | 41 | 4 | 246 | 47 | 47 | 6 | 270 |
| Midwest | 43 | 50 | 7 | 320 | 39 | 53 | 8 | 348 |
| South | 43 | 49 | 7 | 542 | 41 | 52 | 7 | 560 |
| West | 48 | 43 | 9 | 372 | 51 | 40 | 9 | 328 |

## PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL TABLE (CONT.)

Pew Research Center, Jul 17-21, 2013 and Pew Research Center/USA TODAY Sep 4-8, 2013
Q2: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handing his job as president?

|  | July 2013 |  |  | September 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Approve }}{\%}$ | $\frac{\text { Disapprove }}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (VOL.) } \\ & \frac{\text { DK/Ref }}{\%} \end{aligned}$ | July N | $\frac{\text { Approve }}{\%}$ | $\frac{\text { Disapprove }}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (VOL.) } \\ & \frac{\text { DK/Ref }}{\%} \end{aligned}$ | Sept N |
| REGISTERED VOTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, certain | 45 | 50 | 6 | 1183 | 43 | 52 | 5 | 1201 |
| Not registered | 51 | 38 | 11 | 297 | 46 | 40 | 14 | 305 |
| PARTY ID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 14 | 84 | 2 | 318 | 14 | 80 | 5 | 396 |
| Democrat | 82 | 13 | 5 | 446 | 79 | 14 | 7 | 472 |
| Independent | 39 | 53 | 9 | 644 | 36 | 55 | 9 | 569 |
| PARTY WITH LEANERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rep/Lean Rep | 15 | 82 | 3 | 578 | 14 | 80 | 6 | 657 |
| Dem/Lean Dem | 76 | 16 | 8 | 716 | 74 | 18 | 8 | 696 |
| IDEOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservative | 31 | 66 | 3 | 517 | 27 | 67 | 6 | 614 |
| Moderate | 51 | 41 | 8 | 578 | 46 | 45 | 9 | 533 |
| Liberal | 68 | 23 | 8 | 319 | 71 | 23 | 6 | 320 |
| PARTY AND IDEOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservative Republican | 10 | 89 | 1 | 218 | 8 | 87 | 5 | 274 |
| Mod/Lib Republican | 23 | 74 | 3 | 97 | 27 | 67 | 6 | 116 |
| Mod/Cons Democrat | 78 | 17 | 6 | 257 | 75 | 19 | 6 | 283 |
| Liberal Democrat | 88 | 7 | 4 | 178 | 85 | 7 | 8 | 180 |
| AMONG WHITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 28 | 63 | 9 | 516 | 27 | 65 | 8 | 528 |
| Women | 38 | 57 | 5 | 531 | 35 | 57 | 8 | 552 |
| 18-49 | 36 | 55 | 9 | 335 | 31 | 58 | 11 | 393 |
| 50+ | 31 | 64 | 5 | 695 | 31 | 64 | 5 | 677 |
| College grad+ | 48 | 47 | 5 | 435 | 38 | 56 | 5 | 467 |
| Some college or less | 26 | 66 | 8 | 608 | 27 | 64 | 9 | 610 |
| Male college grad+ | 41 | 53 | 6 | 214 | 34 | 61 | 5 | 239 |
| Female college grad+ | 55 | 40 | 5 | 221 | 43 | 52 | 5 | 228 |
| Male some college or less | 22 | 68 | 11 | 300 | 23 | 68 | 9 | 287 |
| Female some college or less | 30 | 64 | 6 | 308 | 31 | 60 | 9 | 323 |
| \$75,000+ | 41 | 54 | 5 | 325 | 35 | 60 | 5 | 369 |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 31 | 62 | 7 | 355 | 31 | 62 | 7 | 351 |
| Less than \$30,000 | 30 | 60 | 10 | 251 | 29 | 60 | 12 | 260 |
| Republican | 6 | 92 | 2 | 270 | 9 | 85 | 6 | 345 |
| Democrat | 75 | 20 | 5 | 250 | 72 | 20 | 8 | 256 |
| Independent | 28 | 63 | 9 | 488 | 26 | 65 | 9 | 433 |
| Northeast | 41 | 53 | 6 | 170 | 34 | 59 | 8 | 199 |
| Midwest | 35 | 58 | 7 | 257 | 31 | 61 | 9 | 290 |
| South | 25 | 67 | 8 | 368 | 24 | 69 | 7 | 380 |
| West | 37 | 56 | 7 | 252 | 40 | 52 | 9 | 211 |

## SYRIAN AIRSTRIKES TABLE

Pew Research Center, Aug 29-Sep 1, 2013 and Pew Research Center/USA TODAY Sep 4-8, 2013
Q5: Would you favor or oppose the U.S. conducting military airstrikes against Syria in response to reports that the Syrian government used chemical weapons?

|  | Aug 29-Sep 1 |  |  | Sep 4-8 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Favor | Oppose | (VOL.) DK/Ref | N | Favor | Oppose | (VOL.) DK/Ref | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| TOTAL | 29 | 48 | 23 | 1000 | 28 | 63 | 9 | 1506 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 39 | 46 | 15 | 462 | 34 | 60 | 6 | 730 |
| Women | 19 | 49 | 31 | 538 | 23 | 66 | 11 | 776 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-49 | 29 | 47 | 24 | 365 | 29 | 63 | 9 | 637 |
| 50+ | 29 | 49 | 21 | 595 | 28 | 64 | 8 | 854 |
| DETAILED AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-29 | 27 | 49 | 24 | 129 | 27 | 64 | 9 | 245 |
| 30-49 | 30 | 45 | 25 | 236 | 30 | 62 | 9 | 392 |
| 50-64 | 30 | 49 | 21 | 271 | 25 | 68 | 6 | 456 |
| 65+ | 28 | 50 | 22 | 324 | 32 | 57 | 11 | 398 |
| GENDER BY AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men 18-49 | 40 | 46 | 14 | 180 | 36 | 59 | 5 | 343 |
| Men 50+ | 39 | 46 | 15 | 268 | 33 | 61 | 6 | 382 |
| Women 18-49 | 18 | 48 | 34 | 185 | 22 | 66 | 12 | 294 |
| Women 50+ | 21 | 52 | 27 | 327 | 24 | 66 | 10 | 472 |
| RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic | 29 | 47 | 24 | 732 | 26 | 66 | 8 | 1080 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 22 | 53 | 25 | 124 | 36 | 52 | 12 | 171 |
| Hispanic | -- | -- | -- | 61 | 34 | 62 | 4 | 152 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College grad+ | 26 | 44 | 30 | 378 | 27 | 65 | 9 | 578 |
| Some college | 32 | 50 | 18 | 301 | 30 | 63 | 8 | 439 |
| High school or less | 29 | 49 | 22 | 304 | 28 | 63 | 9 | 483 |
| FAMILY INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$75,000+ | 25 | 44 | 31 | 138 | 28 | 65 | 8 | 453 |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 32 | 47 | 21 | 271 | 27 | 65 | 7 | 496 |
| Less than \$30,000 | 29 | 49 | 23 | 591 | 32 | 59 | 9 | 415 |
| RELIGIOUS PREFERENCE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Protestants | -- | -- | -- | -- | 30 | 60 | 9 | 743 |
| White NH evang. Prot. | -- | -- | -- | -- | 27 | 66 | 7 | 280 |
| White NH mainline Prot. | -- | -- | -- | -- | 28 | 64 | 8 | 250 |
| Total Catholic | -- | -- | -- | -- | 27 | 66 | 7 | 358 |
| White NH Cath. | -- | -- | -- | -- | 22 | 69 | 9 | 257 |
| Unaffiliated | -- | -- | -- | -- | 27 | 68 | 5 | 246 |
| ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weekly or more | -- | -- | -- | -- | 27 | 63 | 10 | 619 |
| Less than weekly | -- | -- | -- | -- | 29 | 63 | 7 | 870 |
| REGION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 31 | 50 | 19 | 187 | 25 | 67 | 8 | 270 |
| Midwest | 31 | 45 | 24 | 250 | 28 | 64 | 8 | 348 |
| South | 29 | 49 | 22 | 366 | 28 | 63 | 9 | 560 |
| West | 26 | 46 | 28 | 197 | 32 | 60 | 8 | 328 |

## SYRIAN AIRSTRIKES TABLE (CONT.)

Pew Research Center, Aug 29-Sep 1, 2013 and Pew Research Center/USA TODAY Sep 4-8, 2013
Q5: Would you favor or oppose the U.S. conducting military airstrikes against Syria in response to reports that the Syrian government used chemical weapons?

|  | Aug 29-Sep 1 |  |  |  | Sep 4-8 |  |  | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Favor }}{\%}$ | $\frac{\text { Oppose }}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (VOL.) } \\ & \frac{\text { DK/Ref }}{\%} \end{aligned}$ | N | $\frac{\text { Favor }}{\%}$ | $\frac{\text { Oppose }}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (VOL.) } \\ & \frac{\text { DK/Ref }}{\%} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| REGISTERED VOTER |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yes, certain | 28 | 48 | 24 | 802 | 28 | 63 | 9 | 1201 |
| Not registered | 34 | 46 | 21 | 198 | 28 | 63 | 8 | 305 |
| PARTY ID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 35 | 40 | 24 | 226 | 21 | 70 | 9 | 396 |
| Democrat | 29 | 48 | 23 | 301 | 35 | 53 | 12 | 472 |
| Independent | 29 | 50 | 20 | 352 | 28 | 66 | 6 | 569 |
| PARTY WITH LEANERS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Rep/Lean Rep | 32 | 43 | 25 | 351 | 23 | 70 | 7 | 657 |
| Dem/Lean Dem | 33 | 46 | 21 | 442 | 35 | 55 | 10 | 696 |
| IDEOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservative | -- | -- | -- | -- | 27 | 65 | 9 | 614 |
| Moderate | -- | -- | -- | -- | 31 | 62 | 7 | 533 |
| Liberal | -- | -- | -- | -- | 29 | 63 | 8 | 320 |
| PARTY AND IDEOLOGY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservative Republican | -- | -- | -- | -- | 20 | 70 | 10 | 274 |
| Mod/Lib Republican | -- | -- | -- | -- | 25 | 69 | 6 | 116 |
| Mod/Cons Democrat | -- | -- | -- | -- | 37 | 51 | 11 | 283 |
| Liberal Democrat | -- | -- | -- | -- | 33 | 56 | 11 | 180 |
| AMONG WHITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 37 | 48 | 15 | 336 | 31 | 64 | 5 | 528 |
| Women | 20 | 47 | 33 | 396 | 21 | 68 | 11 | 552 |
| 18-49 | 25 | 46 | 28 | 234 | 26 | 67 | 8 | 393 |
| 50+ | 32 | 49 | 20 | 483 | 26 | 66 | 8 | 677 |
| College grad+ | 26 | 45 | 30 | 304 | 26 | 66 | 8 | 467 |
| Some college or less | 30 | 48 | 22 | 424 | 26 | 66 | 8 | 610 |
| Male college grad+ | 31 | 53 | 17 | 137 | 29 | 63 | 8 | 239 |
| Female college grad+ | 21 | 38 | 41 | 167 | 23 | 68 | 8 | 228 |
| Male some college or less | 41 | 45 | 14 | 196 | 31 | 65 | 4 | 287 |
| Female some college or less | 20 | 51 | 29 | 228 | 20 | 68 | 12 | 323 |
| \$75,000+ | -- | -- | -- | 97 | 25 | 68 | 7 | 369 |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 30 | 50 | 20 | 220 | 25 | 69 | 6 | 351 |
| Less than \$30,000 | 28 | 47 | 25 | 415 | 28 | 63 | 9 | 260 |
| Republican | 33 | 43 | 25 | 204 | 20 | 70 | 9 | 345 |
| Democrat | 35 | 41 | 24 | 188 | 38 | 50 | 13 | 256 |
| Independent | 26 | 54 | 20 | 266 | 25 | 70 | 4 | 433 |
| Northeast | 27 | 54 | 19 | 136 | 22 | 69 | 9 | 199 |
| Midwest | 28 | 45 | 27 | 207 | 28 | 65 | 7 | 290 |
| South | 33 | 48 | 19 | 249 | 24 | 67 | 9 | 380 |
| West | 24 | 42 | 34 | 140 | 31 | 62 | 7 | 211 |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE \& THE PRESS SEPTEMBER 2013 POLITICAL SURVEY <br> FINAL TOPLINE <br> September 4-8, 2013 <br> $\mathrm{N}=1,506$

ASK ALL:
Q. 1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President? [IF DK ENTER AS DK. IF DEPENDS PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President? IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK]

|  | Approve | Dis- <br> Approve | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |  | Approve | Dis- <br> Approve | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 (U) | 44 | 49 | 8 | Jan 5-9, 2011 | 46 | 44 | 10 |
| Jul 17-21, 2013 | 46 | 46 | 7 | Dec 1-5, 2010 | 45 | 43 | 13 |
| Jun 12-16, 2013 | 49 | 43 | 7 | Nov 4-7, 2010 | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| May 1-5, 2013 | 51 | 43 | 6 | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 46 | 45 | 9 |
| Mar 13-17, 2013 | 47 | 46 | 8 | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 47 | 44 | 9 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) | 51 | 41 | 7 | Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 47 | 41 | 12 |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 52 | 40 | 7 | Jun 8-28, 2010 | 48 | 41 | 11 |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 55 | 39 | 6 | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 | 50 | 43 | 7 | May 6-9, 2010 | 47 | 42 | 11 |
| Jun 7-17, 2012 | 47 | 45 | 8 | Apr 21-26, 2010 | 47 | 42 | 11 |
| May 9-Jun 3, 2012 | 46 | 42 | 11 | Apr 8-11, 2010 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| Apr 4-15, 2012 | 46 | 45 | 9 | Mar 10-14, 2010 | 46 | 43 | 12 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 50 | 41 | 9 | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 49 | 39 | 12 |
| Feb 8-12, 2012 | 47 | 43 | 10 | Jan 6-10, 2010 | 49 | 42 | 10 |
| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 44 | 48 | 8 | Dec 9-13, 2009 | 49 | 40 | 11 |
| Dec 7-11, 2011 | 46 | 43 | 11 | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 51 | 36 | 13 |
| Nov 9-14, 2011 | 46 | 46 | 8 | Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 52 | 36 | 12 |
| Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 | 43 | 48 | 9 | Sep 10-15, 2009 | 55 | 33 | 13 |
| Aug 17-21, 2011 | 43 | 49 | 7 | Aug 20-27, 2009 | 52 | 37 | 12 |
| Jul 20-24, 2011 | 44 | 48 | 8 | Aug 11-17, 2009 | 51 | 37 | 11 |
| Jun 15-19, 2011 | 46 | 45 | 8 | Jul 22-26, 2009 | 54 | 34 | 12 |
| May 25-30, 2011 | 52 | 39 | 10 | Jun 10-14, 2009 | 61 | 30 | 9 |
| May 5-8, 2011 | 50 | 39 | 11 | Apr 14-21, 2009 | 63 | 26 | 11 |
| May 2, 2011 (WP) | 56 | 38 | 6 | Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 | 61 | 26 | 13 |
| Mar 30-Apr 3, 2011 | 47 | 45 | 8 | Mar 9-12, 2009 | 59 | 26 | 15 |
| Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 | 51 | 39 | 10 | Feb 4-8, 2009 | 64 | 17 | 19 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 49 | 42 | 9 |  |  |  |  |

See past presidents' approval trends: George W. Bush, Bill Clinton

## NO QUESTION 2

## ASK ALL:

Now, thinking about how Barack Obama is handling some issues...
Q. 3 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling [INSERT ITEMS;

RANDOMIZE; OBSERVE FORM SPLITS]. How about [NEXT ITEM]? [REPEAT INTRODUCTION AS NECESSARY]

|  | Approve | Disapprove | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The economy |  |  |  |
| Sep 4-8, 2013(U) | 43 | 52 | 5 |
| Jun 12-16, 2013 | 44 | 50 | 5 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) | 40 | 56 | 4 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 43 | 53 | 4 |
| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 38 | 59 | 4 |
| Nov 9-14, 2011 | 35 | 58 | 6 |
| Aug 17-21, 2011 | 34 | 60 | 6 |
| May 2, 2011 | 40 | 55 | 4 |

## Q. 3 CONTINUED...

|  | Approve | Disapprove | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar 30-Apr 3, 2011 | 39 | 56 | 6 |
| Jan 6-9, 2011 | 42 | 51 | 7 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 43 | 51 | 5 |
| May 6-9, 2010 | 41 | 51 | 8 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 38 | 54 | 8 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 41 | 52 | 7 |
| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 42 | 51 | 7 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 42 | 52 | 5 |
| Jul 22-26, 2009 | 38 | 53 | 9 |
| Jun 10-14, 2009 | 52 | 40 | 8 |
| Apr 14-21, 2009 | 60 | 33 | 7 |
| Feb 4-8, 2009 | 56 | 24 | 20 |

## QUESTION 3 ITEMS b,c HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## NO ITEMS d-e.

## ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=744]:

f.F1 The nation's foreign policy

| Sep 4-8, $2013(U)$ | 33 | 57 | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jan 11-16,2012 | 46 | 45 | 10 |


| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 46 | 45 | 10 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Nov 9-14, $2011 \quad 46 \quad 40 \quad 13$
Jun 16-20, $2010 \quad 45 \quad 40 \quad 15$

| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 42 | 40 | 18 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 44 | 40 | 16 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Oct 28-Nov 8, $2009 \quad 44 \quad 38 \quad 18$
Jul 22-26, $2009 \quad 47 \quad 32$
Jun 10-14, $2009 \quad 57 \quad 31$

| Apr 14-21, 2009 | 61 | 22 | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Feb 4-8, } 2009 & 52 & 17\end{array}$
NO ITEMS g-h.
ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=762]:
i.F2 The situation in Syria

Sep 4-8, $2013(U) \quad 29 \quad 56$
QUESTION 4 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ASK ALL:

Thinking now about Syria ...
Q. 5 Would you favor or oppose the U.S. conducting military airstrikes against Syria in response to reports that the Syrian government used chemical weapons?
ASK IF FAVOR OR OPPOSE ( $\mathbf{Q} .5=1,2$ ) [ $N=1,374$ ]:
Q.5a Do you [favor/oppose] very strongly, or not so strongly?

| (U) <br> Sep 4-8 <br> $\frac{2013}{28}$ | Aug 29-Sep 1 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 28 | Favor | $\frac{2013}{29}$ |
| 12 | Very strongly | -- |
| $*$ | Not so strongly | -- |
| 63 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | -- |
| 45 | Oppose | 48 |
| 18 | Very strongly | -- |
| 1 | Not so strongly | -- |
| 9 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | -- |
|  | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 23 |

## ASK ALL:

Q. 6 Do you think Barack Obama has explained clearly why the U.S. should launch military airstrikes against Syrian military targets or has he not explained the reasons clearly enough?

|  | Explained <br> clearly | Not clearly <br> enough | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 (U) | 35 | 54 | 12 |
| Aug 29-Sept 1, 2013 | 32 | 48 | 20 |
| TRENDS FOR COMPARISON: |  |  |  |
| March, 2003: Do you think George W. Bush has explained |  |  |  |
| clearly what's at stake as to why the U.S. might use military |  |  |  |
| force to end the rule of Saddam Hussein, or do you think he | 49 | 47 | 4 |
| has not explained the reasons clearly enough? | 49 | 40 | 7 |
| February, 2003 | 53 | 53 | 5 |
| January, 2003 | 42 | 45 | 7 |
| December, 2002 | 48 | 48 | 7 |
| Late October, 2002 | 48 | 47 | 11 |
| Mid-September, 2002 | 32 | 52 | 11 |
| Late August, 2002 |  |  |  |
| New York Times August, 1990: Do you think George Bush |  |  |  |
| has explained clearly what's at stake and why the U.S. is |  |  |  |
| sending troops to Saudi Arabia, or do you think he has not |  | 50 | 41 |

## ASK ALL:

Q. 7 In your view, who should have the final authority for deciding whether the United States should conduct military airstrikes against Syria [READ AND RANDOMIZE]?
(U)

Sep 4-8
$\underline{2013}$
61 Congress
30 President Obama
2 Depends (VOL.)
6 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

## Q. 7 TRENDS FOR COMPARISON...

Invade Iraq with ground troops...
Gallup/CNN/USA Today:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & \underline{2002} \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| 54 | Congress |
| 40 | President Bush |
| 2 | Depends (VOL.) |
| 4 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

Send ground troops to serve in a combat situation in Yugoslavia...
Gallup/CNN/USA Today:

| April <br> $\frac{1999}{6}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| 31 |  |
| 1 | Congress |
| 4 | President Clinton |
|  | Depends (VOL.) |
|  | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

## ASK ALL:

Q. 8 As I read some statements about the situation in Syria, please tell me whether you agree or disagree with each. First, [INSERT ITEM, RANDOMIZE]: do you agree or disagree? How about [NEXT ITEM]? [REPEAT AS NECESSARY: Do you agree or disagree?]

## BASED ON INTERVIEWS SEPTEMBER. 5-8 ONLY [N=1,242]:

| Agree | Disagree | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 54 | 43 | 4 |
| 49 | 46 | 4 |

b. The U.S. must act to show that the use of chemical weapons is unacceptable
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Sep 5-8, } 2013(U) & 60 & 36\end{array}$
c. The U.S. will lose credibility around the world if it does not act

Sep 5-8, 2013 (U) $39 \quad 56$
d. The situation in Syria is a threat to the security of the U.S

Sep 5-8, 2013 (U)
$45 \quad 50$
e. U.S. airstrikes in Syria are likely to make things in the Middle East worse
Sep 5-8, 2013 (U) $75 \quad 19$
f. There are no good options for the U.S. in how it deals with Syria
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Sep 5-8, } 2013(U) & 61 & 34\end{array}$
NO QUESTIONS 9, 11-14, 18-28, 30-34, 40, 42-43, 46-54, 57-59, 62, 68, 70-77
QUESTIONS $10,15-17,29,35-39,41,44-45,55-56,60-61,63-67,69,78-85$ HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

[^0]ASK ALL:
PARTY In politics TODAY, do you consider yourself a Republican, Democrat, or independent?
ASK IF INDEP/NO PREF/OTHER/DK/REF (PARTY=3,4,5,9):
PARTYLN
As of today do you lean more to the Republican Party or more to the Democratic Party?

|  | Republican | Democrat | Independent | (VOL.) <br> No preference | (VOL.) Other party | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lean } \\ & \text { Rep } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lean } \\ & \text { Dem } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 | 26 | 32 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 15 |
| Jul 17-21, 2013 | 19 | 29 | 46 | 3 | * | 2 | 19 | 18 |
| Jun 12-16, 2013 | 23 | 33 | 39 | 3 | * | 2 | 17 | 15 |
| May 1-5, 2013 | 25 | 32 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 16 |
| Mar 13-17, 2013 | 26 | 33 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 15 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 22 | 32 | 41 | 2 | * | 2 | 15 | 19 |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 25 | 32 | 38 | 2 | * | 2 | 15 | 16 |
| Dec 17-19, 2012 | 21 | 32 | 38 | 4 | * | 4 | 15 | 14 |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 23 | 33 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 19 |
| Oct 31-Nov 3, 2012 | 26 | 34 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 16 |
| Oct 24-28, 2012 | 28 | 33 | 33 | 4 | * | 2 | 12 | 16 |
| Oct 4-7, 2012 | 27 | 31 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 15 |
| Sep 12-16, 2012 | 24 | 35 | 36 | 2 | * | 2 | 14 | 16 |
| Yearly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 36.4 | 3.1 | . 5 | 2.7 | 14.4 | 16.1 |
| 2011 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 37.4 | 3.1 | . 4 | 2.5 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| 2010 | 25.2 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 3.6 | . 4 | 2.8 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
| 2009 | 23.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 3.4 | . 4 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 25.7 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 3.6 | . 3 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| 2007 | 25.3 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 4.3 | . 4 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 17.0 |
| 2006 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 30.9 | 4.4 | . 3 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 15.1 |
| 2005 | 29.3 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 4.5 | . 3 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| 2004 | 30.0 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 3.8 | . 4 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 30.3 | 31.5 | 30.5 | 4.8 | . 5 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 |
| 2002 | 30.4 | 31.4 | 29.8 | 5.0 | . 7 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 29.0 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 5.2 | . 6 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| 2001 Post-Sept 11 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 5.2 | . 6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 9.4 |
| 2001 Pre-Sept 11 | 27.3 | 34.4 | 30.9 | 5.1 | . 6 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 13.5 |
| 2000 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 5.5 | . 5 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 |
| 1999 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 3.9 | . 5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 1998 | 27.9 | 33.7 | 31.1 | 4.6 | . 4 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 13.1 |
| 1997 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 32.0 | 4.0 | . 4 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 14.1 |
| 1996 | 28.9 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 3.0 | . 4 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 14.9 |
| 1995 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 33.7 | 2.4 | . 6 | 1.3 | 15.1 | 13.5 |
| 1994 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 1.3 | -- | 3.6 | 13.7 | 12.2 |
| 1993 | 27.4 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 14.9 |
| 1992 | 27.6 | 33.7 | 34.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 2.5 | 12.6 | 16.5 |
| 1991 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 33.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 14.7 | 10.8 |
| 1990 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 29.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 12.4 | 11.3 |
| 1989 | 33 | 33 | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1987 | 26 | 35 | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

ASK REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANERS ONLY (PARTY=1 OR PARTYLN=1):
TEAPARTY3 From what you know, do you agree or disagree with the Tea Party movement, or don't you have an opinion either way?

BASED ON REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANERS [N=657]:

Sep 4-8, 2013
Jul 17-21, 2013
Jun 12-16, 2013

| $\frac{\text { Agree }}{35}$ | $\frac{\text { Disagree }}{}$ | No opinion <br> either way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37 | 9 | 54 |
| 44 | 10 | 50 |
| 44 | 9 | 46 |


| (VOL.) <br> Haven't <br> heard of | Not <br> (VOL.) <br> Refused <br> heard of/ | $D K$ <br> 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  | -- |  |
| 1 | 2 | -- |  |
|  |  | - |  |

## TEAPARTY3 CONTINUED...

|  | Agree | Disagree |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| May 23-26, 2013 | 41 | 7 |
| May 1-5, 2013 | 28 | 8 |
| Mar 13-17, 2013 | 43 | 7 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 36 | 9 |
| Feb 14-17, 2013 | 43 | 9 |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 35 | 10 |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 37 | 11 |
| Oct 31-Nov 3, 2012 (RVs) | 40 | 8 |
| Oct 4-7, 2012 | 38 | 9 |
| Sep 12-16, 2013 | 39 | 7 |
| Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 | 40 | 9 |
| Jun 7-17, 2012 | 42 | 8 |
| May 9-Jun 3, 2012 | 36 | 9 |
| Apr 4-15, 2012 | 42 | 8 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 38 | 10 |
| Feb 8-12, 2012 | 40 | 7 |
| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 42 | 8 |
| Jan 4-8, 2012 | 37 | 8 |
| Dec 7-11, 2011 | 40 | 9 |
| Nov 9-14, 2011 | 41 | 9 |
| Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 | 37 | 11 |
| Aug 17-21, 2011 | 43 | 7 |
| Jul 20-24, 2011 | 40 | 7 |
| Jun 15-19, 2011 | 42 | 9 |
| May 25-30, 2011 | 37 | 7 |
| Mar 30-Apr 3, 2011 | 45 | 9 |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 37 | 7 |
| Feb 22-Mar 1,2011 | 41 | 9 |
| Feb 2-7, 20112 | 43 | 8 |
| Jan 5-9, 2011 | 45 | 6 |
| Dec 1-5, 2010 | 48 | 5 |
| Nov 4-7, 2010 | 51 | 5 |
| Oct 27-30, 2010 (RVs) | 58 | 5 |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 (RVs) | 54 | 5 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 (RVs) | 56 | 6 |
| Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 46 | 5 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 46 | 5 |
| May 20-23, 2010 | 53 | 4 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 48 | 4 |


| (VOL.) |  | Not |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Haven't | (VOL.) | heard of/ |
| heard of | Refused | DK |
| 1 | 3 | -- |
| 2 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 3 | -- |
| 1 | 2 | -- |
| 2 | 2 | -- |
| 1 | * | -- |
| 1 | 2 | -- |
| 1 | 3 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 2 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 2 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 2 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 2 | 1 | -- |
| * | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| * | 1 | -- |
| * | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 3 | -- |
| * | 1 | -- |
| 1 | * | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| 1 | 1 | -- |
| -- | 1 | 9 |
| -- | 1 | 10 |
| -- | * | 9 |
| -- | 1 | 13 |
| -- | * | 19 |
| -- | 1 | 16 |
| -- | 1 | 21 |

## Key to Pew Research trends noted in the topline:

| (U) | Pew Research Center/USA Today polls |
| :--- | :--- |
| (WP) | Pew Research Center/Washington Post polls |

[^1]
[^0]:    1 In June 2013, the question was worded "The U.S. has a moral obligation to do what it can to stop the violence in Syria."

[^1]:    2 In the February 2-7, 2011, survey and before, question read "...do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with the Tea Party movement..." In October 2010 and earlier, question was asked only of those who had heard or read a lot or a little about the Tea Party. In May 2010 through October 2010, it was described as: "the Tea Party movement that has been involved in campaigns and protests in the U.S. over the past year." In March 2010 it was described as "the Tea Party protests that have taken place in the U.S. over the past year."

