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## Democrats Stirring But Are No Match for Energized Republicans Ground War More Intense Than 2006, Early Voting More Prevalent

As the midterm elections approach, there is every indication that voter turnout will be as high as in 2006, but unlike four years ago, Republicans - not Democrats - are now more engaged and enthusiastic about casting a ballot. The prospects for a GOP turnout advantage on Election Day are almost as favorable in the new Pew Research Center survey as they have been in all previous polls throughout the campaign.

The new survey does show some signs of the Democrats awakening. A growing number of Democratic voters say they have given a lot of thought to the coming elections and more say they have been closely following campaign news. However, Republican engagement continues at record levels, dwarfing even improved Democratic showings on these indicators.

Consequently, a considerably greater percentage of Republicans than Democrats still fall into the likely voter category. Moreover, the new survey shows that Democrats have lost ground among all voters: Currently, $46 \%$ of registered voters favor the Republican candidate in their district or lean Republican, while $42 \%$ favor the Democratic candidate or lean Democratic. In early September, 44\% backed the Republican while 47\% supported the Democratic candidate.

When the current survey is narrowed to those

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Regist } \\ & \text { vote } \end{aligned}$ | tered ers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vote Rep | Vote Dem | Adv | Vote Rep | Vote Dem | Adv |
| October | 46\% | 42\% | R+4 | 50\% | 40\% | $R+10$ |
| September | 44 | 47 | D+3 | 50 | 43 | R+7 |
| August | 44 | 45 | D+1 | -- | -- |  |
| June | 45 | 45 | even | -- | -- |  |
| March | 44 | 44 | even | -- | -- |  |
| February | 42 | 45 | D+3 | -- | -- |  |
| January | 44 | 46 | D+2 | -- | -- |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Based on 1797 registered voters and 1354 likely voters. Likely voter estimates based on a seven-question turnout scale. most likely to vote, the GOP holds a doubledigit advantage - 50\% to 40\%. In early September, Republicans held a seven-point lead among likely voters ( $50 \%$ to $43 \%$ ).

The latest national survey by the Pew Research Center for the People \& the Press, conducted Oct. 13-18 among 1,797 registered voters, including 1,354 likely voters, finds more ominous signs for Democrats. First, the growing popularity of early voting means that Democrats have less time to make up ground. The survey finds that about a quarter
(27\%) of voters nationally, including $52 \%$ of voters in the West, say they plan to vote before Election Day or have already voted. Republicans (29\%) and Democrats (28\%) are equally likely to say they plan to vote early or have already voted. At a comparable point in the 2006 midterm, $18 \%$ said they would be early voters.

Second, while the parties' voter mobilization efforts are well underway at this stage of the campaign, there is no indication that Democrats are making more headway on these efforts than are Republicans.

Overall, voter outreach by the candidates and political groups now outpaces levels seen in October 2006. Currently, $59 \%$ say

## Early Voting Greater

 than in 2006|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plan to vote... | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| On Election Day | 74 | 65 |
| Before Election Day | 15 | 23 |
| Already voted | 3 | 4 |
| Don't know when | 1 | 2 |
| Don't plan to vote/ |  |  |
| Don't know | $\underline{6}$ | $\underline{6}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 |

NET:
Already/Before $18 \quad 27$
PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Based on registered voters. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. they have been reached by phone (either through a pre-recorded or live call) by candidates or political groups; four years ago, $41 \%$ said they had been reached over the phone. About a quarter of voters (26\%) report receiving email from candidates or political groups; $16 \%$ said they had been contacted by email in October 2006. Nearly one-in-five (18\%) say they have been visited at home by someone talking about the elections, which is up slightly from 2006 (14\%).

In the new survey, $67 \%$ of Republicans say they have received live or recorded calls, compared with $54 \%$ of Democrats. In 2006, somewhat more Democrats (45\%) than Republicans (37\%) said they had been reached by phone. Republicans and Democrats are about equally likely to have been reached by email or through a personal visit at their home.

| More Campaign Outreach and Involvement |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% who have... | All voters | Rep | Dem | Ind |
| Received phone call* | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Oct 2010 | 59 | 67 | 54 | 58 |
| Oct 2006 | 41 | 37 | 45 | 41 |
| Received email |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 2010 | 26 | 28 | 27 | 25 |
| Oct 2006 | 16 | 15 | 18 | 17 |
| Been visited at home |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 2010 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 16 |
| Oct 2006 | 14 | 12 | 12 | 17 |
| Contributed money |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 2010 | 14 | 18 | 15 | 9 |
| Oct 2006 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 6 |
| Attended a campaign event |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 2010 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 10 |
| Oct 2006 | 9 | 8 | 12 | 7 |
| Volunteered time |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 2010 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 |
| Oct 2006 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 4 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q30b-e, Q41a-c. Based on registered voters.

* 2010 phone contact figures include pre-recorded calls.


## Campaign Ads Pervasive

Nearly nine-in-ten voters (88\%) say they have seen or heard campaign commercials, and a majority ( $56 \%$ ) say they have seen a lot of ads. In competitive House districts across the country, fully $67 \%$ say they have seen or heard a lot of campaign commercials.

And the tone of the campaign - on both sides - is negative. By greater than two-to-one ( $58 \%$ to $26 \%$ ), voters say Republican candidates in their state have spent more time attacking Democrats than explaining what they would do if elected. Voters offer a similar assessment of Democratic candidates: $56 \%$ say they spend more of their time attacking Republicans, $26 \%$ say they have been explaining their proposals.

Voters are divided as to whether it is important to know who paid for campaign ads $49 \%$ say it is important while $50 \%$ say it does not matter much. And for the most part, they say they have no difficulty determining who is paying for campaign ads. More than half ( $55 \%$ ) say it easy to tell who paid for the ads while $32 \%$ say it is difficult.

The survey finds that levels of voter participation in the midterm campaign are on par with 2006. However, significantly more Republicans report contributing money to candidates than did so four years ago ( $18 \%$ vs. 11\%). And more conservative Republicans (21\%) say they have donated to a candidate this year than gave to any of the presidential candidates in 2008 (13\%).

Overall, Democrats are about as likely to say they have donated money to a candidate as did so in 2006 ( $15 \%$ now, $13 \%$ then). But far fewer

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ \text { 2008* } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 06-10 } \\ \text { Diff } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  |
| All voters | 10 | 15 | 14 |  |
| Conserv Rep | 13 | 13 | 21 | +8 |
| Mod/Lib Rep | 5 | 14 | 12 | +7 |
| Independent | 6 | 11 | 9 | +3 |
| Cons/Mod Dem | 11 | 11 | 12 | +1 |
| Liberal Dem | 19 | 34 | 20 | +1 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q41b. Based on registered voters. <br> * 2008 figures reflect contributions to a presidential candidate only. |  |  |  |  | liberal Democrats say they have donated than gave to a presidential candidate in 2008 ( $20 \%$ now vs. $34 \%$ then).

Only about one-in-ten voters (11\%) say they have attended a campaign event this year. But that figure rises to $17 \%$ among voters who say they agree with the Tea Party. Tea Party supporters are more likely than either Republicans (12\%) or Democrats (9\%) to say they have attended an event. And nearly three-in-ten (28\%) of those who agree with the

Tea Party say they have visited a candidate's website or followed a candidate online, compared with $19 \%$ of registered voters generally.

## Campaign Engagement

In the new survey, $55 \%$ of voters say they have given a lot of thought to the election, which is about the same as in October 2006 (54\%), but much higher than levels in the three previous midterms.

Compared with 2006, more Republican voters report giving a lot of thought to the election ( $64 \%$ now vs. $50 \%$ then). The reverse is true among Democrats: $49 \%$ now say they are giving a lot of thought to the election, compared with $59 \%$ at about this point in the 2006 campaign. At the high end of the engagement spectrum are those who agree with the Tea Party movement, $80 \%$ of whom have given a lot of thought to the coming election.

Turnout Indicators Match 2006 Highs


Only a third (33\%) of voters under age 30 have given a lot of thought to the campaign, which is comparable to 2006 and significantly less than among voters 30 and older ( $59 \%$ ). This is the typical pattern for young people in midterms, and very much unlike their high level of engagement in the 2008 presidential campaign.

## Reactions to Victory... or Defeat

While there are substantial differences between Republican and Democratic voters in overall engagement and enthusiasm at this point, both groups offer remarkably similar reactions to the possibility of their party winning - or losing - this November. When asked to describe in a word how they will feel if the Republican Party wins a majority in the House after the elections are over, Republican voters say they will feel hopeful, happy, relieved, and good. For the most part, these are the same kinds of words that Democrats volunteer to describe how they will feel if their party keeps its majority after the elections are over. One noticeable difference is that more Republicans than Democrats say the word hopeful best captures their feeling if their party has a majority after Election Day.

Reactions to defeat are also similar across party lines. By far, disappointed is the most common reaction each side expresses to the possibility of not winning a House majority this fall. A number of voters - on both sides also say they will feel sad or bad. The words disgusted and depressed come up more frequently among Republican voters than among Democratic voters. Relatively few voters on either side express extreme reactions, such as devastated, scared or fearful. And, while it has been a common catchphrase in this election cycle, virtually no voters - Republican or Democratic - used the word angry to describe their reaction to the possibility that the other party will have the majority when this election is over.

## One-Word Reactions to Republicans Winning a Majority

| Republican Voters | Democratic Voters <br> 44 Hopeful |
| :--- | :--- |
| 43 Happy | 17 Sad |
| 31 Relieved | 16 Unhappy |
| 26 Good | 13 Bad |
| 20 Great | 12 Okay |
| 13 Elated | 9 Scared |
| 12 Better | 7 Devastated |
| 12 Okay | 7 Disaster |
| 12 Satisfied | 5 Concerned |
| 10 Glad | 5 Satisfied |
| 10 Change | 5 Worried |
| 9 Ecstatic | 5 Fearful |
| 6 Excited | 5 Upset |

Out of 422 registered voters Out of 379 registered voters who plan to vote Republican who plan to vote Democratic.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010 Q27F1. Figures show the actual number of respondents who offered each word and are not percentages.

One-Word Reactions to Democrats Retaining their Majority

| Republican Voters | Democratic Voters |
| :---: | :---: |
| 86 Disappointed | 47 Happy |
| 16 Sad | 35 Relieved |
| 15 Bad | 33 Good |
| 13 Depressed | 24 Satisfied |
| 13 Disgusted | 15 Pleased |
| 10 Devastated | 12 Great |
| 9 Okay | 12 Hopeful |
| 8 Frustrated | 12 Okay |
| 8 Not good | 8 Glad |
| 8 Unhappy | 7 Better |
| 6 Indifferent | 7 Ecstatic |
| 6 Scared | 6 Fine |
| 6 Worried |  |
| Out of 422 registered voters who plan to vote Republican | Out of 379 registered voters who plan to vote Democratic. |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. show the actual number of each word and are not per | 13-18, 2010 Q26F1. Figures espondents who offered entages. |

## Other Important Findings

- The Republicans' advantage in 2010 is largely being driven by a swing in preferences among independent voters. Currently, likely independent voters favor the Republican candidate by 19 points ( $49 \%$ Republican vs. $30 \%$ Democrat). In November 2006, Democrats held a seven-point lead among likely independent voters.
- Anti-incumbent sentiment has remained high all year, and is substantially greater than in 2006, 2002 and 1998 and on par with levels in 1994.
- President Obama's approval ratings among the public remain unchanged from early September; currently, $46 \%$ approve, while $45 \%$ disapprove. About as many voters say they think of their vote as a vote against Obama as a vote for him ( $30 \%$ vs. $27 \%$ ). Four years ago, President Bush was more of a negative factor; just $20 \%$ said they were voting for him and $37 \%$ against him.
- Republicans hold a 12-point edge among likely voters in the most competitive districts in the nation, and have a bigger lead in safe Republican districts (27 points) than Democrats have in safe Democratic districts (10 points).
- Some signs of growing campaign interest among Democrats is consistent with the pattern in past midterm elections. Democratic engagement has increased as Election Day approached in every midterm since 1994, except in 2006 when Republican engagement surged in the campaign's closing weeks.


## SECTION ONE: THE MIDTERM VOTE

As has been the case all year, voters' preferences in the midterm elections remain divided. But for the first time, slightly more registered voters say they will vote for the Republican candidate in their district, or lean Republican, than say they will support a Democrat or lean Democratic ( $46 \%$ vs. $42 \%$ ). In early September, $44 \%$ said they planned to vote for a Republican and $47 \%$ for a Democrat.

The GOP continues to hold a significant advantage among likely voters ( $50 \%$ to $40 \%$ ). The Republicans held a seven-point lead among likely voters ( $50 \%$ to $43 \%$ ) in early September. In Pew Research's final preelection survey in 2006, Democrats led among registered voters (by $48 \%$ to $40 \%$ ) and held a slight advantage among likely voters ( $47 \%$ to

| GOP Retains Wide Advantage |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| among Likely Voters |  |  |  |
|  | Nov | Sept | $\mathbf{O c t}$ |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Registered voters | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Republican candidate | 40 | 44 | 46 |
| Democratic candidate | 48 | 47 | 42 |
| Other/Don't know | $\underline{12}$ | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{12}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | $\mathrm{D}+8$ | $\mathrm{D}+3$ | $\mathrm{R}+4$ |
| N | 2369 | 2816 | 1797 |
| Likely voters |  |  |  |
| Republican candidate | 43 | 50 | 50 |
| Democratic candidate | 47 | 43 | 40 |
| Other/Don't know | $\underline{10}$ | $\underline{7}$ | $\underline{10}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | D+4 | $\mathrm{R}+7$ | $\mathrm{R}+10$ |
| N | 1795 | 2053 | 1345 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q10/10a. Likely voter estimates for 2010 based on a seven-question turnout scale. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. 43\%).

The GOP now holds significant leads among likely voters in many demographic groups. Republicans lead by 15 points among men ( $52 \%$ to $37 \%$ ). In the closing days of the 2006 campaign, men were evenly divided.

The current survey shows no gender gap among white likely voters - white men favor the Republican congressional candidate by 23 points and white women by 20 points. In November 2006, white men backed the Republican by 11 points while white women were divided.

As was the case in September, independent likely voters favor the Republican candidate by a wide margin ( $49 \%$ to $30 \%$ ). Late in the 2006 campaign, Democrats held a sevenpoint edge among independent likely voters (42\% to $35 \%$ ).

White mainline Protestants, who were evenly divided in

Changes in the Midterm Landscape:
November 2006 to October 2010

|  | Nov. 1-4, 2006 |  |  | Oct. 13-18, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Vote Rep \% | Vote Dem \% | Adv | Vote Rep \% | Vote Dem \% | Adv |
| All likely voters | 43 | 47 | D+4 | 50 | 40 | R+10 |
| Men | 45 | 46 | D+1 | 52 | 37 | $\mathrm{R}+15$ |
| Women | 41 | 48 | D+7 | 49 | 43 | R+6 |
| 18-49 | 44 | 48 | D+4 | 50 | 40 | $\mathrm{R}+10$ |
| 50-64 | 44 | 45 | D+1 | 50 | 41 | R+9 |
| 65+ | 42 | 48 | D+6 | 52 | 38 | $\mathrm{R}+14$ |
| White, non-Hisp. | 49 | 42 | R+7 | 56 | 34 | R+22 |
| Black, non-Hisp. | 7 | 81 | D+74 | 10 | 83 | D+73 |
| White men | 52 | 41 | R+11 | 56 | 33 | $\mathrm{R}+23$ |
| White women | 47 | 43 | R+4 | 55 | 35 | $\mathrm{R}+20$ |
| College grad+ | 43 | 49 | D+6 | 50 | 43 | R+7 |
| Some college | 43 | 45 | D+2 | 53 | 35 | $\mathrm{R}+18$ |
| HS or less | 44 | 46 | D+2 | 49 | 41 | R+8 |
| Family income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$75,000 or more | 50 | 43 | R+7 | 54 | 36 | $\mathrm{R}+18$ |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 49 | 44 | $\mathrm{R}+5$ | 52 | 40 | $\mathrm{R}+12$ |
| Less than \$30,000 | 32 | 62 | D+30 | 39 | 55 | D+16 |
| Republican | 92 | 4 | R+88 | 95 | 1 | R+94 |
| Democrat | 2 | 94 | D+92 | 8 | 88 | D+80 |
| Independent | 35 | 42 | D+7 | 49 | 30 | $\mathrm{R}+19$ |
| Protestant | 50 | 41 | $\mathrm{R}+9$ | 56 | 35 | $\mathrm{R}+21$ |
| White evangelical | 68 | 24 | $\mathrm{R}+44$ | 75 | 16 | $\mathrm{R}+59$ |
| White mainline | 45 | 45 | Even | 58 | 32 | $\mathrm{R}+26$ |
| Catholic | 43 | 48 | D+5 | 52 | 40 | $\mathrm{R}+12$ |
| White Catholic | 48 | 43 | R+5 | 53 | 37 | R+16 |
| Unaffiliated | 20 | 71 | D+51 | 37 | 52 | D+15 |
| Northeast | 40 | 49 | D+9 | 44 | 45 | D+1 |
| Midwest | 40 | 51 | D+11 | 53 | 37 | $\mathrm{R}+16$ |
| South | 48 | 42 | R+6 | 55 | 37 | $\mathrm{R}+18$ |
| West | 44 | 47 | D+3 | 45 | 43 | R+2 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q10/10a. Based on likely voters. Likely voter estimates for 2010 based on a seven-question turnout scale.
support the Republican candidate by a $58 \%$ to $32 \%$ margin. White Catholics also were divided late in the previous midterm campaign; today they favor the Republican candidate by $53 \%$ to $37 \%$.

The midterm race is close among likely voters in the Northeast and West. But in the Midwest, $53 \%$ of likely voters back the Republican candidate compared with $37 \%$ who favor the Democrat. In November 2006, the Democrats held a $51 \%$ to $40 \%$ advantage among voters in the Midwest. And while the GOP had a six-point edge among likely voters in the South four years ago, Republicans currently lead by 18 points ( $55 \%$ to $37 \%$ ).

## Competitive and Safe Districts

The midterm race in this year's most competitive districts mirrors the race nationally: In the 77 House districts identified as competitive by a consensus of political analysts, $51 \%$ of likely voters favor the Republican candidate and $39 \%$ favor the Democratic candidate.

Those districts identified as "safe" Republican districts appear to be a bit safer than the districts seen as favoring Democrats. The GOP holds a $58 \%$ to $31 \%$ advantage among likely voters in safe Republican districts. In safe Democratic districts, $51 \%$ of likely voters favor the Democratic candidate while $41 \%$ favor the Republican.

| GOP Edge in Competitive Districts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type of district <br> Com- <br> Safe |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total | Safe <br> petitive | Rep <br> Dem |  |  |
| Registered voters | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Vote Republican | 46 | 48 | 54 | 36 |  |
| Vote Democratic | 42 | 39 | 33 | 54 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{R}+4$ | $\mathrm{R}+9$ | $\mathrm{R}+21$ | $\mathrm{D}+18$ |  |
| N | 1797 | 356 | 784 | 609 |  |
| Likely voters |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vote Republican | 50 | 51 | 58 | 41 |  |
| Vote Democratic | 40 | 39 | 31 | 51 |  |
|  | $\mathrm{R}+10$ | $\mathrm{R}+12$ | $\mathrm{R}+27$ | $\mathrm{D}+10$ |  |
| N | 1354 | 268 | 595 | 460 |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q10/10a. Likely voter estimates are based on a seven-item turnout scale. Competitive districts are the 77 House districts identified using an average of ratings from five different organizations; see methodology for more detail.

## Early Voting

Roughly one-in-four (27\%) registered voters say they plan to cast their ballot before Election Day or have already voted. This is up substantially from $18 \%$ of registered voters in October 2006. The actual share of votes submitted before Election Day in 2006 was $22.4 \%{ }^{1}$

Early voting was higher in 2008 than in 2006; $30.6 \%$ of the votes cast in 2008 were submitted before Nov. 4. In the Pew Research Center's final pre-election survey that year, $35 \%$ of registered voters said they had either already cast their ballot (26\%) or still planned to vote early (9\%).

Reflecting the variation in voting rules in different states, early voting intentions vary by region. Roughly half ( $52 \%$ ) of registered voters living in the West plan to vote early or have already done so. Three-in-ten voters (30\%) in the South say they will or have already voted early. Just $15 \%$ in the Midwest intend to vote early, as do only $8 \%$ in the Northeast.

More Early Voting than in '06;
Differences by Region and Age

| Plan to vote before <br> Election Day* | Oct <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | Oct <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All registered voters | 18 | 27 | +9 |
| Men | 18 | 29 | +11 |
| Women | 19 | 26 | +7 |
| $18-29$ | 14 | 25 | +11 |
| $30-49$ | 16 | 25 | +9 |
| $50-64$ | 20 | 26 | +6 |
| $65+$ | 22 | 34 | +12 |
| Northeast | 8 | 8 | 0 |
| Midwest | 9 | 15 | +6 |
| South | 17 | 30 | +13 |
| West | 42 | 52 | +10 |
| Republican | 20 | 29 | +9 |
| Democrat | 20 | 28 | +8 |
| Independent | 15 | 24 | +9 |
| Tea Party |  |  |  |
| Agree with | -- | 30 | -- |
| Disagree with | -- | 33 | -- |
| No opinion/Never heard of | -- | 23 | -- |
| Likely voters | 19 | 31 | +12 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. PLAN1/PLAN2.
Based on registered voters.

* Includes those who say they have already voted (3\% in 2006, 4\% in 2010).

Older Americans are more likely to vote early: Roughly a third (34\%) of voters 65 and older intend to cast their vote before Nov. 2, compared with about a quarter of younger voters. There are no substantial differences by gender or party.

[^0]
## Republicans Outpace Democrats in Campaign Interest

Overall levels of voter interest match or exceed the high levels recorded at a similar point in the 2006 election campaign. Unlike 2006, when interest among Democratic voters was on par with or exceeded Republican interest, Republicans today outpace the Democrats. In fact, Republicans are more interested and attentive to the campaign than at comparable points in the past five midterm election cycles. And when asked to compare their own level of enthusiasm with previous congressional elections, $56 \%$ of Republicans say they are more enthused, compared with just 41\% of Democrats.

Nearly two-thirds (64\%) of Republican registered voters report that they have given a lot of thought to the elections, $36 \%$ say they are following news about the campaign very closely, and 74\% say they definitely will vote.

Interest in the campaign among Democratic voters is as high as in recent midterms - with the exception of 2006 - but they still lag behind GOP voters. About half of Democrats (49\%) say they have given a lot of thought to the election, which is higher than in 1994 or 1998 and about the same as in 2002. It is 10

| Voter Interest and Engagement |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Given a lot of | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| thought to the | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| election | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| Republican | 53 | 50 | 47 | 50 | 64 |
| Democrat | 41 | 40 | 46 | 59 | 49 |
| Independent | 42 | 37 | 41 | 53 | 54 |
| $\quad$ Lean Rep | 47 | 46 | 43 | 60 | 63 |
| $\quad$ Lean Dem | 40 | 41 | 49 | 51 | 46 |
| R-D gap | $R+12$ | $R+10$ | $R+1$ | $\mathrm{D}+9$ | $\mathrm{R}+15$ |
| Following |  |  |  |  |  |
| campaign news | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{3 0}$ |
| Republican | 21 | 23 | 21 | 24 | 36 |
| Democrat | 19 | 24 | 20 | 28 | 27 |
| Independent | 14 | 17 | 21 | 28 | 29 |
| $\quad$ Lean Rep | 19 | 15 | 23 | 26 | 34 |
| Lean Dem | 13 | 13 | 22 | 29 | 26 |
| R-D gap | $R+2$ | $\mathrm{D}+1$ | $\mathrm{R}+1$ | $\mathrm{D}+4$ | $\mathrm{R}+9$ |
| Definitely |  |  |  |  |  |
| will vote | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 1}$ |
| Republican | 71 | 70 | 70 | 72 | 74 |
| Democrat | 64 | 65 | 64 | 73 | 70 |
| Independent | 62 | 57 | 56 | 68 | 68 |
| Lean Rep | 64 | 61 | 63 | 74 | 80 |
| Lean Dem | 60 | 56 | 49 | 64 | 63 |
| R-D gap | $R+7$ | $R+5$ | $\mathrm{R}+6$ | $\mathrm{D}+1$ | $\mathrm{R}+4$ |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. THOUGHT, SCALE10 \& CAMPNII. Based on registered voters. Figures from previous midterms taken from early or late October. points lower than at the same time four years ago, when $59 \%$ of Democratic voters were giving a lot of thought to the election. As many Democrats say they are following campaign news very closely as did so four years ago, and attention is greater now than in 1994 and 2002.

The gap in campaign interest among Republicans and Democrats is mirrored in differences among Republican-leaning and Democratic-leaning independents. Currently,
$63 \%$ of independents who lean to the GOP have given a lot of thought to the election compared with $46 \%$ of Democratic-leaning independents.

The Republican advantage in engagement can be seen in the comparatively lower levels of interest among some key Democratic voter groups. Young voters, who remain the party's most supportive age group, fall far below older voters in thought given to the election. Currently, $33 \%$ of voters younger than 30 say they have given a lot of thought to the campaign. That is no different from four years ago (30\%), but half or more in older age groups say they have given a lot of thought to the election.

Fewer than half (45\%) of young voters say they definitely will vote, 23 percentage points lower than among any other age group.

Interest in the election is much higher among white evangelical Protestants and white Catholics, both of which favor Republican candidates by a wide margin, than among the unaffiliated, who favor Democratic candidates by 15 points. Interest in the campaign among religious groups - as well as the unaffiliated - is

Who Is Giving a Lot of Thought to the Election?

|  |  |  |  |  |  | 06-10 | 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1994 | 1998 | 2002 | 2006 | 2010 | change | N |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |  |
| All registered |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vote Rep | 53 | 47 | 44 | 52 | 64 | +12 | 850 |
| Vote Dem | 40 | 42 | 49 | 58 | 49 | -9 | 747 |
| 18-29 | 30 | 29 | 32 | 30 | 33 | +3 | 160 |
| 30-49 | 44 | 38 | 43 | 49 | 50 | +1 | 469 |
| 50-64 | 49 | 50 | 46 | 65 | 61 | -4 | 656 |
| 65+ | 55 | 55 | 55 | 65 | 69 | +4 | 489 |
| Men | 50 | 43 | 45 | 60 | 56 | -4 | 769 |
| Women | 41 | 41 | 45 | 49 | 54 | +5 | 1028 |
| White, non-Hisp | 47 | 43 | 45 | 55 | 57 | +2 | 1399 |
| Black, non-Hisp | 34 | 42 | 46 | 54 | 43 | -11 | 175 |
| College grad+ | 55 | 48 | 54 | 57 | 62 | +5 | 756 |
| Some college | 48 | 48 | 42 | 55 | 60 | +5 | 460 |
| HS or Less | 39 | 35 | 40 | 51 | 44 | -7 | 571 |
| Northeast | 44 | 35 | 43 | 53 | 49 | -4 | 334 |
| Midwest | 42 | 44 | 41 | 58 | 55 | -3 | 416 |
| South | 45 | 42 | 49 | 53 | 54 | +1 | 665 |
| West | 53 | 46 | 42 | 53 | 64 | +11 | 382 |
| Union HH | 47 | 39 | 46 | 59 | 58 | -1 | 246 |
| Non-union HH | 46 | 43 | 44 | 54 | 55 | +1 | 1529 |
| Protestant | 47 | 44 | 44 | 54 | 58 | +4 | 986 |
| White evangelical | 50 | 45 | 45 | 52 | 59 | +7 | 410 |
| White mainline | 50 | 43 | 44 | 55 | 58 | +3 | 353 |
| Catholic | 43 | 38 | 43 | 54 | 57 | +3 | 387 |
| White Catholic | 43 | 40 | 42 | 56 | 64 | +8 | 302 |
| Unaffiliated | 43 | 35 | 42 | 49 | 47 | -2 | 268 |
| Married | 47 | 43 | 48 | 57 | 59 | +2 | 1093 |
| Not married | 43 | 42 | 40 | 50 | 48 | -2 | 691 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. THOUGHT. Based on registered voters. Figures from previous midterms taken from early or late October. little changed from 2006.

The survey finds that voters in the West are giving significantly more thought to the election this year than in 2006, perhaps driven by the large number of competitive statewide races going on in states like California, Washington, Oregon, Colorado and Nevada. Nearly two-thirds of Western voters (64\%) say they have given a lot of thought to the election, which is at least 9 points higher than in any other region.

Interest in the campaign among Democratic voters has increased this fall, with the percentage saying they have given a lot of thought to the campaign growing from $41 \%$ last month to $49 \%$ today. The proportion of Democrats who say they are following campaign news very closely has grown substantially since June - from $16 \%$ to $27 \%$ currently. And in three of four previous congressional elections polled by Pew Research, Democratic interest increased from October to the final weekend before the election. The only exception was 2006, when Democratic interest was already at a relatively high level.

| Democratic Interest Grows, But Gap with GOP Persists |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Late } \\ & \text { Oct } \end{aligned}$ $1994$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 1994 \end{gathered}$ | Early Oct <br> 1998 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 1998 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Oct } \end{aligned}$ $2002$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 2002 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & \text { Oct } \end{aligned}$ $2006$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Late } \\ & \text { Oct } \\ & 2006 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sept } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| Given a lot of thought to the election | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
|  | 45 | 56 | 42 | 49 | 45 | 52 | 51 | 54 | 61 | 50 | 55 |
| Republican | 53 | 61 | 50 | 54 | 47 | 55 | 48 | 50 | 64 | 64 | 64 |
| Democrat | 41 | 56 | 40 | 50 | 46 | 55 | 59 | 59 | 62 | 41 | 49 |
| Independent | 42 | 52 | 37 | 44 | 41 | 44 | 45 | 53 | 58 | 48 | 54 |
| R-D gap | $\mathrm{R}+12$ | R+5 | R+10 | $\mathrm{R}+4$ | R+1 | 0 | D+11 | D+9 | R+2 | $\mathrm{R}+23$ | $\mathrm{R}+15$ |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. THOUGHT. Based on registered voters. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Widespread Anti-Incumbent Sentiment

Only about half of registered voters (47\%) say they would like to see their own congressional representative reelected, while $32 \%$ do not want their own representative returned to Congress. At the same time, just a third (33\%) favor the reelection of most members of Congress; $54 \%$ say they would not like to see most members win reelection.

Four years ago, anti-incumbent sentiment also was extensive. But at a comparable point in that campaign, fewer voters (26\%) said they would not like to see their own representative reelected and opposed the reelection of most members of Congress (49\%).

As in previous surveys during this campaign, anti-incumbent feeling is concentrated among voters who favor the Republican candidate in their district. Republican voters are divided over whether their own representative deserves reelection: $39 \%$ would like to see their representative reelected while $42 \%$ would not. By nearly four-to-one ( $73 \%$ to $19 \%$ ), Republican voters say most members of Congress do not deserve reelection. By contrast, $63 \%$ of Democratic voters would like to see their own representative reelected and $52 \%$ say they would like to see most members of Congress reelected.

| Anti-Incumbent Sentiment Greater than in 2006 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Want to see reelected |  |  |  |  |
|  | Your Representative |  | Most Representatives |  |
|  | Yes | No | Yes | No |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Oct 2010 | 47 | 32 | 33 | 54 |
| Sept 2010 | 49 | 33 | 33 | 56 |
| June 2010 | 49 | 34 | 31 | 56 |
| March 2010 | 43 | 32 | 27 | 57 |
| Feb 2010 | 49 | 31 | 32 | 53 |
| Nov 2009 | 52 | 29 | 34 | 53 |
| 2006 Midterms |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 2006 | 55 | 25 | 37 | 46 |
| Late Oct 2006 | 55 | 26 | 34 | 49 |
| Sept 2006 | 53 | 27 | 35 | 49 |
| June 2006 | 51 | 32 | 29 | 57 |
| Feb 2006 | 59 | 28 | 36 | 49 |
| 2002 Midterms |  |  |  |  |
| Early Oct 2002 | 58 | 19 | 39 | 38 |
| June 2002 | 58 | 23 | 45 | 37 |
| 1998 Midterms |  |  |  |  |
| Late Oct 1998 | 64 | 19 | 41 | 37 |
| Early Oct 1998 | 58 | 20 | 39 | 39 |
| Early Sept 1998 | 63 | 20 | 46 | 37 |
| March 1998 | 63 | 21 | 45 | 41 |
| 1994 Midterms |  |  |  |  |
| Nov 1994 | 58 | 25 | 31 | 51 |
| Late Oct 1994 | 54 | 30 | 31 | 56 |
| Early Oct 1994 | 49 | 29 | 28 | 56 |
| 1990 Midterms |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 1990* | 62 | 22 | -- | -- |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q17 \& Q18. <br> Based on registered voters. <br> Figures read across. See topline for complete trends. <br> * 1990 data from Gallup |  |  |  |  |

## Many Prefer "New Faces" over Experience

About half of voters (53\%) say it is more important to have experienced people who know how the government works, while $36 \%$ say it is more important to have new faces in office this year. The percentage saying it is more important to have new faces in office is higher than in 2006, 1998 and 1994, though somewhat lower than earlier this year ( $41 \%$ in June).

Since June, during primary season, there has been an increase in the proportion of Republican voters who say it

| Young People Less Likely to See "New Faces" as Important |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% saying more | oct | Oct | Sept $2006$ | June $2010$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| faces in office | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All voters | 31 | 22 | 30 | 41 | 36 |
| 18-29 | 19 | 18 | 22 | 33 | 24 |
| 30-49 | 33 | 21 | 35 | 45 | 38 |
| 50-64 | 34 | 25 | 31 | 43 | 41 |
| 65+ | 31 | 27 | 27 | 40 | 35 |
| Republican | 39 | 19 | 21 | 42 | 50 |
| Democrat | 19 | 22 | 34 | 30 | 18 |
| Independent | 35 | 26 | 36 | 52 | 41 |
| Tea Party |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree with | -- | -- | -- | 58 | 62 |
| Disagree with | -- | -- | -- | 36 | 20 |
| No opinion/ Never heard of | -- | -- | -- | 34 | 29 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q23. Based on registered voters. |  |  |  |  |  | is more important to have new faces in office (from $42 \%$ to $50 \%$ ). More Republicans now see having new faces in office as more important than in October 1994 (39\%). By contrast, fewer Democrats want new faces in office than did so in June ( $18 \%$ today, $30 \%$ in June).

Voters who agree with the Tea Party continue to stand out for their preference for political newcomers - fully $62 \%$ say it is more important to have new faces in office, while just $29 \%$ say it is more important to have experienced people in government.

At the same time, young voters are less likely than their older counterparts to say they value new faces over experience ( $24 \%$ of voters younger than 30 express this view, the lowest percentage of any age group).

## Party Control of Congress a Factor for Voters

Since June, majorities have said that the issue of which party controls Congress will be a factor in their vote; currently $61 \%$ express this view. The proportion rating partisan control of Congress as a factor in their vote this year is as high as it was in 2006, and much higher than in 2002 and 1998.

While there has been little change in overall opinions on this issue, an increasing percentage of liberal Democrats say which party controls Congress will matter in their
More Liberal Democrats

| Moe Party |
| :--- |
| Control of Congress as a Factor |


| \% saying |
| :--- |
| party control is |
| a factor in vote |


| June | Sept | Oct | June-Oct |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All voters | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Conserv Rep | 58 | 56 | 61 | +3 |
| Mod/Lib Rep | 77 | 72 | 77 | 0 |
| Independent | 65 | 53 | 59 | -6 |
| Conserv/Mod Dem | 43 | 44 | 49 | +6 |
| Liberal Dem | 60 | 53 | 57 | -3 |
|  | 59 | 68 | 78 | +19 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q20. Based on registered voters. vote. Currently, $78 \%$ of liberal Democrats say party control will be a factor, up from $59 \%$ in June and $68 \%$ in September.

There has been little change among other partisan groups, including conservative Republicans. Consequently, there are no differences in these opinions between conservative Republicans and liberal Democrats; in June, conservatives were more likely than liberals to say party control of Congress would factor in their vote.

Similarly, while there has been little change in overall opinions about Barack Obama's impact on the vote since September, more liberal Democrats see their vote as a vote "for" Obama. Currently, $61 \%$ of liberal Democratic voters say they think of their vote as a vote for Obama, while $35 \%$ say Obama is not much of a factor in their vote. In September, $51 \%$ said they thought of their vote as being for Obama, while nearly as many ( $44 \%$ ) said Obama would not be a factor.

Among all voters, $27 \%$ say they consider their vote as being for Obama, $30 \%$ think of their vote as a vote against Obama, while $39 \%$ say Obama is not a factor. These views are largely unchanged from September.

## National Issues Trump Local Concerns

The proportion of voters rating national issues as the biggest factor in their vote is about the same as in 2006 but is higher than in prior midterms dating to 1994. Currently, $35 \%$ say national issues will be the biggest factor in their vote; 29\% say local and state issues; $23 \%$ the candidate's character and experience; and $5 \%$ the

In 2010, as in 2006, National Issues Matter More

|  | Oct | Oct | Oct | Nov | Oct |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Biggest factor in vote for Congress | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| National issues | 22 | 20 | 28 | 34 | 35 |
| Local/state issues | 38 | 39 | 35 | 29 | 29 |
| Candidate's character \& experience | 29 | 27 | 25 | 22 | 23 |
| Candidate's party | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Other/DK | $\underline{8}$ | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{7}$ | $\underline{9}$ | $\underline{8}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q15. Based on registered voters. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. candidate's political party.

Republicans, particularly conservative Republicans, continue to be more likely to say national issues are the biggest factor in their vote. Half of conservative Republicans (50\%) say national issues are most important, compared with $25 \%$ of moderate and liberal Republicans, $33 \%$ of independents and 30\% of Democrats.

About three-quarters (76\%) of Republican and Republican-leaning registered voters expect their party to do better in this year's Congressional elections than it has in recent elections. Republicans have expressed confidence since June of this year, when $72 \%$ expected better results for the Republican Party in the 2010 midterm.

Democratic and Democratic-leaning registered voters' expectations are far less unanimous than Republicans. A 39\% plurality says they expect the Democratic Party to do about the same as it has in recent elections. Roughly equal percentages of Democrats say their party will perform worse (30\%) or better (26\%) in this year's midterms. The percentage expecting the Democratic Party to perform worse than in the past has increased from $18 \%$ in June.

| Rep \& Rep-leaning registered voters | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2006 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% |
| Better | 16 | 72 | 76 |
| Worse | 21 | 2 | 1 |
| About the same | 56 | 23 | 18 |
| Don't know | $\underline{7}$ | 3 | 4 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Dem \& Dem-leaning registered voters |  |  |  |
| Better | 67 | 29 | 26 |
| Worse | 2 | 18 | 30 |
| About the same | 26 | 48 | 39 |
| Don't know | 5 | 4 | 5 |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q83 \& Q84. Based on registered voters. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. |  |  |  |

## SECTION 2: THE GROUND GAME, POLITICAL ADS AND VOTER PARTICIPATION

An overwhelming majority of voters (88\%) report having seen or heard commercials for candidates running for office so far this year. This is comparable to the $89 \%$ of voters who said they had seen or heard campaign commercials at roughly the same point in the 2006 midterm cycle. Today, more than half of voters (56\%) report having seen or heard a lot of commercials, $14 \%$ some and $18 \%$ just a few.

About seven-in-ten (71\%) voters have received mail from candidates or political groups this year. A majority ( $59 \%$ ) has received a prerecorded or live phone call, up from $41 \%$ who reported that candidates contacted them over the phone in 2006. Far more report receiving pre-recorded calls this year than calls from a person ( $55 \%$ vs. 22\%); in 2006, the survey did not distinguish between live and pre-recorded calls.

Campaign Outreach and Voter Involvement

|  | Oct <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | Oct <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Campaign ads | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| Have seen campaign ads | 89 | 88 |
| Haven't seen any ads/DK | 11 | 12 |
| Campaign contacts |  |  |
| Printed mail | -- | 71 |
| Telephone call* | 41 | 59 |
| $\quad$ Pre-recorded call | -- | 55 |
| $\quad$ Live call from person | 16 | 22 |
| Email | 14 | 26 |
| Visited at home | -- | 48 |
| Text message |  |  |
| Voter involvement | -- | 19 |
| Visited candidate website | 10 | 14 |
| or followed candidate online | 9 | 11 |
| Contributed money | 5 | 7 |
| Attended a campaign event |  |  |
| Volunteered time |  |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q30, Q35, Q41.
Based on registered voters. *In 2006, the question did not distinguish between pre-recorded and live calls.

Email contacts also are more prevalent this year than in 2006; 26\% have received an email from candidates or political groups this year, up from $16 \%$ in 2006. About one-in-five (18\%) voters have been visited at home this year, up slightly from $14 \%$ who reported this in 2006. Only $4 \%$ of voters have received a text message from candidates or political groups.

More voters report donating money than did so during the last midterm cycle; $14 \%$ now say they have contributed money to a candidate or campaign this year, up slightly from $10 \%$ in 2006. About one-in-ten voters (11\%) have attended a campaign event, similar to the $9 \%$ who had in 2006. Only $7 \%$ of voters have volunteered their time to help one of the candidates or campaigns. In 2010, 19\% of voters say they have visited a candidate's website or followed a candidate through email, Facebook or Twitter.

## Media Blitz in Competitive Districts

While nearly all voters have seen campaign ads this year, the ad barrage has been particularly intense in competitive House districts. Twothirds (66\%) of voters in the 77 most competitive House districts nationwide say they have seen a lot of commercials, compared with $54 \%$ of voters living in districts with less competitive races.

Voters living in competitive House districts also are more likely to say they have received mail and pre-recorded calls from candidates and political groups this year. In the most closely contested districts, $78 \%$ of voters have received mail this year, compared with $69 \%$ in relatively safe House districts. And $65 \%$ of

Competitive Districts: More Ads, More Mail, More Robocalls

|  | Type of district |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All voters | Competitive | Safe | Diff |
| Seen a lot of campaign | \% | \% | \% |  |
| commercials | 56 | 66 | 54 | +12 |
| Received mail | 71 | 78 | 69 | +9 |
| Received robocalls | 55 | 65 | 53 | +12 |
| Received email | 26 | 29 | 26 | +3 |
| Received live calls | 22 | 26 | 21 | +5 |
| Visited at home | 18 | 19 | 18 | +1 |
| Received text message | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| N | 1797 | 356 | 1393 |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q30 \& Q35. Based on registered voters. Competitive districts are the 77 House districts identified using an average of ratings from five different organizations; see methodology for more detail. voters in the most competitive districts have received one or more pre-recorded phone calls, often referred to as "robocalls," compared with $53 \%$ elsewhere. Voters in competitive districts also are slightly more likely to have received live phone calls ( $26 \%$ vs. $21 \%$ elsewhere), but there are no significant differences between competitive and safe districts in email contacts, home visits or text messages.

## Most See Candidates Attacking, Not Explaining

By margins of more than two-to-one, voters say both Republican and Democratic candidates are spending more time attacking their opponents than explaining what they would do if elected. About a quarter (26\%) say Democratic candidates are spending more time explaining what they would do, while $56 \%$ say they are spending more time attacking Republicans. Similarly, 58\% say Republican candidates are spending more time attacking Democrats while just $26 \%$ say they are explaining their own agenda.

## Are Candidates Explaining or Attacking?

|  | Republican <br> candidates <br> $\%$ | Democratic <br> candidates <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Explaining what they <br> would do if elected | 26 | 26 |
| Attacking members of <br> the other party | 58 | 56 |
| Both (vol.) | 10 | 10 |
| Don't know | $\underline{7}$ | $\underline{8}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q39 \& Q40. Based on registered voters.

## A Bipartisan Ad Blitz

Among the overwhelming majority of voters who report having seen or heard campaign commercials this year, $78 \%$ say they have seen about the same number of commercials for Republicans and Democrats. Large majorities across all partisan groups say this.

While most say they have seen about the same number of ads in support of candidates from both parties, $13 \%$ say they have mostly seen ads on behalf of Republican candidates, and $6 \%$ mostly on behalf of Democratic candidates. Democrats are more likely to say they have seen more Republican than Democratic ads ( $20 \%$ vs. $3 \%$ ). In particular, liberal Democrats see a disparity: About a third (32\%) of liberal Democrats say they have seen more Republican ads than Democratic ads (2\%). Republicans are about twice as likely to say they have seen more ads in support of Democrats (11\%) than Republicans (6\%).

| Which Party's Ads Seen More ... |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep <br> Rends | Dem <br> cands | Same amt <br> for both | DK |
| Among all voters |  |  |  |  |
| ca | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| who have seen | $\%$ | $\%$ | 78 | $4=100$ |
| campaign ads | 13 | 6 |  |  |
| Republican | 6 | 11 | 80 | $3=100$ |
| Conserv Rep | 6 | 11 | 79 | $3=100$ |
| Mod/Lib Rep | 6 | 11 | 82 | $2=100$ |
| Democrat | 20 | 3 | 74 | $3=100$ |
| Cons/Mod Dem | 13 | 3 | 81 | $2=100$ |
| Liberal Dem | 32 | 2 | 62 | $5=100$ |
| Independent | 12 | 5 | 79 | $5=100$ |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q36. Based on
registered voters who have seen or heard campaign ads ( $\mathrm{N}=1611$ ). Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.

## Important to Know Who Pays for Campaign Ads?

Amidst controversy about the transparency of funding for campaign advertising this year, voters are divided over the importance of knowing where ad money comes from. Roughly half (49\%) say it is important to them to know who paid for the campaign commercials they see and hear, while the other half (50\%) say this doesn't matter much to them. And most voters (55\%) who have seen ads this year say it is generally easy to tell who paid for them, while only $32 \%$ say it is generally difficult.

Liberal Democrats differ considerably from voters in most other party and ideological groups on the importance of knowing who is paying for campaign ads. About seven-in-ten liberal Democrats (72\%) say it is important to them to know the source of the money; just $39 \%$ of conservative and moderate Democrats say the same. Four-in-ten (40\%) Republicans say it is important to know who paid for campaign commercials, while $59 \%$ say it doesn't matter to them. Independents are about equally likely to say it is important (53\%) as to say it doesn't matter much ( $45 \%$ ).

Partisans also differ somewhat in their impressions of how easy it is to tell who paid for campaign advertising, with $62 \%$ of Republicans, $54 \%$ of independents and $50 \%$ of Democrats who have seen or heard ads saying this is easy to determine. Nearly half ( $46 \%$ ) of liberal Democrats say it is difficult to tell who is paying for ads this year, substantially more than conservative and moderate Democrats (31\%), independents (36\%) or Republicans (23\%).

Among the roughly half of voters who say it is

| Knowing Who Paid for Ads $\ldots$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Is <br> important <br> Doesn't | matter much <br> $\%$ | DK |
| All voters | 49 | 50 | $2=100$ |
| Republican | 40 | 59 | $1=100$ |
| Conserv Rep | 42 | 57 | $1=100$ |
| Mod/Lib Rep | 36 | 63 | $*=100$ |
| Democrat | 50 | 47 | $2=100$ |
| Cons/Mod Dem | 39 | 58 | $3=100$ |
| Liberal Dem | 72 | 27 | $*=100$ |
| Independent | 53 | 45 | $2=100$ |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q37. Based on registered voters. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.

## Easy or Difficult to Know Who Paid For Campaign Ads?

|  | Easy <br> $\%$ | Difficult <br> $\%$ | DK |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\%$ |  |  |  |
| All voters | 55 | 32 | $13=100$ |
| Republican | 62 | 23 | $15=100$ |
| Democrat | 50 | 36 | $14=100$ |
| Independent | 54 | 36 | $11=100$ |
| Knowing who paid <br> for campaign ads... |  |  |  |
| Is important to you | 51 | 44 | $5=100$ |
| Doesn't matter much | 59 | 21 | $21=100$ |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q38. Based on registered voters who have seen or heard campaign ads ( $\mathrm{N}=1611$ ). Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding important to them to know where ad money is coming from, $51 \%$ say it is generally easy to tell, while $44 \%$ say it is generally difficult.

Older voters place the most importance on knowing the source of ad money, and also say it is more difficult to determine. A majority of those 65 and older (56\%) say it is important to them to know who paid for the ads they see, but just $36 \%$ of those who have seen ads this year say it is easy to figure out. By contrast, only $39 \%$ of voters under 30 say it is important to them to know who paid for the ads they see,

Campaign Ad Funding Not an Issue for Younger Voters
 and $70 \%$ who have seen ads this year say it is generally easy to tell.

## Campaign Contacts

Young voters are far less likely than older voters to have been contacted by candidates and political groups this year. The age differences are particularly large on receiving printed mail and telephone calls (both pre-recorded and personal calls). About four-inten (42\%) 18 to 29 year old voters have received printed mail, compared with nearly twice as many voters 65 and older (81\%).

How Voters Are Being Contacted

| \% of voters who have been contacted by candidates and | Mail | Pre-recorded call | Email | $\begin{gathered} \text { Personal } \\ \text { call } \end{gathered}$ | Visited at home | Text message |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| political groups this year by... | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All registered voters | 71 | 55 | 26 | 22 | 18 | 4 |
| Men | 67 | 55 | 30 | 21 | 17 | 5 |
| Women | 74 | 56 | 23 | 24 | 18 | 4 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 73 | 58 | 27 | 23 | 18 | 4 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 61 | 42 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 6 |
| 18-29 | 42 | 33 | 17 | 13 | 20 | 2 |
| 30-49 | 70 | 56 | 29 | 18 | 19 | 5 |
| 50-64 | 78 | 57 | 28 | 24 | 16 | 4 |
| 65+ | 81 | 67 | 26 | 34 | 16 | 6 |
| College grad+ | 78 | 57 | 38 | 24 | 18 | 5 |
| Some college | 69 | 53 | 30 | 22 | 20 | 4 |
| HS or less | 65 | 55 | 14 | 21 | 16 | 3 |
| Republican | 73 | 62 | 28 | 28 | 18 | 4 |
| Democrat | 70 | 50 | 27 | 20 | 20 | 4 |
| Independent | 69 | 55 | 25 | 20 | 16 | 5 |
| Conservative Republican | 76 | 64 | 29 | 28 | 19 | 3 |
| Mod/Liberal Republican | 69 | 58 | 26 | 27 | 17 | 5 |
| Conservative/Mod Democrat | 68 | 50 | 21 | 20 | 21 | 3 |
| Liberal Democrat | 74 | 50 | 37 | 19 | 17 | 5 |
| Midterm vote preference |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vote Republican | 74 | 60 | 27 | 25 | 19 | 3 |
| Vote Democrat | 69 | 51 | 26 | 19 | 17 | 5 |
| Tea Party |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree with | 77 | 61 | 36 | 26 | 18 | 4 |
| Disagree with | 74 | 55 | 37 | 26 | 19 | 5 |
| No opinion/Never heard of | 65 | 52 | 15 | 19 | 17 | 4 |

And while $37 \%$ of young voters received a phone call from a candidate or political group, $59 \%$ of 30 to 49 year olds, $68 \%$ of 50 to 64 year olds and $71 \%$ of voters 65 and older have been contacted by phone. This gap is much larger than it was in 2006 when $32 \%$ of voters under 30 were contacted by phone, compared with $45 \%$ of those 65 and older. Even when it comes to receiving email, fewer young people say they have been contacted by candidates and political groups this year than those 30 and older. In 2006, there were virtually no age differences in email contacts.

Republicans are receiving more pre-recorded and personal telephone calls than are Democrats or independents. About six-in-ten (62\%) Republican voters have gotten a robocall from a candidate or political group this year, compared with 50\% of Democratic voters and $55 \%$ of independent voters. And more Republicans (28\%) than Democrats ( $20 \%$ ) and independents ( $20 \%$ ) have received a personal phone call. There are virtually no partisan differences in contacts by mail, email, text messages or home visits.

More than twice as many voters have received robocalls this year than phone calls from a live person ( $55 \%$ pre-recorded vs. $22 \%$ live). But roughly two-thirds ( $64 \%$ ) of those who have gotten automated phone calls say they usually hang up; 31\% say they usually listen to the automated calls. By contrast, among the smaller number that has received calls from a live person, $73 \%$ say they usually listen, while just $23 \%$ usually hang up.

While most hang up on robocalls, there is little evidence that these recorded messages are a major irritant. Most of those who hang up say the calls are simply a minor annoyance, while only a few say the automated calls make them angry.

While the high hang-up rate suggests that automated phone calls are less effective, the payoff to campaigns is in the broader reach of these inexpensive calls. The current figures suggest that about as many voters have listened to robocalls this year as have listened to live calls. Overall, $17 \%$ of voters have received

## Most Get Robocalls, Few Listen

| Among voters who |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| have received pre- |  |
| recorded calls (55\% |  |
| of registered voters) |  |
| Usually listen | 31 |
| Usually hang up | 64 |
| Minor annoyance | 51 |
| Makes me angry | 11 |
| Don't know | $\underline{5}$ |
|  | 100 |

Among voters who
have received calls
from a person (22\% of registered voters) Usually listen 73
Usually hang up 23
Don't know 4
100
PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q30d-e, Q32, Q33, Q34. Based on registered voters. one or more robocalls this year and say they usually listen. This is almost identical to the $16 \%$ who have received a live call this year and say they usually listen.

## Voter Involvement in Campaigns

As in all elections, voluntary campaign activity is far less widespread than campaign outreach. While roughly eight-in-ten voters have seen one or more campaign ads, seven-in-ten have gotten campaign mail, and six-inten have been called on the phone, just $14 \%$ say they have donated money to a campaign, $11 \%$ have attended a campaign event, and $7 \%$ have volunteered their time to a candidate or campaign. These figures are comparable to 2006, when $10 \%$ had given money, $9 \%$ had attended an event, and $5 \%$ had volunteered.

And while it does not necessarily imply a commitment of time or money, the internet also provides an easy way for some voters to learn more about candidates and campaigns. Roughly two-inten voters (19\%) have visited a candidate's website or followed a candidate through email, Facebook or Twitter.

| Personal Involvement in Campaigns This Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% of voters this year who have... | Visited website/ follow candidate | Donated money | Attended event | Volunteered time |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All registered voters | 19 | 14 | 11 | 7 |
| Men | 22 | 17 | 11 | 8 |
| Women | 17 | 11 | 10 | 5 |
| White, non-Hispanic | 20 | 14 | 10 | 6 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 19 | 13 | 12 | 7 |
| 18-29 | 23 | 4 | 12 | 6 |
| 30-49 | 22 | 8 | 9 | 5 |
| 50-64 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 8 |
| 65+ | 12 | 25 | 13 | 8 |
| College grad+ | 26 | 19 | 14 | 8 |
| Some college | 24 | 15 | 14 | 8 |
| HS or less | 10 | 8 | 6 | 4 |
| Republican | 22 | 18 | 12 | 7 |
| Democrat | 17 | 15 | 9 | 8 |
| Independent | 18 | 9 | 10 | 5 |
| Conservative Rep | 26 | 21 | 13 | 7 |
| Mod/Lib Rep | 14 | 12 | 12 | 7 |
| Cons/Mod Dem | 14 | 12 | 9 | 6 |
| Liberal Dem | 24 | 20 | 10 | 13 |
| 2010 Vote Preference |  |  |  |  |
| Vote Rep | 21 | 16 | 13 | 7 |
| Vote Dem | 19 | 14 | 9 | 7 |
| Tea Party |  |  |  |  |
| Agree with | 28 | 21 | 17 | 7 |
| Disagree with | 27 | 19 | 11 | 11 |
| No opinion/Never heard of | 10 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q41. Based on registered voters. |  |  |  |  |

Two of these behaviors - making campaign contributions and following candidates online, differ widely by age. While a quarter ( $25 \%$ ) of voters 65 and over have made a campaign donation this year, just 4\% of voters under 30 have done the same. By contrast, those under 30 are twice as likely as those 65 and older to have visited a campaign website or followed a candidate online ( $23 \%$ vs. $12 \%$ ). There are no significant differences among age groups when it comes to attending events or volunteering time.

Voters who have attended college are more likely than those who have not to have visited a candidate's websites, donated money, attended campaign events and volunteered their time to help one of the candidates or campaigns.

Overall, there are no significant partisan differences in campaign involvement. But as in past campaigns, conservative Republicans and liberal Democrats tend to be substantially more engaged than their more moderate counterparts. For example, about one-in five conservative Republicans (21\%) and liberal Democrats (20\%) have contributed money to a candidate or campaign this year, compared with $12 \%$ of moderate and liberal Republicans and $12 \%$ of conservative and moderate Democrats. While this suggests parity in contribution rates, it is worth noting that roughly $20 \%$ of registered voters describe themselves as conservative Republicans, while only $12 \%$ are liberal Democrats. The current figures also stand in stark contrast to two years ago, when fully $34 \%$ of liberal Democrats had contributed to the presidential campaign compared with only $13 \%$ of conservative Republicans.

## Campaign News

About two-thirds (66\%) of voters are getting most of their news about the election campaigns in their state and district from television. Far fewer are getting most of their news from newspapers (31\%), the internet (20\%) and radio (17\%).

Television is the dominant news source for Republican, Democratic and independent voters. But where voters go for TV news varies substantially by party.

Sources of News for State and Local Election Campaigns

| Getting most campaign | All <br> voters | Rep <br> news from... | Dem <br> Ind | Agree w/ <br> Tea Party |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Television | 66 | 66 | 70 | 63 | 67 |
| Local news | 19 | 17 | 18 | 21 | 12 |
| Network news | 18 | 14 | 24 | 15 | 10 |
| Fox News Channel | 18 | 32 | 5 | 17 | 40 |
| CNN news | 12 | 5 | 16 | 12 | 6 |
| MSNBC news | 6 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 5 |
| Newspapers | 31 | 30 | 32 | 32 | 29 |
| Internet | 20 | 20 | 17 | 23 | 22 |
| Radio | 17 | 20 | 12 | 19 | 23 |
| Magazines | 3 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Other/Don't know | 6 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| N | 1797 | 549 | 611 | 637 | 571 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q45/46. Based on registered voters. Figures add to more than $100 \%$ because voters could offer multiple sources.

Republicans and those who agree with the Tea Party are far more likely to turn to Fox News Channel while Democrats are more likely to turn to CNN and network news. (For more on party, ideology and news consumption see "Americans Spending More Time Following the News,"Sept. 12, 2010).

## Politics in the Pulpit

Among voters who attend religious services at least once or twice a month, $15 \%$ say information on the political parties or candidates has been made available at their place of worship. This is similar to the number of voters who, following the 2008 campaign, said that political information had been provided at their place of worship (15\%), but lower than the percentage who said this after the 2004 election (27\%). Among religious groups, encountering political information at church is most common among black Protestants (36\%).

Few regular attenders (5\%) say that their clergy or other religious groups have urged them to vote in a particular way, and this does not vary significantly across religious groups.

| Hearing About Politics in Church? |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Political <br> info made <br> available <br> at place of <br> worship | Urged by <br> clergy or <br> other religious <br> group to vote <br> certain way |
| Total | 15 |

## SECTION 3: LIKELY VOTERS' VIEWS OF PARTIES, OBAMA

The public offers mixed views of which party can better handle major issues. The Republican Party is favored on terrorism, immigration and the deficit, while the Democrats lead on energy and health care. The two parties are rated about even on improving the job situation.

However, the GOP's advantage on issues increases among registered voters, particularly those voters considered most likely to vote on Nov. 2.

The Republicans hold significant leads among likely voters on five of seven

Likely Voters Prefer Republicans on Most Issues

| Which party could do a better job of... | Registered Voters |  |  | Likely Voters |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep | Dem | Adv | Rep | Dem | Adv |
| Dealing with terrorist | \% | \% |  | \% | \% |  |
| threat | 43 | 25 | R+18 | 46 | 24 | R+22 |
| Reducing budget deficit | 41 | 28 | R+13 | 45 | 28 | R+17 |
| Dealing with taxes | 41 | 34 | R+7 | 47 | 33 | R+14 |
| Improving job situation | 39 | 31 | R+8 | 43 | 30 | R+13 |
| Dealing with immigration | 37 | 30 | R+7 | 41 | 29 | R+12 |
| Dealing with health care | 36 | 40 | D+4 | 41 | 40 | R+1 |
| Dealing with nation's energy problems | 31 | 40 | D+9 | 34 | 38 | D+4 |
| Which party is better described by phrase ... |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Can better manage the federal government | 44 | 36 | R+8 | 46 | 34 | R+12 |
| Governs in more honest and ethical way | 36 | 34 | R+2 | 38 | 32 | R+6 |
| Can bring the changes the country needs | 38 | 42 | D+4 | 40 | 40 | even |
| Selects better candidates for office | 35 | 39 | D+4 | 38 | 37 | R+1 |
| Is more concerned with needs of people like me | 36 | 47 | D+11 | 39 | 44 | D+5 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010. Q42a-e \& Q43a-g. Statistically significant leads in bold. issues included in the survey, including by 22 points on dealing with the terrorist threat at home, 17 points on reducing the federal budget deficit and 14 points on dealing with taxes. There is no issue on which the Democrats hold a significant advantage.

While favoring the Republican Party on many issues, likely voters are more divided in their evaluations of the parties' traits and characteristics. Republicans are seen as the party better able to manage the federal government by a $46 \%$ to $34 \%$ margin. The two parties are rated about evenly on honest and ethical governance, selecting better candidates for office, bringing needed change, and concern for average people.

The pattern is similar when it comes to opinions about how Obama is handling his job as president. As was the case in September, the overall public is divided in evaluations in Obama's job performance: $46 \%$ approve while $45 \%$ disapprove. Among likely voters, $53 \%$ disapprove while $42 \%$ approve.

Obama's job rating is highly negative among independent likely voters - just a third (33\%) approve of his job performance while $58 \%$ disapprove. Among all independents including non-voters - Obama's rating is somewhat less negative (40\% approve, $47 \%$ disapprove).

| Likely Voters Disapprove of |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obama's Job Performance |  |  |  |
| App- | Dis- <br> approve <br> rove | DK |  |
| Obama's job rating | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| among... | 46 | 45 | $9=100$ |
| General public | 44 | 48 | $7=100$ |
| Registered voters | 42 | 53 | $5=100$ |
| Likely voters |  |  |  |
| Among likely voters |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic | 36 | 59 | $5=100$ |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 91 | 4 | $4=100$ |
| Republican | 8 | 89 | $4=100$ |
| Democrat | 86 | 12 | $2=100$ |
| Independent | 33 | 58 | $9=100$ |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. $13-18,2010 . Q 47$. |  |  |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Oct. 13-18, 2010, Q 47.
Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.

## About the Survey

Results for this survey are based on telephone interviews conducted October 13-18, 2010 among a national sample of 2,251 adults 18 years of age or older living in the continental United States ( 1,487 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 764 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 311 who had no landline telephone). Interviewing was conducted under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. Both the landline and cell phone samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see:
http://people-press.org/methodology/

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race/ethnicity, region, and population density to parameters from the March 2009 Census Bureau's Current Population Survey. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2009 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size within the landline sample. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

| Group | Sample Size | Plus or minus ... |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample | 2,251 | 2.5 percentage points |
| Registered voters | 1,797 | 3.0 percentage points |
| Republican RVs | 549 | 5.5 percentage points |
| Democratic RVs | 611 | 5.0 percentage points |
| Independent RVs* | 637 | 5.0 percentage points |
| Vote Republican | 850 | 4.5 percentage points |
| Vote Democrat | 747 | 4.5 percentage points |
| Likely voters | 1,354 | 3.5 percentage points |
| Republican LVs | 438 | 6.0 percentage points |
| Democratic LVs <br> Independent LVs* | 444 | 6.0 percentage points |
| * Throughout the survey independents include all respondents who do not |  |  |
| identify as Republicans or Democrats. |  |  |

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

## Likely Voter Scale and Competitive Districts

Likely voter estimates are based on a 7 -item turnout scale that includes the following questions: thought, precinct, q6, oftvote, plan1, scale10 and pvoteo8a. More details about the Pew Research Center's methodology for estimating likelihood to vote are available at http://people-press.org/methodology/files/UnderstandingLikelyVoters.pdf

Competitive house districts were identified using ratings for each district from early October by Congressional Quarterly, The Cook Political Report, NBC News, The New York Times, and Real Clear Politics. Averaging the ratings by these different groups yields 77 competitive districts (rated as tossup or lean Republican or Democrat) and 358 safe districts (rated as likely or solid Republican or Democrat). A complete list of competitive districts is available upon request.

## About the Pew Research Center for the People \& the Press

The Pew Research Center for the People \& the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world.

The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an important information resource for political leaders, journalists, scholars, and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are made available free of charge.
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## CONGRESSI ONAL TRI AL HEAT TABLE

## BASED ON ALL VOTERS

Q10/10a: If the elections for U.S. Congress were being held TODAY, would you vote for the Republican Party's candidate or the Democratic Party's candidate for Congress in your district?/ As of TODAY, do you LEAN more to the Republican or the Democrat?

REGI STERED VOTERS

|  | REGISTERED VOTERS |  |  |  | LI KELY VOTERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rep/ } \\ \text { In Rep } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dem/ } \\ \text { In Dem } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | (VOL.) Other/ DK/Ref \% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RV} \\ & \underline{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rep/ } \\ \text { In Rep } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Dem/ In Dem \% | (VOL.) Other/ DK/Ref \% | LV N |
| ALL VOTERS | 46 | 42 | 12 | 1797 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 1354 |
| SEX |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 48 | 40 | 13 | 769 | 52 | 37 | 11 | 591 |
| Women | 44 | 45 | 11 | 1028 | 49 | 43 | 8 | 763 |
| AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-49 | 45 | 43 | 12 | 629 | 50 | 40 | 10 | 392 |
| 50+ | 47 | 42 | 11 | 1145 | 51 | 40 | 9 | 943 |
| DETAILED AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-29 | 42 | 47 | 11 | 160 | - | - | - | 65 |
| 30-49 | 46 | 42 | 12 | 469 | 50 | 41 | 9 | 327 |
| 50-64 | 47 | 44 | 9 | 656 | 50 | 41 | 8 | 528 |
| 65+ | 48 | 38 | 13 | 489 | 52 | 38 | 10 | 415 |
| SEX BY AGE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men 18-49 | 46 | 39 | 16 | 289 | 51 | 34 | 15 | 184 |
| Men 50+ | 50 | 41 | 9 | 471 | 54 | 38 | 7 | 397 |
| Women 18-49 | 44 | 48 | 9 | 340 | 50 | 45 | 5 | 207 |
| Women 50+ | 45 | 42 | 13 | 674 | 48 | 41 | 10 | 545 |
| RACE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic | 52 | 36 | 12 | 1399 | 56 | 34 | 10 | 1085 |
| Black, non-Hispanic | 11 | 82 | 7 | 175 | 10 | 83 | 7 | 117 |
| EDUCATION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College grad+ | 45 | 46 | 9 | 756 | 50 | 43 | 8 | 615 |
| Some college | 48 | 39 | 13 | 460 | 53 | 35 | 12 | 360 |
| HS or less | 45 | 43 | 12 | 571 | 49 | 41 | 10 | 370 |
| I NCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$75,000+ | 50 | 39 | 11 | 553 | 54 | 36 | 10 | 446 |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 48 | 43 | 9 | 595 | 52 | 40 | 9 | 462 |
| <\$30,000 | 36 | 55 | 10 | 385 | 39 | 55 | 6 | 248 |
| DETAILED INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$100,000+ | 52 | 38 | 10 | 315 | 55 | 35 | 10 | 257 |
| \$75,000-\$99,999 | 48 | 40 | 12 | 238 | 52 | 38 | 10 | 189 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 52 | 41 | 8 | 249 | 52 | 41 | 7 | 208 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 45 | 44 | 10 | 346 | 51 | 39 | 10 | 255 |
| <\$30,000 | 36 | 55 | 10 | 385 | 39 | 55 | 6 | 248 |

CONGRESSI ONAL TRI AL HEAT TABLE (CONT.)
BASED ON ALL VOTERS
Q10/10a: If the elections for U.S. Congress were being held TODAY, would you vote for the Republican Party's candidate or the Democratic Party's candidate for Congress in your district?/ As of TODAY, do you LEAN more to the Republican or the Democrat?


## CONGRESSI ONAL TRI AL HEAT TABLE (CONT.)

BASED ON ALL VOTERS
Q10/10a: If the elections for U.S. Congress were being held TODAY, would you vote for the Republican Party's candidate or the Democratic Party's candidate for Congress in your district?/ As of TODAY, do you LEAN more to the Republican or the Democrat?

|  | REGI STERED VOTERS |  |  |  | LI KELY VOTERS |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rep/ } \\ \text { In Rep } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dem/ } \\ \frac{\text { In Dem }}{\%} \end{gathered}$ | (VOL.) Other/ DK/Ref \% | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{RV} \\ & \underline{\mathrm{~N}} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rep/ } \\ \text { In Rep } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Dem/ <br> In Dem <br> \% | (VOL.) <br> Other/ <br> DK/Ref <br> \% | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LV } \\ & \underline{\mathrm{N}} \end{aligned}$ |
| LABOR UNION |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Member in HH | 38 | 52 | 10 | 246 | 42 | 51 | 7 | 188 |
| Not a member | 47 | 41 | 12 | 1529 | 52 | 38 | 10 | 1151 |
| PARENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent | 49 | 41 | 10 | 478 | 56 | 36 | 8 | 331 |
| Not a parent | 44 | 44 | 12 | 1313 | 48 | 42 | 10 | 1021 |
| AMONG WHITES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men | 53 | 35 | 12 | 595 | 56 | 33 | 11 | 476 |
| Women | 51 | 36 | 12 | 804 | 55 | 35 | 10 | 610 |
| 18-49 | 51 | 36 | 13 | 441 | 56 | 34 | 11 | 285 |
| 50+ | 53 | 36 | 11 | 947 | 56 | 35 | 9 | 791 |
| College grad+ | 51 | 41 | 8 | 599 | 54 | 39 | 6 | 492 |
| Some college or less | 53 | 33 | 15 | 798 | 57 | 31 | 12 | 592 |
| \$75,000+ | 54 | 35 | 10 | 445 | 58 | 33 | 9 | 362 |
| \$30,000-\$74,999 | 54 | 35 | 11 | 476 | 57 | 34 | 9 | 380 |
| <\$30,000 | 45 | 44 | 11 | 270 | 49 | 43 | 8 | 184 |
| Rep/Lean Rep | 92 | 3 | 5 | 745 | 92 | 3 | 5 | 606 |
| Dem/Lean Dem | 7 | 85 | 8 | 532 | 8 | 85 | 6 | 402 |
| Northeast | 44 | 45 | 11 | 269 | 49 | 41 | 10 | 198 |
| Midwest | 51 | 35 | 14 | 355 | 56 | 35 | 9 | 263 |
| South | 60 | 28 | 12 | 496 | 64 | 26 | 10 | 390 |
| West | 47 | 41 | 12 | 279 | 48 | 40 | 11 | 235 |
| TEA PARTY MOVEMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 83 | 10 | 7 | 571 | 85 | 9 | 6 | 513 |
| Disagree | 12 | 82 | 6 | 479 | 12 | 82 | 6 | 406 |
| No opinion/Not heard/DK | 40 | 43 | 17 | 727 | 45 | 38 | 17 | 435 |
| PRESI DENTI AL APPROVAL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approve | 14 | 76 | 10 | 787 | 12 | 81 | 7 | 578 |
| Disapprove | 77 | 13 | 10 | 892 | 83 | 9 | 8 | 707 |

# PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE \& THE PRESS OCTOBER 2010 POLITICAL SURVEY FINAL TOPLINE <br> October 13-18, 2010 <br> $\mathrm{N}=2251$ 

ASK ALL:
THOUGHT
How much thought have you given to the coming November election . . . Quite a lot or only a little?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N = 1 7 9 7 ] : ~}$

|  | Quite | (VOL.) | Only a | (VOL.) | (VOL.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | a lot | Some | little | None | DK/Ref |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 55 | 4 | 34 | 5 | 1 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 50 | 5 | 42 | 3 | 1 |
| Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 44 | 5 | 44 | 6 | 1 |
| 2008 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 2008 | 81 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| Late October, 2008 | 81 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| Mid-October, 2008 | 81 | 3 | 13 | 3 | * |
| Early October, 2008 | 81 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 1 |
| Late September, 2008 | 80 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 1 |
| Mid-September, 2008 | 78 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 1 |
| August, 2008 | 74 | 6 | 17 | 2 | 1 |
| July, 2008 | 74 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 1 |
| June, 2008 | 72 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 1 |
| Late May, 2008 | 75 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 1 |
| April, 2008 | 77 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 1 |
| March, 2008 | 78 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| Late February, 2008 | 74 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 2 |
| 2006 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 2006 | 61 | 5 | 28 | 4 | 1 |
| Late October, 2006 | 54 | 6 | 35 | 5 | 1 |
| Early October, 2006 | 51 | 4 | 40 | 4 | 2 |
| September, 2006 | 45 | 4 | 48 | 3 | 1 |
| 2004 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 2004 | 82 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 1 |
| Mid-October, 2004 | 76 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 1 |
| Early October, 2004 | 74 | 4 | 19 | 2 | 1 |
| September, 2004 | 71 | 3 | 22 | 3 | 1 |
| August, 2004 | 69 | 2 | 26 | 2 | 1 |
| July, 2004 | 67 | 2 | 28 | 2 | 1 |
| June, 2004 | 58 | 3 | 36 | 2 | 1 |
| May, 2004 | 59 | 6 | 30 | 4 | 1 |
| Late March, 2004 | 60 | 4 | 31 | 4 | 1 |
| Mid-March, 2004 | 65 | 2 | 31 | 2 | * |
| 2002 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2002 | 52 | 6 | 35 | 6 | 1 |
| Early October, 2002 | 45 | 6 | 45 | 4 | 1 |
| Early September, 2002 | 36 | 5 | 54 | 4 | 1 |
| 2000 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 2000 | 72 | 6 | 19 | 2 | 1 |
| Late October, 2000 | 66 | 6 | 24 | 4 | * |
| Mid-October, 2000 | 67 | 9 | 19 | 4 | 1 |
| Early October, 2000 | 60 | 8 | 27 | 4 | 1 |
| September, 2000 | 59 | 8 | 29 | 3 | 1 |
| July, 2000 | 46 | 6 | 45 | 3 | * |
| June, 2000 | 46 | 6 | 43 | 5 | * |
| May, 2000 | 48 | 4 | 42 | 5 | 1 |
| April, 2000 | 45 | 7 | 41 | 7 | * |

## THOUGHT CONTINUED...

| 1998 Election | Quite a lot | (VOL.) Some | Only a little | (VOL.) None | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late October, 1998 | 49 | 11 | 35 | 4 | 1 |
| Early October, 1998 | 42 | 8 | 43 | 6 | 1 |
| 1996 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 1996 | 67 | 8 | 22 | 3 | * |
| October, 1996 | 65 | 7 | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| Late September, 1996 | 61 | 7 | 29 | 2 | 1 |
| Early September, 1996 | 56 | 3 | 36 | 4 | 1 |
| July, 1996 | 55 | 3 | 41 | 1 | * |
| June, 1996 | 50 | 5 | 41 | 3 | 1 |
| 1994 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 1994 | 56 | 7 | 32 | 4 | 1 |
| Late October, 1994 | 45 | 7 | 45 | 2 | 1 |
| Early October, 1994 | 44 | 2 | 50 | 3 | 1 |
| 1992 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early October, 1992 | 77 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 1 |
| September, 1992 | 69 | 3 | 26 | 1 | 1 |
| August, 1992 | 72 | 4 | 23 | 1 | * |
| June, 1992 | 63 | 6 | 29 | 1 | 1 |
|  |  |  |  | (VOL.) |  |
|  | Quite | (VOL.) | Only a | None/ |  |
|  | a lot | Some | little | DK/Ref |  |
| 1990 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup: October, 1990 (GP) ${ }^{1}$ | 43 | 7 | 46 | 4 |  |
| 1988 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup: November, 1988 | 73 | 8 | 17 | 2 |  |
| Gallup: October, 1988 | 69 | 9 | 20 | 2 |  |
| Gallup: September, 1988 | 57 | 18 | 23 | 2 |  |
| Gallup: August, 1988 | 61 | 10 | 27 | 2 |  |
| 1982 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup: October, 1982 (GP) | 29 | 22 | 37 | 12 |  |
| 1978 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gallup: October, 1978 (GP) | 23 | 22 | 39 | 17 |  |
| Gallup: September, 1978 (GP) | ) 21 | 18 | 44 | 18 |  |

[^1]ASK ALL:
REGIST These days, many people are so busy they can't find time to register to vote, or move around so often they don't get a chance to re-register. Are you NOW registered to vote in your precinct or election district or haven't you been able to register so far?
[INSTRUCTION: IF RESPONDENT VOLUNTEERS THAT THEY ARE IN NORTH DAKOTA AND DON'T HAVE TO REGISTER, PUNCH 1 FOR REGIST AND REGICERT]

## ASK IF REGISTERED (REGIST=1):

REGICERT Are you absolutely certain that you are registered to vote, or is there a chance that your registration has lapsed because you moved or for some other reason?

| Oct 13-18 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{2010}{76}$ | Yes, Registered |
| 73 | Absolutely certain |
| 3 | Chance registration has lapsed |
| $*$ | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |
| 23 | No, not registered |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

ASK ALL:
CAMPNII
How closely have you followed news about candidates and election campaigns in your state and district? Have you followed it very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely?

|  | Very <br> closely | Fairly closely | Not too closely | Not at all | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | closely | closely | closely | closely | DK/Ref |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 24 | 34 | 22 | 19 | 1 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 15 | 33 | 31 | 20 | * |
| 2006 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2006 | 27 | 37 | 18 | 17 | 1 |
| Late October, 2006 (RVs) | 27 | 45 | 17 | 11 | * |
| Early October, 2006 | 21 | 38 | 25 | 15 | 1 |
| Early September, 2006 | 16 | 32 | 28 | 23 | 1 |
| August, 2006 | 20 | 29 | 28 | 22 | 1 |
| June, 2006 | 18 | 30 | 29 | 21 | 2 |
| May, 2006 | 18 | 28 | 30 | 23 | 1 |
| 2002 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2002 (RVs) | ) 27 | 46 | 18 | 9 | * |
| Late October, 2002 (RVs) | 28 | 34 | 24 | 13 | 1 |
| Early October, 2002 (RVs) | 21 | 46 | 22 | 10 | 1 |
| Early September, 2002 | 17 | 29 | 29 | 24 | 1 |
| 1998 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late October, 1998 (RVs) | 26 | 45 | 20 | 9 | * |
| Early October, 1998 (RVs) | 21 | 43 | 24 | 11 | 1 |
| Early September, 1998 | 17 | 32 | 28 | 23 | * |
| Early August, 1998 | 13 | 30 | 28 | 23 | 1 |
| June, 1998 | 9 | 27 | 33 | 30 | 1 |
| April, 1998 | 16 | 33 | 24 | 27 | * |
| 1994 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 1994 | 18 | 42 | 25 | 15 | * |
| Late October, 1994 | 14 | 38 | 31 | 16 | 1 |
| Early October, 1994 | 23 | 34 | 23 | 19 | 1 |
| September, 1994 | 19 | 34 | 29 | 18 | * |
| 1990 Election |  |  |  |  |  |
| November, 1990 | 38 | 34 | 17 | 11 | * |
| October, 1990 | 18 | 32 | 28 | 22 | * |

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

PRECINCT Have you ever voted in your precinct or election district?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

| Oct 13-18 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{2010}{90}$ | Yes |
| 9 | No |
| $*$ | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

## ASK ALL:

Q. 6 Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs [READ]?

|  | Most of the time | Some of the time | Only now and then | Hardly at all | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 49 | 28 | 12 | 10 | 1 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, $2010{ }^{2}$ | 52 | 25 | 13 | 10 | 1 |
| January, 2007 | 53 | 28 | 11 | 7 | 1 |
| November, 2006 (RVs) | 58 | 26 | 10 | 6 | * |
| Late October, 2006 (RVs) | 57 | 30 | 8 | 5 | * |
| December, 2005 | 50 | 28 | 14 | 8 | * |
| December, 2004 | 45 | 35 | 14 | 5 | 1 |
| November, 2004 (RVs) | 61 | 27 | 9 | 3 | * |
| Mid-October, 2004 (RVs) | 63 | 26 | 8 | 3 | * |
| June, 2004 | 44 | 34 | 15 | 7 | * |
| August, 2003 | 48 | 33 | 12 | 6 | 1 |
| November, 2002 | 49 | 27 | 14 | 9 | 1 |
| August, 2002 | 54 | 30 | 11 | 5 | * |
| March, 2001 | 49 | 27 | 13 | 10 | 1 |
| Early November, 2000 (RVs) | 51 | 32 | 12 | 5 | * |
| September, 2000 (RVs) | 51 | 34 | 10 | 4 | 1 |
| June, 2000 | 38 | 32 | 19 | 11 | * |
| Late September, 1999 | 39 | 32 | 20 | 9 | * |
| August, 1999 | 40 | 35 | 17 | 8 | * |
| November, 1998 | 46 | 27 | 14 | 13 | * |
| Late October, 1998 (RVs) | 57 | 29 | 10 | 4 | * |
| Early October, 1998 (RVs) | 51 | 33 | 11 | 5 | * |
| Early September, 1998 | 45 | 34 | 15 | 6 | * |
| June, 1998 | 36 | 34 | 21 | 9 | * |
| November, 1997 | 41 | 36 | 16 | 7 | * |
| November, 1996 (RVs) | 52 | 32 | 12 | 4 | * |
| October, 1996 (RVs) | 43 | 37 | 13 | 6 | 1 |
| June, 1996 | 41 | 34 | 17 | 8 | * |
| October, 1995 | 46 | 35 | 14 | 5 | * |
| April, 1995 | 43 | 35 | 16 | 6 | * |
| November, 1994 | 49 | 30 | 13 | 7 | 1 |
| October, 1994 | 45 | 35 | 14 | 6 | * |
| July, 1994 | 46 | 33 | 15 | 6 | * |
| May, 1990 | 39 | 34 | 18 | 9 | * |
| February, 1989 | 47 | 34 | 14 | 4 | 1 |
| October, 1988 (RVs) | 52 | 33 | 12 | 3 | * |
| May, 1988 | 37 | 37 | 17 | 6 | 3 |
| January, 1988 | 37 | 35 | 18 | 8 | 2 |
| November, 1987 | 49 | 32 | 14 | 4 | 1 |

[^2]
## Q. 6 CONTINUED ...

May, 1987
July, 1985

| Most of <br> the time | Some of <br> the time | Only now <br> and then | Hardly <br> at all | (VOL.) | DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36 |  | $\frac{15}{75}$ |  | 7 <br> 36 |  |

## NO QUESTIONS 7-9

ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
OFTVOTE
How often would you say you vote... [READ]?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

Oct 13-18, 2010
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010
June 16-20, 2010
Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009
November, 2008
Late October, 2008
Mid-October, 2008
Early October, 2008
Late September, 2008
Mid-September, 2008
August, 2008
July, 2008
January, 2007
November, 2006
Late October, 2006
Early October, 2006
September, 2006
May, 2006
December, 2005
December, 2004
November, 2004
Mid-October, 2004
Early October, 2004
September, 2004
August, 2004
July, 2004
June, 2004
May, 2004
April, 2004
Late March, 2004
Mid-March, 2004
February, 2004
January, 2004
August, 2003
June, 2003
Early November, 2002
Early October, 2002
Early September, 2002
August, 2002
May, 2002
Early November, 2000
Late October, 2000
Mid-October, 2000
Early October, 2000
September, 2000

|  | Nearly | Part of |  | Never | (VOL.) | (VOL.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Always | always | the time | Seldom | vote | Other | DK/Ref |
| 57 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 59 | 26 | 9 | 4 | 1 | * | * |
| 52 | 31 | 11 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 62 | 23 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 60 | 23 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | * |
| 57 | 26 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 1 | * |
| 57 | 27 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 1 | * |
| 53 | 27 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 27 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 54 | 28 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 55 | 29 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 53 | 30 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 58 | 29 | 9 | 3 | 1 | * | * |
| 58 | 26 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 58 | 27 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 1 | * |
| 47 | 36 | 10 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 56 | 28 | 9 | 6 | 1 | * | , |
| 60 | 26 | 8 | 4 | 1 | * | 1 |
| 60 | 24 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 64 | 22 | 8 | 4 | 1 | * | 1 |
| 62 | 21 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 1 | * |
| 63 | 22 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 58 | 25 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 58 | 27 | 9 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 56 | 28 | 9 | 5 | 2 | * | 1 |
| 54 | 31 | 9 | 4 | 1 | * | * |
| 57 | 29 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 56 | 27 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| 55 | 29 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 50 | 31 | 11 | 6 | 1 | * | 1 |
| 55 | 30 | 9 | 5 | 1 | * | * |
| 55 | 29 | 12 | 3 | * | * | * |
| 54 | 30 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 53 | 30 | 10 | 5 | 1 | * | * |
| 48 | 36 | 11 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 52 | 30 | 11 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| 50 | 33 | 11 | 4 | * | 1 | 1 |
| 59 | 25 | 11 | 4 | 1 | * | * |
| 53 | 32 | 10 | 4 | 1 | * | * |
| 53 | 31 | 9 | 5 | 1 | * | 1 |
| 57 | 26 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 1 | * |
| 52 | 30 | 9 | 6 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| 54 | 27 | 10 | 6 | * | 3 | * |
| 51 | 29 | 10 | 6 | 3 | 1 | * |
| 61 | 21 | 9 | 7 | 2 | * | * |

## OFTVOTE CONTINUED...

July, 2000
June, 2000
May, 2000
April, 2000
March, 2000
February, 2000
January, 2000
October, 1999
Late September, 1999
Late October, 1998
Early October, 1998
Early September, 1998
Late August, 1998
June, 1998
May, 1998
November, 1997
October, 1997
June, 1997
November, 1996
October, 1996
Late September, 1996
Early September, 1996
July, 1996
June, 1996
Late April, 1996
Early April, 1996
February, 1996
October, 1995
April, 1995
November, 1994
Late October, 1994
July, 1994
May, 1993
Early October, 1992
September, 1992
June, 1992
May, 1992
Early May, 1992
March, 1992
February, 1992
January 1992 (GP) ${ }^{3}$
November, 1991
May, 1990
January, 1989 (GP)
Gallup: November, 1988
October, 1988
May, 1988
January, 1988
September, 1988
May, 1987

|  | Nearly <br> Always <br> always | Part of <br> the time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 48 | 30 | 13 |
| 58 | 26 | 10 |
| 52 | 29 | 12 |
| 50 | 30 | 12 |
| 49 | 34 | 12 |
| 53 | 32 | 10 |
| 50 | 34 | 12 |
| 39 | 47 | 9 |
| 40 | 47 | 9 |
| 56 | 28 | 10 |
| 50 | 32 | 11 |
| 53 | 33 | 9 |
| 48 | 35 | 13 |
| 49 | 33 | 12 |
| 52 | 29 | 12 |
| 42 | 44 | 10 |
| 62 | 26 | 8 |
| 54 | 30 | 10 |
| 55 | 28 | 8 |
| 52 | 30 | 9 |
| 52 | 31 | 10 |
| 53 | 29 | 12 |
| 52 | 33 | 8 |
| 52 | 33 | 9 |
| 44 | 37 | 11 |
| 49 | 35 | 10 |
| 42 | 41 | 11 |
| 53 | 35 | 7 |
| 53 | 34 | 9 |
| 58 | 28 | 8 |
| 55 | 32 | 10 |
| 52 | 34 | 10 |
| 57 | 31 | 7 |
| 54 | 33 | 8 |
| 52 | 33 | 8 |
| 60 | 29 | 7 |
| 50 | 35 | 10 |
| 49 | 35 | 10 |
| 47 | 36 | 11 |
| 50 | 36 | 9 |
| 40 | 35 | 11 |
| 46 | 41 | 9 |
| 42 | 42 | 11 |
| 45 | 30 | 10 |
| 57 | 26 | 10 |
| 51 | 37 | 8 |
| 43 | 41 | 11 |
| 49 | 39 | 9 |
| 43 | 40 | 6 |
|  | 43 | 9 |
| 2 |  |  |

(VOL.)
Never (VOL.) (VOL.)
$\frac{\text { Seldom }}{6} \frac{\text { vote }}{2}$

|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 |
| 6 |  | 1 |

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 10 If the elections for U.S. Congress were being held TODAY, would you vote for [RANDOMIZE: "the Republican Party's candidate" OR "the Democratic Party's candidate"] for Congress in your district? ASK IF ANSWERED OTHER, DON'T KNOW OR REFUSED (Q.10=3,8,9):
Q.10a As of TODAY, do you LEAN more to the [READ IN SAME ORDER AS Q.10; IF NECESSARY: "for U.S. Congress in your district"]?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

|  | Rep/ <br> Lean Rep | Dem/ Lean Dem | (VOL.) Other/ DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 46 | 42 | 12 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 44 | 47 | 9 |
| Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 44 | 45 | 11 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 45 | 45 | 10 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 42 | 45 | 13 |
| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 44 | 46 | 10 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 42 | 47 | 11 |
| Aug 20-27, 2009 | 44 | 45 | 10 |
| 2008 Election |  |  |  |
| June, 2008 | 37 | 52 | 11 |
| 2006 Election |  |  |  |
| November, 2006 | 40 | 48 | 12 |
| Late October, 2006 | 38 | 49 | 13 |
| Early October, 2006 | 38 | 51 | 11 |
| September, 2006 | 39 | 50 | 11 |
| August, 2006 | 41 | 50 | 9 |
| June, 2006 | 39 | 51 | 10 |
| April, 2006 | 41 | 51 | 8 |
| February, 2006 | 41 | 50 | 9 |
| Mid-September, 2005 | 40 | 52 | 8 |
| 2004 Election |  |  |  |
| June, 2004 | 41 | 48 | 11 |
| 2002 Election |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2002 | 42 | 46 | 12 |
| Early October, 2002 | 44 | 46 | 10 |
| Early September, 2002 | 44 | 46 | 10 |
| June, 2002 | 44 | 46 | 10 |
| February, 2002 | 46 | 45 | 9 |
| Early November, 2001 | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| 2000 Election |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2000 | 42 | 48 | 10 |
| Early October, 2000 | 43 | 47 | 10 |
| July, 2000 | 43 | 47 | 10 |
| February, 2000 | 44 | 47 | 9 |
| October, 1999 | 43 | 49 | 8 |
| June, 1999 | 40 | 50 | 10 |
| 1998 Election |  |  |  |
| Late October, 1998 | 40 | 47 | 13 |
| Early October, 1998 | 43 | 44 | 13 |
| Early September, 1998 | 45 | 46 | 9 |
| Late August, 1998 | 44 | 45 | 11 |
| Early August, 1998 | 42 | 49 | 9 |
| June, 1998 | 44 | 46 | 10 |
| March, 1998 | 40 | 52 | 8 |
| February, 1998 | 41 | 50 | 9 |
| January, 1998 | 41 | 51 | 8 |
| August, 1997 | 45 | 48 | 7 |

## Q.10/Q.10a CONTINUED...

|  | Rep/ <br> Lean Rep | Dem/ <br> Lean Dem | (VOL.) <br> Other/ <br> DK/Ref |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1996 Election <br> November, 1996 (LVs) |  |  |  |
| October, 1996 | 44 | 48 | 8 |
| Late September, 1996 | 42 | 43 | 49 |
| Early September, 1996 | 43 | 51 | 9 |
| July, 1996 | 46 | 47 | 8 |
| June, 1996 | 44 | 50 | 7 |
| March, 1996 | 44 | 49 | 6 |
| January, 1996 | 46 | 47 | 7 |
| October, 1995 | 48 | 48 | 7 |
| August, 1995 | 50 | 43 | 4 |
| 1994 Election |  |  | 7 |
| November, 1994 | 45 | 43 | 12 |
| Late October, 1994 | 47 | 44 | 9 |
| Early October, 1994 | 52 | 40 | 8 |
| September, 1994 | 48 | 46 | 6 |
| July, 1994 | 45 | 47 | 8 |

## NO QUESTION 11

## ASK IF RESPONDENT CHOSE REPUBLICAN PARTY'S CANDIDATE (Q.10=1):

Q. 12 Is there a chance that you might vote for the Democratic candidate in your congressional district, or have you definitely decided not to vote for the Democratic candidate?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO PLAN TO VOTE REPUBLICAN [N=759]
Oct 13-18
2010
20 Chance might vote for Democratic candidate
77 Decided not to vote for the Democratic candidate 3 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

## ASK IF RESPONDENT CHOSE DEMOCRATIC PARTY'S CANDIDATE (Q.10=2):

Q. 13 Is there a chance that you might vote for the Republican candidate in your congressional district, or have you definitely decided not to vote for the Republican candidate?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO PLAN TO VOTE DEMOCRATIC [N=685]
Oct 13-18
$\underline{2010}$
23 Chance might vote for Republican candidate
74 Decided not to vote for the Republican candidate 3 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

## NO QUESTION 14

[^3]
## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

PLAN1 Do you plan to vote in the elections this November, have you ALREADY voted, or don't you plan to vote in the elections this November?
IF YES IN PLAN1 (PLAN1=1) ASK:
PLAN2 Do you plan to cast your vote BEFORE Election Day or ON Election Day?
BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

|  | Plan to vote | Already voted | Before election day | On election day | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref | Don't pla to vote | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 94 | 4 | 23 | 65 | 2 | 4 | 1 |
| November, 2008 | 98 | 26 | 9 | 62 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Late October, 2008 | 96 | 15 | 16 | 64 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| Late October, 2006 | 94 | 3 | 15 | 74 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Early October, $2006{ }^{5}$ | 93 | * | 14 | 77 | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| November, $2004{ }^{6}$ | 97 | 12 | 9 | 75 | 1 | 2 | 1 |
| Mid-October, 2004 | 98 | 3 | 16 | 78 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Early September, 2004 | 98 | * | 13 | 84 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

[IF RESPONDENT HAS ALREADY VOTED (PLAN1=2), CODE AS "10"]
SCALE10 I'd like you to rate your chance of voting in November on a scale of 10 to 1. If TEN represents a person who definitely will vote and ONE represents a person who definitely will NOT vote, where on this scale of 10 to 1 would you place yourself?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1797]:



[^4]
## SCALE10 CONTINUED ...



ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
Q. 15 What will make the biggest difference in how you vote for Congress in your district - national issues, local or state issues, the candidate's political party, or the candidate's character and experience? [IF MORE THAN ONE, PROBE WITH: Well, which is most important?]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N = 1 7 9 7 ] : ~}$
(VOL.)

## Q. 15 CONTINUED ...

|  |  |  |  |  |  | (VOL.) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | National | Local/State | Political | Character/ | (VOL.) | (VOL.) | DK/ |
|  | issues | issues | party | Experience | Other | None | Ref |
| November, 1994 | 22 | 38 | 5 | 30 | 1 | * | 4 |
| Late October, 1994 | 22 | 38 | 3 | 29 | 3 | 1 | 4 |
| Early October, 1994 | 22 | 27 | 5 | 39 | 2 | 1 | 4 |
| 1986 Election |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CBS/NYT: 10/24-28, 1986 | 22 | 25 | 6 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| CBS/NYT: 9/28-10/1, 1986 | 20 | 23 | 9 | 41 | 3 | * | 4 |

## NO QUESTION 16

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 17 Would you like to see your representative in Congress be re-elected in the next congressional election, or not?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N = 1 7 9 7 ] : ~}$

(VOL.)
Representative (VOL.)

|  | Representative (VOL.) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | not running | DK/Ref |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 47 | 32 | 1 | 20 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 49 | 33 | 1 | 17 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 49 | 34 | 1 | 16 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 43 | 32 | 1 | 24 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 49 | 31 | * | 19 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 52 | 29 | 1 | 18 |
| 2008 Election |  |  |  |  |
| Late February, 2008 | 60 | 22 | 1 | 17 |
| 2006 Election |  |  |  |  |
| November, 2006 | 55 | 25 | 1 | 19 |
| Late October, 2006 | 55 | 26 | 1 | 18 |
| Early October, 2006 | 50 | 27 | 1 | 22 |
| September, 2006 | 53 | 27 | 1 | 19 |
| August, 2006 | 51 | 30 | * | 19 |
| June, 2006 | 51 | 32 | 1 | 16 |
| April, 2006 | 57 | 28 | 1 | 14 |
| February, 2006 | 59 | 28 | 1 | 12 |
| Mid-September, 2005 | 57 | 25 | 1 | 17 |
| 2002 Election |  |  |  |  |
| Early October, 2002 | 58 | 19 | 2 | 21 |
| June, 2002 | 58 | 23 | 1 | 18 |
| 2000 Election |  |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2000 | 59 | 16 | 2 | 23 |
| October, 2000 | 60 | 17 | 1 | 22 |
| July, 1999 | 66 | 23 | * | 11 |
| 1998 Election |  |  |  |  |
| Late October, 1998 | 64 | 19 | 1 | 16 |
| Early October, 1998 | 58 | 20 | 2 | 20 |
| Early September, 1998 | 63 | 20 | 1 | 16 |
| March, 1998 | 63 | 21 | 1 | 15 |
| January, 1998 | 66 | 23 | 0 | 11 |
| August, 1997 | 66 | 22 | 0 | 12 |
| 1996 Election |  |  |  |  |
| Early November, 1996 | 60 | 16 | 3 | 21 |
| October, 1996 | 62 | 19 | 2 | 17 |
| Late September, 1996 | 55 | 17 | 2 | 26 |
| Early September, 1996 | 62 | 19 | 2 | 17 |
| 1994 Election |  |  |  |  |
| November, 1994 | 58 | 25 | 1 | 16 |
| Late October, 1994 | 55 | 30 | 2 | 13 |

## Q. 17 CONTINUED ...

(VOL.)
Representative (VOL.)
Early October, 1994
1990 Election
Gallup: October, $1990 \quad 62 \quad 22 \quad 2 \quad 14$

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 18 Regardless of how you feel about your own representative, would you like to see most members of Congress re-elected in the next congressional election, or not?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [N=1797]:

|  | Yes | No | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 33 | 54 | 13 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 33 | 56 | 11 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 31 | 56 | 13 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 27 | 57 | 15 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 32 | 53 | 15 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 34 | 53 | 13 |
| 2008 Election |  |  |  |
| Late February, 2008 | 36 | 49 | 15 |
| 2006 Election |  |  |  |
| November, 2006 | 37 | 46 | 17 |
| Late October, 2006 | 34 | 49 | 17 |
| Early October, 2006 | 32 | 48 | 20 |
| September, 2006 | 35 | 49 | 16 |
| August, 2006 | 36 | 49 | 15 |
| June, 2006 | 29 | 57 | 14 |
| April, 2006 | 34 | 53 | 13 |
| February, 2006 | 36 | 49 | 15 |
| September, 2005 | 36 | 48 | 16 |
| 2002 Election |  |  |  |
| Early October, 2002 | 39 | 38 | 23 |
| June, 2002 | 45 | 37 | 18 |
| 2000 Election |  |  |  |
| October, 2000 | 40 | 34 | 26 |
| July, 1999 | 41 | 47 | 12 |
| 1998 Election |  |  |  |
| Late October, 1998 | 41 | 37 | 22 |
| Early October, 1998 | 39 | 39 | 22 |
| Early September, 1998 | 46 | 37 | 17 |
| March, 1998 | 45 | 41 | 14 |
| January, 1998 | 44 | 43 | 13 |
| August, 1997 | 45 | 42 | 13 |
| 1996 Election |  |  |  |
| Early September, 1996 | 43 | 43 | 14 |
| 1994 Election |  |  |  |
| November, 1994 | 31 | 51 | 18 |
| Late October, 1994 | 31 | 56 | 13 |
| Early October, 1994 | 28 | 56 | 16 |

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 19 Compared to previous congressional elections, are you more enthusiastic about voting than usual, or less enthusiastic?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

Oct 13-18, 2010
Jun 16-20, 2010

|  |  | (VOL.) | (VOL.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More | $\frac{\text { Less }}{\text { (VO }}$ | Same | DK/Ref |
| 47 | 31 | 19 | 3 |
| 46 | 36 | 16 | 2 |

2006 Election
November, 2006
Late October, 2006

| 44 | 35 | 19 | 2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 41 | 34 | 23 | 2 |
| 39 | 36 | 21 | 4 |
| 37 | 40 | 20 | 3 |
| 38 | 40 | 18 | 4 |
| 41 | 33 | 23 | 3 |
| 38 | 45 | 15 | 2 |
| 34 | 44 | 20 | 2 |

ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
Q. 20 Will the issue of which party controls Congress, the Republicans or the Democrats, be a factor in your vote for Congress this year, or not?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

Oct 13-18, 2010
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010
Jun 16-20, 2010
Feb 3-9, 2010

| Yes, will be | No, | (VOL.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a factor | will not | DK/Ref |
| 61 | 36 | 3 |
| 56 | 41 | 3 |
| 58 | 39 | 3 |
| 48 | 45 | 6 |
| 44 | 51 | 5 |

June, 2008
2006 Election
November, 2006
Late October, 2006
Early October, 2006

| 61 | 36 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 61 | 36 | 3 |

September, 2006
57
June, 2006
55
40
3

58
41
3

April, 2006
56
39
3
2004 Election
June, 2004
4351
6
2002 Election
Early November, 2002
48

| 49 | 3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 55 | 3 |

Early October, 2002
42

55
3
Early September, 2002

[^5]June, 2002
50
February, 2002
2000 Election
Early October, 2000
49
5
$46 \quad 50$
5

July, 2000
$46 \quad 49$

4
1998 Election

| Late October, 1998 | 46 | 50 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Early October, 1998 | 47 | 49 | 4 |
| Early September, 1998 | 41 | 56 | 3 |
| Early August, 1998 | 44 | 53 | 3 |
| June, 1998 | 45 | 51 | 4 |

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 21 Do you think of your vote for Congress this fall as a vote FOR Barack Obama, as a vote AGAINST Barack Obama, or isn't Barack Obama much of a factor in your vote?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N = 1 7 9 7 ] : ~}$

Oct 13-18, 2010
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010
Jun 16-20, 2010
Feb 3-9, 2010

| For | Against | Not a factor | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 30 | 39 | 4 |
| 25 | 30 | 42 | 3 |
| 23 | 28 | 47 | 2 |
| 24 | 20 | 51 | 5 |
| 21 | 35 | 41 | 3 |
| 20 | 37 | 38 | 5 |
| 18 | 39 | 40 | 3 |
| 20 | 36 | 40 | 4 |
| 17 | 35 | 43 | 5 |
| 15 | 38 | 44 | 3 |
| 17 | 34 | 46 | 3 |
| 18 | 31 | 47 | 4 |
| 29 | 16 | 49 | 6 |
| 30 | 20 | 44 | 6 |
| 29 | 15 | 51 | 5 |
| 34 | 9 | 50 | 7 |
| 20 | 17 | 58 | 5 |
| 19 | 23 | 52 | 6 |
| 18 | 16 | 63 | 3 |
| 20 | 17 | 61 | 2 |
| 21 | 18 | 57 | 4 |
| 20 | 18 | 57 | 5 |
| 21 | 15 | 59 | 5 |
| 24 | 18 | 51 | 7 |
| 17 | 21 | 55 | 7 |
| 17 | 21 | 57 | 5 |
| 17 | 23 | 54 | 6 |
| 19 | 15 | 61 | 6 |
| 26 | 12 | 55 | 7 |
| 26 | 16 | 51 | 7 |
| 23 | 21 | 51 | 5 |

ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
Q. 22 Generally, are you satisfied with the choices you have in the elections this year in your state, or do you wish there were other choices?

BASED ON ALL REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

|  |  | Late |  | CBS/NYT |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18 |  | Oct | Oct | Oct 28-31 |
| $\underline{2010}$ |  | $\underline{2006}$ | $\frac{1994}{51}$ | $\frac{1990}{41}$ |
| 45 | Satisfied | Wish other choices | 43 | 45 |
| 1 | Depends on race (VOL.) | 1 | 1 | 54 |
| 5 | Don't know/refused (VOL.) | 5 | 7 | 4 |

ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
Q. 23 Generally what is MORE important to you this year... [READ AND RANDOMIZE]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

| Oct 13-18 <br> $\frac{2010}{36}$ | Jun 16-20 <br> Having new faces in office [OR] | $\frac{2010}{41}$ | $\frac{\text { Sept }}{}$ | Oct | Oct |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Having exp | $\frac{1998}{22}$ | $\frac{1994}{31}$ |  |  |
| 53 | know how the government works | 50 | 59 | 66 | 57 |
| 11 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 8 | 11 | 12 | 12 |

## NO QUESTIONS 24-25

Thinking ahead ...

## RANDOMIZE ORDER OF Q.26F1 AND Q.27F1

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=1110]
Q.26F1 What one word best describes how you will feel if the Democratic Party keeps its majority in the House of Representatives after the elections are over? Just the first word that comes to mind [OPEN END; PROBE ONCE IF RESPONDENT ANSWERS "DON'T KNOW". ACCEPT MULTIPLE WORD RESPONSES BUT DO NOT PROBE FOR SECOND RESPONSE]

NOTE: The numbers listed represent the number of respondents who offered each response; the numbers are not percentages. Counts include close variations on the same word (e.g., young/youthful).

| Rep Voters |  | Dem Voters |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 86 | Disappointed | 47 | Happy |
| 16 | Sad | 35 | Relieved |
| 15 | Bad | 33 | Good |
| 13 | Depressed | 24 | Satisfied |
| 13 | Disgusted | 15 | Pleased |
| 10 | Devastated | 12 | Great |
| 9 | Okay | 12 | Hopeful |
| 8 | Frustrated | 12 | Okay |
| 8 | Not good | 8 | Glad |
| 8 | Unhappy | 7 | Better |
| 6 | Indifferent | 7 | Ecstatic |
| 6 | Scared | 6 | Fine |
| 6 | Worried | 4 | Disappointed |
| 5 | Satisfied | 4 | Progress |
| 5 | Sick | 4 | Yes |
| 4 | Concerned | $\mathbf{( N = 3 7 9 )}$ |  |
| 4 | Doomed |  |  |
| 4 | Fine |  |  |
| 4 | Terrible |  |  |
| 4 | Trouble |  |  |
| $\mathbf{( N = 4 2 2 )}$ |  |  |  |

## RANDOMIZE ORDER OF Q.26F1 AND Q.27F1

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=1110]
Q.27F1 What one word best describes how you will feel if the Republican Party wins a majority in the House of Representatives after the elections are over? Just the first word that comes to mind. [OPEN END; PROBE ONCE IF RESPONDENT ANSWERS "DON'T KNOW". ACCEPT MULTIPLE WORD RESPONSES BUT DO NOT PROBE FOR SECOND RESPONSE]

NOTE: The numbers listed represent the number of respondents who offered each response; the numbers are not percentages. Counts include close variations on the same word (e.g., young/youthful).

| Rep Voters |  | Dem Voters |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 44 | Hopeful | 38 | Disappointed |
| 43 | Happy | 17 | Sad |
| 31 | Relieved | 16 | Unhappy |
| 26 | Good | 13 | Bad |
| 20 | Great | 12 | Okay |
| 13 | Elated | 9 | Scared |
| 12 | Better | 7 | Devastated |
| 12 | Okay | 7 | Disaster |
| 12 | Satisfied | 5 | Concerned |
| 10 | Glad | 5 | Satisfied |
| 10 | Change | 5 | Worried |
| 9 | Ecstatic | 5 | Fearful |
| 6 | Excited | 5 | Upset |
| 6 | Fine | 4 | Depressed |
| 5 | Indifferent | 4 | Frustrated |
| 5 | Pleased | 4 | Indifferent |
| 4 | Optimistic | 4 | No |
| $\mathbf{( N = 4 2 2 )}$ |  | 4 | Uncertain |
|  |  | $\mathbf{( N = 3 7 9 )}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

NO QUESTIONS 28-29

ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
Q. 30 Here is a list of different ways that candidates and political groups contact voters during election campaigns. So far THIS year, have you... [INSERT IN ORDER], or not? Have you [NEXT ITEM] this year, or not? [INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION: IF RESPONDENT ASKS, CLARIFY THAT WE MEAN ASIDE FROM THE CURRENT CALL] ${ }^{8}$

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

a. Received printed mail from candidates or political groups

Oct 13-18, 2010
71
b. Received email from candidates or political groups

Oct 13-18, 2010
26
Been visited at home by someone talking about the elections

Oct 13-18, 2010
18

55

22
76
(VOL.)
e. Received a telephone call from a live person about the elections Oct 13-18, 2010
f. Received a text message on your cell phone from candidates or political groups Oct 13-18, 2010

4
95

DK/Ref

1

2
*

1 2

1

[^6]
## Q. 30 TRENDS FOR COMPARISON:

Here are a few ways that candidates and political groups contact voters to encourage them to vote a particular way. Thinking just about the past few months, have you been contacted by any candidates or political groups [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE]? Have you been contacted by any candidates or political groups [NEXT ITEM]?

| By e-mail | Yes | No | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November, 2006 |  |  |  |
| Late October, 2006 | 14 | 84 | 2 |
| Early October, 2006 | 16 | 82 | 2 |
| In person by someone | 15 | 83 | 2 |
| coming to your door |  |  |  |
| November, 2006 |  |  |  |
| Late October, 2006 | 15 | 85 | $*$ |
| Early October, 2006 | 14 | 86 | $*$ |
|  | 14 | 86 | $*$ |
| Over the phone |  |  |  |
| November, 2006 | 50 | 49 | 1 |
| Late October, 2006 | 41 | 59 | $*$ |
| Early October, 2006 | 38 | 61 | 1 |
| Early November, 2002 |  |  |  |
| Early November, 2000 | 33 | 66 | 1 |
|  | 25 | 75 | $*$ |

[^7]ASK IF WAS CONTACTED (Q30a=1 OR Q30b=1 OR Q30c=1 OR Q30d=1 OR Q30e=1 OR Q30f=1)
Q. 31 When you were contacted, were you urged to vote for Democratic candidates OR Republican candidates?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

| Oct 13-18 <br> $\underline{2010}$ |  |  | Late | Early |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 84 | Yes, contacted (NET) | Nov 2006 |  | Oct 2006 |  |
| Oct 2006 |  |  |  |  |  |

## ASK IF RECEIVED PRE-RECORDED TELEPHONE CALL (Q30d=1):

Q. 32 Thinking about when you receive PRE-RECORDED telephone calls about the campaigns, do you usually listen to them or usually hang up?
ASK IF USUALLY HANG UP (Q32=2):
Q. 33 Would you say these pre-recorded calls make you angry, or are they just a minor annoyance?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO RECEIVED PRE-RECORDED CALLS [N=1077]

| Oct 13-18 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2010}$ |  | Nov 2008 ${ }^{11}$ | Nov 2007 |
| 31 | Listen | 30 | 31 |
| 64 | Hang up | 65 | 66 |
| 11 | Makes me angry | 13 | 16 |
| 51 | Minor annoyance | 50 | 49 |
| 2 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 5 | 3 |

ASK IF RECEIVED PHONE CALL FROM A LIVE PERSON (Q30e=1):
Q. 34 Thinking about when you receive telephone calls from a LIVE PERSON about the campaigns, do you usually listen to them or usually hang up?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO RECEIVED LIVE CALLS [N=456]

| Oct 13-18 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{2010}{73}$ | Listen |
| 24 | Hang up |
| 4 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

[^8]
## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 35 So far, have you seen or heard any campaign commercials for candidates running for office? [IF YES: Have you seen or heard a lot of commercials, some, or just a few?]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

| Oct 13-18 |  | Late Oct | Early Oct |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{2010}{88}$ | Yes, have seen campaign commercials | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2006}$ |
| 56 | Yes, a lot | -- | 81 |
| 14 | Yes, some | -- | 39 |
| 18 | Yes, just a few | -- | 20 |
| 11 | No, haven't seen any | 10 | 22 |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 1 | 19 |
|  |  | $*$ |  |

## ASK IF SEEN OR HEARD ADS THIS YEAR (Q.35=1,2,3)

Q. 36 This year, have you seen and heard more ads on behalf of [RANDOMIZE: Republican candidates or Democratic candidates] or about the same amount from both?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO HAVE SEEN OR HEARD ADS [ $\mathbf{N}=1611$ ]
Oct 13-18
2010
13 Republican candidates
6 Democratic candidates
78 About the same amount from both
4 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 37 When you see and hear campaign ads, is it important to you to know who paid for the campaign ads, or doesn't it matter much to you who paid for the ads?

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathrm{N}=1797$ ]

| Oct 13-18 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{2010}{49}$ | Important to you |
| 50 | Doesn't matter much to you |
| 2 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

ASK IF SEEN OR HEARD ADS THIS YEAR (Q.35=1,2,3)
Q. 38 Has it been generally easy or generally difficult to tell who paid for the campaign ads you've seen and heard this year?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO HAVE SEEN OR HEARD ADS [N=1611]
Oct 13-18
$\underline{2010}$
55 Easy
32 Difficult
13 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

## RANDOMIZE ORDER OF Q. 39 AND Q. 40

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 39 [First/Next], thinking about Republican campaigns this year, What do you think the REPUBLICAN candidates in your state are spending more time doing? [READ AND RANDOMIZE]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

| Oct 13-18 |  | CBS News |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\frac{2010}{26}$ | Explaining what they would do if elected | Oct 1998 |
| 58 | Attacking Democrats | 23 |
| 10 | Both (VOL.) | 64 |
| 7 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 7 |

RANDOMIZE ORDER OF Q. 39 AND Q. 40
ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
Q. 40 [First/Next], thinking about Democratic campaigns this year, What do you think the DEMOCRATIC candidates in your state are spending more time doing? [READ AND RANDOMIZE]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]

Oct 13-18
$\underline{2010}$
26
56
10
8 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)
Explaining what they would do if elected Attacking Republicans

CBS News
Oct 1998
32
32
54 54 7 7

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 41 Now, here is a list of different ways that people can get involved in the election campaigns. So far THIS year, have you... [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE], or not? Have you [NEXT ITEM] this year, or not?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathrm{N}=1797$ ]

| a. |  | Yes | No | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volunteered your time to help one of the candidates or campaigns |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 7 | 93 | * |
|  | Late October, $2006{ }^{12}$ | 5 | 95 | * |
| b. | Contributed money to any of the candidates or campaigns |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 14 | 86 | * |
|  | Late October, $2006{ }^{13}$ | 10 | 90 | * |
| c. | Attended a campaign event Oct 13-18, 2010 | 11 | 89 | 0 |
| d. | Visited a candidate's website or followed a candidate through email, facebook or twitter Oct 13-18, 2010 | 19 | 81 | * |

[^9]
## Q. 41 TRENDS FOR COMPARISON

So far in this presidential campaign, have you... (INSERT IN ORDER), or not? Have you [NEXT ITEM] or not? [INTERVIEWER INSTRUCTION: IF RESPONDENT ASKS, ASIDE FROM THE CURRENT CALL]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS

|  | Yes | No | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contributed money to any of the presidential candidates |  |  |  |
| November, 2008 | 17 | 82 | 1 |
| Mid-October, 2008 | 15 | 85 | * |
| June, $2008{ }^{14}$ | 13 | 86 | 1 |
| March, 2008 | 9 | 91 | * |
| November, 2007 | 8 | 91 | 1 |
| Attended a campaign event |  |  |  |
| November, 2008 | 12 | 88 | * |
| Mid-October, 2008 | 11 | 89 | * |
| March, 2008 | 8 | 92 | 0 |
| November, 2007 | 4 | 96 | * |

Please tell me if you have ever done any of the things I mention, or not. (First,) Have you EVER... (INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE; IF YES ASK: Thinking ONLY ABOUT THE LAST 12 MONTHS, that is since September of 2005, have you... (INSERT ITEM) [FOR SUBSEQUENT ITEMS SIMPLIFY TO "And have you done that in the last 12 months or not"]?

| a. | Contributed money to a candidate running for public office | ------- Total | es, don Within last year | with yea | No | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early October, 2006 | 30 | 12 | 18 | 69 | * |
|  | Early January, 2004 | 25 | 10 | 15 | 75 | * |
|  | January, 2000 | 28 | 11 | 18 | 71 | 1 |
|  | June, 1993 (GP) | 19 | 8 | 11 | 80 | 1 |
| d. | Attended a campaign event |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Early October, 2006 | 33 | 9 | 24 | 67 | * |
|  | Early January, 2004 | 23 | 7 | 16 | 77 | * |

[^10]
## ASK ALL:

Thinking about the political parties for a moment...
ASK FORM 1 ONLY [ $\mathrm{N}=1110$ ]:
Q.42F1 Please tell me if you think each phrase I read better describes the REPUBLICAN Party and its leaders or the DEMOCRATIC Party and its leaders (First,) which party do you think is better described by the phrase... [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE] How about [NEXT ITEM]?

| a.F1 | Can better manage the federal government | Republican Party | Democratic Party |  | (VOL.) <br> Neither | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 43 | 36 | 2 | 11 | 8 |
|  | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 41 | 41 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
|  | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 41 | 37 | 3 | 12 | 7 |
|  | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 40 | 40 | 4 | 9 | 8 |
|  | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 34 | 38 | 4 | 14 | 10 |
|  | October, 2007 | 32 | 44 | 5 | 9 | 10 |
|  | March, 2007 | 31 | 47 | 3 | 8 | 11 |
|  | Early October, 2006 | 34 | 44 | 3 | 9 | 10 |
|  | April, $2006{ }^{15}$ | 35 | 39 | 3 | 15 | 8 |
|  | January, 2006 | 34 | 40 | 4 | 12 | 10 |
|  | Early October, 2005 | 35 | 41 | 3 | 13 | 8 |
|  | July, 2004 | 37 | 40 | 4 | 9 | 10 |
|  | Early September, 1998 | 37 | 32 | 8 | 12 | 11 |
|  | August, 1997 | 39 | 33 | 4 | 16 | 8 |
|  | July, 1996 | 45 | 32 | 4 | 12 | 7 |
|  | April, 1995 | 49 | 30 | 3 | 13 | 5 |
|  | July, 1994 | 43 | 31 | 4 | 17 | 5 |
|  | May, 1993 | 36 | 32 | 2 | 17 | 13 |
|  | July, 1992 | 30 | 36 | 1 | 23 | 10 |
|  | May, 1990 | 28 | 20 | 12 | 31 | 9 |
|  | May, 1988 | 33 | 30 | 10 | 17 | 10 |
|  | January, 1988 | 30 | 28 | 12 | 20 | 10 |
|  | May, 1987 | 24 | 25 | 13 | 28 | 10 |
| b.F1 | Can bring about the kind of changes the country needs |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 35 | 41 | 3 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 35 | 46 | 4 | 8 | 6 |
|  | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 33 | 45 | 3 | 12 | 7 |
|  | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 34 | 46 | 4 | 9 | 7 |
|  | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 25 | 47 | 5 | 13 | 9 |
|  | October, 2007 | 26 | 48 | 5 | 11 | 10 |
|  | March, 2007 | 26 | 52 | 4 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Early October, 2006 | 28 | 48 | 4 | 10 | 10 |
|  | April, 2006 | 32 | 47 | 2 | 12 | 7 |
|  | Early October, 2005 | 32 | 48 | 4 | 9 | 7 |
|  | July, 2004 | 35 | 46 | 3 | 7 | 9 |
|  | Early September, 1998 | 34 | 40 | 7 | 8 | 11 |
|  | March, 1998 | 32 | 45 | 7 | 8 | 8 |
|  | August, 1997 | 38 | 40 | 4 | 11 | 7 |
|  | July, 1996 | 39 | 46 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
|  | April, 1995 | 51 | 34 | 4 | 7 | 4 |
|  | July, 1994 | 39 | 42 | 4 | 10 | 5 |
|  | May, 1993 | 30 | 49 | 2 | 10 | 9 |
|  | July, 1992 | 24 | 47 | 2 | 16 | 11 |
|  | May, 1990 | 27 | 31 | 13 | 18 | 11 |
|  | May, 1988 | 27 | 43 | 9 | 11 | 10 |
|  | January, 1988 | 28 | 37 | 14 | 11 | 10 |

[^11]
## Q.42F1 CONTINUED ...

|  |  | (VOL.) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Republican | Democratic | Both | (VOL.) | (VOL.) |
|  |  | Party | Party | equally | Neither | DK/Ref |
|  | May, 1987 | 26 | 36 | 14 | 14 | 10 |
| c.F1 | Selects better candidates for office |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 34 | 39 | 3 | 12 | 12 |
|  | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 35 | 43 | 4 | 10 | 7 |
|  | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 35 | 42 | 3 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 28 | 46 | 3 | 13 | 11 |
|  | October, 2007 | 32 | 41 | 6 | 10 | 11 |
|  | July, 1998 | 34 | 33 | 9 | 12 | 12 |
|  | March, $1998{ }^{16}$ | 32 | 33 | 12 | 12 | 11 |
|  | July, 1994 | 40 | 36 | 6 | 13 | 5 |
|  | May, 1990 | 32 | 22 | 21 | 17 | 8 |
|  | May, 1988 | 28 | 30 | 13 | 19 | 10 |
|  | January, 1988 | 31 | 24 | 18 | 18 | 9 |
|  | May, 1987 | 27 | 26 | 25 | 13 | 9 |
| d.F1 | Is more concerned with the needs of people like me |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 36 | 46 | 2 | 8 | 9 |
|  | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 33 | 53 | 2 | 7 | 5 |
|  | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 34 | 50 | 2 | 9 | 5 |
|  | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 31 | 51 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
|  | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 27 | 51 | 4 | 10 | 7 |
|  | October, 2007 | 25 | 54 | 4 | 8 | 9 |
|  | March, 2007 | 26 | 55 | 2 | 7 | 10 |
|  | Early October, 2006 | 27 | 55 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
|  | April, $2006{ }^{17}$ | 28 | 52 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
|  | Early October, 2005 | 30 | 52 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
|  | July, 2004 | 30 | 50 | 5 | 8 | 7 |
|  | Early September, 1998 | 31 | 46 | 5 | 9 | 9 |
|  | March, 1998 | 30 | 51 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
|  | August, 1997 | 31 | 49 | 3 | 10 | 7 |
|  | July, 1996 | 35 | 50 | 2 | 7 | 6 |
|  | April, 1995 | 39 | 49 | 2 | 7 | 3 |
|  | July, 1994 | 35 | 49 | 4 | 8 | 4 |
|  | May, 1990 | 21 | 42 | 12 | 18 | 7 |
|  | May, 1988 | 23 | 51 | 8 | 11 | 7 |
|  | January, 1988 | 22 | 47 | 11 | 13 | 7 |
| e.F1 Governs in a more honest and ethical way |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 35 | 35 | 4 | 16 | 9 |
|  | Jun 16-20, 2010 | 31 | 41 | 4 | 18 | 6 |
|  | August 27-30, 2009 | 26 | 42 | 4 | 20 | 9 |
|  | October, 2007 | 26 | 40 | 6 | 19 | 9 |
|  | March, 2007 | 25 | 43 | 4 | 18 | 10 |
|  | Early October, 2006 | 27 | 41 | 4 | 18 | 10 |
|  | April, $2006{ }^{18}$ | 28 | 36 | 4 | 23 | 9 |
|  | January, 2006 | 30 | 37 | 4 | 19 | 10 |
|  | Early October, 2005 | 30 | 40 | 6 | 18 | 6 |
|  | July, 2004 | 34 | 37 | 5 | 15 | 9 |
|  | Early September, 1998 | 31 | 28 | 6 | 23 | 12 |
|  | March, 1998 | 28 | 32 | 7 | 24 | 9 |
|  | August, 1997 | 28 | 33 | 5 | 26 | 8 |
|  | July, 1996 | 38 | 37 | 2 | 18 | 5 |

In March 1998 and earlier, the item was worded: "Selects good candidates for office." In April 2006 and earlier, the item was worded: "Is concerned with the needs of people like me." In April 2006 and earlier, the item was worded: "Governs in an honest and ethical way."

## Q.42F1 CONTINUED ...

April, 1995
July, 1994

| Republican | Democratic | Both | (VOL.) | (VOL.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Party | Party | equally | Neither | DK/Ref |
| 35 | 36 | 4 | 19 | 6 |
| 32 | 35 | 6 | 21 | 6 |

## ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=1141]:

Q.43F2 Please tell me if you think the REPUBLICAN Party or the DEMOCRATIC Party could do a better job in each of the following areas... (First,) which party could do a better job of... [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE] How about [NEXT ITEM]?

|  |  | Republican Party | Democratic Party | (VOL.) <br> Both equally | (VOL.) <br> Neither | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.F2 | Improving the job situation |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 35 | 31 | 8 | 13 | 13 |
|  | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 39 | 41 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
|  | Apr 21-26, 2010 | 36 | 37 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
|  | September, 2006 | 29 | 47 | 6 | 5 | 13 |
|  | March, 1998 | 30 | 51 | 7 | 5 | 7 |
|  | October, 1994 | 37 | 42 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| b.F2 | Reducing the federal budget deficit Oct 13-18, 2010 | 35 | 28 | 7 | 16 | 14 |
|  | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 43 | 36 | 5 | 9 | 7 |
|  | May 20-23, 2010 | 33 | 30 | 8 | 16 | 12 |
|  | Apr 21-26, 2010 | 38 | 35 | 6 | 11 | 10 |
|  | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 42 | 36 | 6 | 8 | 7 |
|  | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 35 | 36 | 6 | 13 | 10 |
|  | September, 2006 | 27 | 47 | 4 | 8 | 14 |
|  | February, 2006 | 33 | 45 | 6 | 9 | 7 |
|  | Early October, $2005{ }^{19}$ | 29 | 47 | 6 | 10 | 8 |
|  | June, 1999 | 37 | 41 | 5 | 8 | 9 |
|  | July, 1994 | 42 | 36 | 2 | 13 | 7 |
|  | December, 1993 | 31 | 36 | -- | 18 | 15 |
| c.F2 | Dealing with health care |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Oct 13-18, 2010 | 32 | 39 | 5 | 11 | 13 |
|  | Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 36 | 46 | 5 | 7 | 6 |
|  | TREND FOR COMPARISON: Reforming the U.S. health care system |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 3-9, 2010 | 32 | 45 | 6 | 10 | 7 |
|  | Aug 27-30, 2009 | 27 | 46 | 4 | 14 | 9 |
|  | February, 2008 | 26 | 56 | 3 | 8 | 7 |
|  | October, 2006 | 25 | 46 | 4 | 8 | 17 |
|  | September, 2006 | 24 | 48 | 5 | 10 | 13 |
|  | February, 2006 | 27 | 49 | 6 | 9 | 9 |
|  | Mid-September, 2005 | 28 | 51 | 4 | 10 | 7 |
|  | July, 2004 | 23 | 50 | 4 | 10 | 13 |
|  | Early July, 2003 | 31 | 38 | 6 | 10 | 15 |
|  | Regulating health maintenance organizations (HMOs) and managed health care plans |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | January, 2002 | 20 | 45 | -- | 6 | 29 |
|  | January, 2001 | 30 | 47 | -- | 7 | 16 |
|  | January, 1999 | 25 | 46 | -- | 7 | 22 |
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## Q.43F2 CONTINUED ...

(VOL.)
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { Republican } \begin{array}{cl}\text { Democratic } & \text { Both } \\ \text { Party } & \text { Party }\end{array} \text { (VOL.) } & \text { (VOL.) } \\ \text { equally } & \text { Neither } & \text { DK/Ref }\end{array}$
Reforming the U.S. health care system

| Early September, 1998 | 31 | 43 | 7 | 7 | 12 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March, 1998 | 25 | 53 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
| October, 1994 <br> July, 1994 | 34 | 41 | 5 | 10 | 10 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { forming health care } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { December, 1993 } \\ \text { proving health care in the U.S. } \\ \text { January, 1992 }\end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { May, 1990 }\end{array} \\ \hline 24\end{array}\right) 48$ | 2 | 8 | 8 |  |  |
|  | 25 | 47 | -- | 10 | 18 |

d.F2 Dealing with taxes

Oct 13-18, 2010

| 36 | 35 | 7 | 9 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40 | 34 | 8 | 8 | 9 |
| 38 | 37 | 4 | 11 | 11 |
| 37 | 49 | 2 | 5 | 7 |
| 32 | 40 | 5 | 7 | 16 |
| 30 | 45 | 4 | 9 | 12 |
| 35 | 46 | 5 | 6 | 8 |
| 37 | 40 | 8 | 6 | 9 |
| 38 | 38 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| 41 | 37 | 7 | 5 | 10 |
| 39 | 40 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| 43 | 34 | 5 | 9 | 9 |

e.F2 Dealing with the terrorist threat at home

Oct 13-18, 2010
May 20-23, 2010
Feb 3-9, 2010
38

Aug 27-30, 2009
2

February, 2008
October, 2006
September, 2006
February, 2006
Mid-September, 2005
July, 2004
Late October, 2002 (RVs)
Early October, 2002 (RVs)
Early September, 2002
January, 2002

| 13 | 9 | 14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 10 | 13 |
| 10 | 5 | 10 |
| 10 | 9 | 12 |
| 6 | 4 | 7 |
| 7 | 6 | 15 |
| 7 | 6 | 14 |
| 8 | 7 | 9 |
| 7 | 6 | 8 |
| 6 | 6 | 13 |
| 11 | 6 | 12 |
| 7 | 5 | 16 |
| 14 | 6 | 14 |
| -- | 6 | 28 |

f.F2 Dealing with immigration

| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 35 | 28 | 9 | 12 | 16 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 20-23, 2010 | 35 | 27 | 10 | 14 | 14 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 36 | 35 | 7 | 11 | 12 |
| Aug 27-30, 2009 | 31 | 36 | 6 | 13 | 13 |
| February, 2008 | 38 | 43 | 4 | 6 | 9 |
| October, 2006 | 35 | 33 | 6 | 8 | 18 |
| September, 2006 | 32 | 37 | 5 | 10 | 16 |
| April, 2006 | 27 | 43 | 6 | 11 | 13 |
| February, 2006 | 34 | 38 | 8 | 9 | 11 |
| ling with the nation's energy problems |  |  |  |  |  |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 28 | 38 | 8 | 11 | 15 |
| May 20-23, 2010 | 28 | 35 | 10 | 12 | 15 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 32 | 40 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Feb 3-9,2010 | 32 | 44 | 8 | 6 | 10 |
| Aug 27-30, 2009 | 25 | 47 | 7 | 9 | 12 |

(VOL.)

| Republican <br> Party | Democratic <br> 23 | $\frac{\text { Party }}{}$ | Both <br> equally | (VOL.) | (Veither |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 47 | 5 | 5 | 10 |  |
| 32 | 45 | 4 | 7 | 15 |  |
| 31 | 44 | 6 | 7 | 10 |  |
| 36 | 34 | 6 | 8 | 11 |  |
| 28 | 44 | 5 | 7 | 13 |  |
|  |  | 54 | 17 |  |  |

NO QUESTION 44

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 45 How have you been getting most of your news about the election campaigns in your state and district? From [READ AND RANDOMIZE]? [ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS: IF ONLY ONE RESPONSE IS GIVEN, PROBE ONCE FOR ADDITIONAL: "Anywhere Else?"]
IF '1' TELEVISION AS EITHER 1ST OR 2ND RESPONSE IN Q. 45 ASK:
Q. 46 Have you gotten most of your news about the campaign from [READ, RANDOMIZE ITEMS 2 THRU 4 AND 5 THRU 7 SEPARATELY, AND RANDOMIZE SETS OF ITEMS (LOCAL; NETWORK; CABLE). ACCEPT MULTIPLE ANSWERS BUT DO NOT PROBE FOR ADDITIONAL]

## BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N = 1 7 9 7 ]}$

| Oct 13-18 |  | Nov | Nov | Nov | Nov |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{2010}{66}$ | Television | $\underline{2006}^{20}$ | $\frac{2002}{69}$ | $\frac{1996}{66}$ | $\frac{1992}{72}$ |
| 19 | Local news programming | 22 |  |  |  |
| 18 | The Fox News Cable Channel | 16 |  |  |  |
| 12 | CNN Cable news | 13 |  |  |  |
| 9 | ABC Network news | 11 |  |  |  |
| 6 | NBC Network news | 11 |  |  |  |
| 6 | MSNBC Cable news | 5 |  |  |  |
| 5 | CBS Network news | 9 |  |  |  |
| 2 | Other (VOL.) | - |  |  |  |
| 3 | Don't Know/Refused (VOL.) | 4 | 39 | 60 | 57 |
| 31 | Newspapers | 44 | 39 | 3 | - |
| 20 | Internet | 19 | 7 | 12 |  |
| 17 | Radio | 3 | 13 | 19 | 12 |
| 3 | Magazines | 6 | 5 | 11 | 9 |
| 5 | Other (VOL.) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |  |  | 1 |  |
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## ASK ALL:

Q. 47 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Barack Obama is handling his job as President? [IF DK
ENTER AS DK. IF DEPENDS PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall do you approve or disapprove of the
way Barack Obama is handling his job as President? IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK]

|  | Approve | Disapprove | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |  | Approve | Disapprove | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 46 | 45 | 9 | Dec 9-13, 2009 | 49 | 40 | 11 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 47 | 44 | 9 | Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 51 | 36 | 13 |
| Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 47 | 41 | 12 | Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 52 | 36 | 12 |
| Jun 8-28, 2010 | 48 | 41 | 11 | Sep 10-15, 2009 | 55 | 33 | 13 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 48 | 43 | 9 | Aug 20-27, 2009 | 52 | 37 | 12 |
| May 6-9, 2010 | 47 | 42 | 11 | Aug 11-17, 2009 | 51 | 37 | 11 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 47 | 42 | 11 | Jul 22-26, 2009 | 54 | 34 | 12 |
| Apr 8-11, 2010 | 48 | 43 | 9 | Jun 10-14, 2009 | 61 | 30 | 9 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 46 | 43 | 12 | Apr 14-21, 2009 | 63 | 26 | 11 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 49 | 39 | 12 | Mar 31-Apr 6, 2009 | 61 | 26 | 13 |
| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 49 | 42 | 10 | Mar 9-12, 2009 | 59 | 26 | 15 |
|  |  |  |  | Feb 4-8, 2009 | 64 | 17 | 19 |

## Q. 48 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## NO QUESTION 49

## RANDOMIZE Q.50/Q. 51 IN BLOCK WITH Q.52/Q. 53 BLOCK ASK ALL:

Thinking now about the nation's economy...
Q. 50 How would you rate economic conditions in this country today... as excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

|  | Excellent | Good | Only fair | Poor | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 1 | 7 | 38 | 54 | , |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 1 | 7 | 43 | 48 | 1 |
| Jun 3-6, 2010 | 1 | 8 | 48 | 43 | 1 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | * | 11 | 39 | 49 | 1 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 1 | 6 | 39 | 53 | 1 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 1 | 7 | 38 | 53 | 1 |
| Dec 9-13, 2009 | 1 | 7 | 41 | 50 | 1 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | * | 8 | 41 | 50 | 1 |
| Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 1 | 8 | 43 | 48 | 1 |
| Aug 11-17, 2009 | * | 8 | 38 | 52 | 2 |
| Jun 10-14, 2009 | 1 | 8 | 39 | 52 | 1 |
| Mar 9-12, 2009 | * | 6 | 25 | 68 | 1 |
| Feb 4-8, 2009 | * | 4 | 24 | 71 | 1 |
| December, 2008 | * | 7 | 33 | 59 | 1 |
| November, 2008 | 1 | 6 | 28 | 64 | 1 |
| Late October, 2008 | * | 7 | 25 | 67 | 1 |
| Early October, 2008 | 1 | 8 | 32 | 58 | 1 |
| Late September, 2008 | * | 7 | 27 | 65 | 1 |
| July, 2008 | 1 | 9 | 39 | 50 | 1 |
| April, 2008 | 1 | 10 | 33 | 56 | * |
| March, 2008 | 1 | 10 | 32 | 56 | 1 |
| Early February, 2008 | 1 | 16 | 36 | 45 | 2 |
| January, 2008 | 3 | 23 | 45 | 28 | 1 |
| November, 2007 | 3 | 20 | 44 | 32 | 1 |
| September, 2007 | 3 | 23 | 43 | 29 | 2 |
| June, 2007 | 6 | 27 | 40 | 25 | 2 |
| February, 2007 | 5 | 26 | 45 | 23 | 1 |
| December, 2006 | 6 | 32 | 41 | 19 | 2 |
| Early November, 2006 (RVs) | 9 | 35 | 37 | 17 | 2 |
| Late October, 2006 | 6 | 27 | 40 | 25 | 2 |
| September, 2006 | 5 | 32 | 41 | 20 | 2 |

## Q. 50 CONTINUED ...

March, 2006
January, 2006
Early October, 2005
Mid-September, 2005
Mid-May, 2005
January, 2005

| Excellent | Good |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 29 |
| 4 | 30 |
| 2 | 23 |
| 3 | 28 |
| 3 | 29 |
| 3 | 36 |
| 3 | 33 |
| 5 | 31 |
| 4 | 34 |
| 3 | 30 |
| 4 | 34 |
| 2 | 29 |


| Only <br> fair | $\frac{\text { Poor }}{22}$ | (VOL.) <br> $\frac{\text { DK/Ref }}{}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 45 | 19 | 2 |
| 45 | 29 | 1 |
| 44 | 24 | 1 |
| 47 | 20 | 1 |
| 45 | 15 | 1 |
| 43 | 20 | 1 |
| 37 | 26 | 1 |
| 40 | 20 | 2 |
| 45 | 21 | 1 |
| 38 | 22 | 2 |
| 42 | 26 | 1 |

## RANDOMIZE Q.50/Q. 51 IN BLOCK WITH Q.52/Q. 53 BLOCK ASK ALL:

Q. 51 A year from now, do you expect that economic conditions in the country as a whole will be better than they are at present, or worse, or just about the same as now?

Oct 13-18, 2010
Apr 21-26, 2010
Feb 3-9, 2010
Dec 9-13, 2009
Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009
Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009
Aug 11-17, 2009
Jun 10-14, 2009
Mar 9-12, 2009
Feb 4-8, 2009
December, 2008
Early October, 2008
July, 2008
March, 2008
January, 2008
September, 2007
June, 2007
February, 2007
December, 2006
September, 2006
January, 2006
Early October, 2005
Mid-September, 2005
Mid-May, 2005
January, 2005
August, 2004
Late February, 2004
September, 2003
May, 2003
Late March, 2003
January, 2003
January, 2002
January, 2001 Newsweek
June, 2000
Early October, 1998 ( RV s)
Early September, 1998
May, 1990

| Better | Worse | Same | DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35 | 16 | 45 | 4 |
| 42 | 19 | 36 | 3 |
| 42 | 16 | 40 | 3 |
| 42 | 17 | 38 | 3 |
| 39 | 19 | 39 | 2 |
| 45 | 15 | 38 | 3 |
| 45 | 19 | 33 | 3 |
| 48 | 16 | 34 | 2 |
| 41 | 19 | 37 | 3 |
| 40 | 18 | 38 | 4 |
| 43 | 17 | 36 | 4 |
| 46 | 16 | 30 | 8 |
| 30 | 21 | 41 | 8 |
| 33 | 22 | 39 | 6 |
| 20 | 26 | 48 | 6 |
| 19 | 23 | 53 | 5 |
| 16 | 24 | 55 | 5 |
| 17 | 20 | 58 | 5 |
| 22 | 18 | 56 | 4 |
| 16 | 25 | 55 | 4 |
| 20 | 22 | 55 | 3 |
| 20 | 32 | 45 | 3 |
| 18 | 37 | 43 | 2 |
| 18 | 24 | 55 | 3 |
| 27 | 18 | 52 | 3 |
| 36 | 9 | 47 | 8 |
| 39 | 12 | 41 | 8 |
| 37 | 17 | 43 | 3 |
| 43 | 19 | 35 | 3 |
| 33 | 23 | 37 | 7 |
| 30 | 20 | 44 | 6 |
| 44 | 17 | 36 | 3 |
| 18 | 33 | 44 | 5 |
| 15 | 24 | 55 | 6 |
| 16 | 22 | 57 | 5 |
| 18 | 17 | 61 | 4 |
| 18 | 31 | 45 | 6 |
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## Q. 51 CONTINUED ...

February, 1989
September, 1988 (RVs)
May, 1988
January, 1988
January, 1984 Newsweek (RVs)
(VOL.)

| Better | Worse | Same | DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 25 | 22 | 49 | 4 |
| 24 | 16 | 51 | 9 |
| 24 | 20 | 46 | 10 |
| 22 | 26 | 45 | 7 |
| 35 | 13 | 49 | 3 |

## RANDOMIZE Q.50/Q. 51 IN BLOCK WITH Q.52/Q. 53 BLOCK ASK ALL:

Thinking now about your own personal finances...
Q. 52 How would you rate your own personal financial situation? Would you say you are in excellent shape, good shape, only fair shape or poor shape financially?

|  | Excellent | Good | Only fair | Poor | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 6 | 33 | 36 | 23 | 1 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 6 | 30 | 40 | 23 | 1 |
| Jun 3-6, 2010 | 6 | 32 | 38 | 20 | 4 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 6 | 31 | 39 | 22 | 2 |
| Dec 9-13, 2009 | 7 | 28 | 39 | 24 | 2 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 5 | 30 | 40 | 25 | 1 |
| Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 6 | 32 | 38 | 22 | 1 |
| Aug 11-17, 2009 | 6 | 31 | 36 | 26 | 2 |
| Jun 10-14, 2009 | 6 | 32 | 39 | 22 | 1 |
| Feb 4-8, 2009 | 5 | 33 | 41 | 20 | 1 |
| December, 2008 | 6 | 32 | 40 | 21 | 1 |
| Early October, 2008 | 6 | 35 | 40 | 18 | 1 |
| July, 2008 | 9 | 33 | 37 | 19 | 2 |
| April, 2008 | 8 | 35 | 39 | 16 | 2 |
| March, 2008 | 8 | 39 | 34 | 17 | 2 |
| Early February, 2008 | 9 | 36 | 37 | 16 | 2 |
| January, 2008 | 10 | 39 | 34 | 15 | 2 |
| November, 2007 | 9 | 41 | 34 | 15 | 1 |
| September, 2007 | 10 | 38 | 34 | 16 | 2 |
| February, 2007 | 8 | 41 | 36 | 14 | 1 |
| December, 2006 | 8 | 40 | 35 | 16 | 1 |
| Late October, 2006 | 9 | 40 | 33 | 16 | 2 |
| March, 2006 | 9 | 39 | 36 | 15 | 1 |
| January, 2006 | 7 | 39 | 37 | 15 | 2 |
| Mid-May, 2005 | 7 | 37 | 39 | 16 | 1 |
| January, 2005 | 10 | 41 | 34 | 14 | 1 |
| August, 2004 | 9 | 42 | 34 | 14 | 1 |
| September, 2003 | 10 | 38 | 36 | 15 | 1 |
| Late March, 2003 | 10 | 43 | 31 | 12 | 4 |
| January, 2003 | 7 | 38 | 39 | 15 | 1 |
| Early October, 2002 | 7 | 39 | 37 | 16 | 1 |
| June, 2002 | 5 | 40 | 37 | 16 | 2 |
| Late September, 2001 | 7 | 40 | 37 | 14 | 2 |
| June, 2001 | 6 | 38 | 39 | 16 | 1 |
| June, 2000 | 9 | 43 | 35 | 11 | 2 |
| August, 1999 | 6 | 43 | 41 | 9 | 1 |
| May, 1997 | 7 | 43 | 38 | 11 | 1 |
| September, 1996 (RVs) | 8 | 47 | 34 | 10 | 1 |
| February, 1995 | 8 | 39 | 38 | 14 | 1 |
| March, 1994 | 5 | 41 | 40 | 13 | 1 |
| December, 1993 | 5 | 34 | 45 | 15 | 1 |
| January, 1993 U.S. News | 4 | 33 | 46 | 16 | 1 |
| October, 1992 U.S. News | 6 | 34 | 40 | 19 | 1 |
| August, 1992 U.S. News | 5 | 30 | 47 | 17 | 1 |
| May, 1992 U.S. News | 4 | 35 | 45 | 15 | 1 |
| January, 1992 U.S. News | 4 | 32 | 45 | 18 | 1 |

## RANDOMIZE Q.50/Q. 51 IN BLOCK WITH Q.52/Q. 53 BLOCK <br> ASK ALL:

Q. 53 Over the course of the next year, do you think the financial situation of you and your family will improve a lot, improve some, get a little worse or get a lot worse?

Oct 13-18, 2010
Dec 9-13, 2009
Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009
Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009
Aug 11-17, 2009
Jun 10-14, 2009

| Improve a lot |  |  | (VOL.) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Improve | Get a | Get a lot | Stay the | (VOL.) |
|  | some | little worse | worse | same | DK/Ref |
| 9 | 48 | 16 | 6 | 17 | 5 |
| 9 | 52 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 4 |
| 9 | 44 | 19 | 8 | 15 | 4 |
| 6 | 50 | 19 | 8 | 13 | 4 |
| 10 | 49 | 17 | 6 | 13 | 4 |
| 8 | 47 | 17 | 8 | 15 | 5 |
| 9 | 54 | 17 | 7 | 9 | 4 |
| 7 | 47 | 22 | 7 | 13 | 4 |
| 7 | 49 | 21 | 6 | 13 | 4 |
| 8 | 51 | 20 | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| 7 | 44 | 21 | 7 | 14 | 7 |
| 10 | 45 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 5 |
| 11 | 49 | 16 | 6 | 14 | 4 |
| 10 | 52 | 14 | 4 | 16 | 4 |
| 11 | 52 | 12 | 3 | 19 | 3 |
| 10 | 57 | 13 | 3 | 14 | 3 |
| 10 | 51 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 4 |
| 10 | 51 | 15 | 5 | 15 | 4 |
| 10 | 54 | 14 | 4 | 15 | 3 |
| 13 | 57 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 6 |
| 11 | 53 | 15 | 4 | 14 | 3 |
| 12 | 51 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 7 |
| 9 | 51 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 4 |
| 10 | 54 | 13 | 5 | 12 | 6 |
| 11 | 55 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 |
| 12 | 53 | 15 | 5 | 11 | 4 |
| 9 | 46 | 16 | 4 | 17 | 8 |
| 11 | 52 | 15 | 4 | 14 | 4 |
| 11 | 46 | 18 | 9 | 12 | 4 |
| 17 | 55 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 4 |
| 12 | 56 | 10 | 2 | 17 | 3 |
| 11 | 53 | 13 | 3 | 17 | 3 |
| 10 | 57 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 3 |
| 9 | 51 | 14 | 3 | 15 | 8 |
| 6 | 50 | 20 | 5 | 14 | 5 |
| 8 | 49 | 22 | 4 | 13 | 4 |
| 9 | 46 | 19 | 5 | 16 | 5 |

NO QUESTIONS 54-59

## QUESTIONS 60-64 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

NO QUESTIONS 65-69
QUESTIONS 70-73 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## NO QUESTION 74

## ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):

Q. 75 How much, if anything, have you heard or read about the Tea Party movement that has been involved in campaigns and protests in the U.S. over the past year? Have you heard ... [READ]

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct 13-18 } \\ \underline{2010} \end{gathered}$ |  | Aug 25- | Jul 21- | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } \\ 16-20 \end{gathered}$ | May 20-23 | Mar $11-21$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 | 2010 | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2010}^{22}$ |
| 49 | A lot | 48 | 43 | 35 | 45 | 31 |
| 35 | A little | 39 | 43 | 43 | 39 | 44 |
| 15 | Nothing at all | 12 | 14 | 21 | 16 | 25 |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | * | 1 | * | 1 | 1 |

ASK IF HEARD A LOT OR A LITTLE (Q.75=1,2):
Q. 76 From what you know, do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with the Tea Party movement, or don't you have an opinion either way?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

|  | Aug 25- | Jul 21- | Jun | May | Mar |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\underline{2010}$ |  | Sep 6 | Aug 5 | $16-20$ | $20-23$ | $11-21$ |
| 9 | Strongly agree | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | $\underline{2010}$ |
| 19 | Agree | 11 | 10 | 10 | 13 | 11 |
| 11 | Disagree | 12 | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| 13 | Strongly disagree | 14 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 8 |
| 30 | No opinion either way | 32 | 36 | 10 | 11 | 9 |
| 1 | Refused (VOL.) | 1 | 1 | 30 | 31 | 30 |
| 16 | Not heard of Tea Party/Don't know | 13 | 14 | 21 | 17 | 25 |

NO QUESTIONS 77-79

ASK REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1) WHO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES AT LEAST ONCE A
MONTH (ATTEND=1-3)
Q. 80 Was information on political parties or candidates for the upcoming elections made available in your place of worship, or not?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH [N=999]:

| Oct $13-18$ |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{2010}{15}$ | Yes |
| 82 | No |
| 2 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

TREND FOR COMPARISION. BASED THOSE WHO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH AND REPORTED VOTING IN THE ELECTION

Was information on political parties or candidates for the recent election made available in your place of worship, or not?

|  | Nov | Nov | Nov | Nov |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | $\underline{2008}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\frac{1996}{27}$ |
| No | 15 | 14 | 28 |  |
| Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 82 | 71 | 83 | 69 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 |

## ASK REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1) WHO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH (ATTEND=1-3)

Q. 81 And did the clergy at your place of worship or any other religious groups urge you to vote a particular way in the upcoming elections, or not?
IF '1' YES IN Q.81, ASK:
Q. 82 Were you urged to vote for Republican candidates or for Democratic candidates?

BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS WHO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH [N=999]:

```
Oct 13-18
    2010
        5 Yes
        3 Republican candidates
        D Democratic candidates
        0 Both (VOL.)
        * Other/Don't know/Refused (VOL.)
        94 No
        D Don't know/Refused (VOL.)
```


## TREND FOR COMPARISION. BASED THOSE WHO ATTEND RELIGIOUS SERVICES AT LEAST ONCE A MONTH AND REPORTED VOTING IN THE ELECTION

And did the clergy at your place of worship or any other religious groups urge you to vote a particular way in the recent election, or not?
IF YES, Were you urged to vote for Republican candidates or for Democratic candidates?

|  | Nov | Nov | Nov | Nov | Nov |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{2008}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{1996}$ |
| Yes | 8 | 7 | 11 | 6 | 7 |
| $\quad$ Republican candidates | -- | 4 | -- | -- | -- |
| $\quad$ Democratic candidates | -- | 1 | -- | -- | -- |
| $\quad$ Both (VOL.) | -- | $*$ | -- | -- | -- |
| $\quad$ Other/Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | -- | 2 | -- | -- | -- |
| No | 92 | 91 | 89 | 93 | 91 |
| Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | $*$ | 2 | $*$ | 1 | 2 |

ASK ALL:
PARTY In politics TODAY, do you consider yourself a Republican, Democrat, or independent?
ASK IF INDEP/NO PREF/OTHER/DK/REF (PARTY=3,4,5,9):
PARTYLN
As of today do you lean more to the Republican Party or more to the Democratic Party?

|  | Republican | Democrat | Independent | (VOL.) <br> No preference | (VOL.) <br> Other <br> party | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lean } \\ & \text { Rep } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lean } \\ & \text { Dem } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 25 | 31 | 36 | 4 | * | 3 | 16 | 13 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 24 | 32 | 39 | 2 | * | 2 | 15 | 17 |
| Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 26 | 33 | 34 | 4 | * | 3 | 14 | 14 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 27 | 34 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 15 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 26 | 33 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 13 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 28 | 34 | 32 | 3 | * | 3 | 13 | 12 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 22 | 33 | 37 | 6 | * | 3 | 14 | 13 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 26 | 31 | 37 | 3 | * | 3 | 14 | 17 |
| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 22 | 33 | 42 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 16 |
| Yearly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2009 | 23.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 3.4 | . 4 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 25.3 | 35.8 | 31.7 | 3.8 | . 3 | 3.1 | 10.5 | 15.4 |
| 2007 | 25.4 | 32.9 | 33.7 | 4.6 | . 4 | 3.1 | 10.7 | 16.7 |
| 2006 | 27.6 | 32.8 | 30.3 | 5.0 | . 4 | 3.9 | 10.2 | 14.5 |
| 2005 | 29.2 | 32.8 | 30.3 | 4.5 | . 3 | 2.8 | 10.2 | 14.9 |
| 2004 | 29.7 | 33.4 | 29.8 | 3.9 | . 4 | 2.9 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 29.8 | 31.4 | 31.2 | 4.7 | . 5 | 2.5 | 12.1 | 13.0 |
| 2002 | 30.3 | 31.2 | 30.1 | 5.1 | . 7 | 2.7 | 12.6 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 29.2 | 33.6 | 28.9 | 5.1 | . 5 | 2.7 | 11.7 | 11.4 |
| 2001 Post-Sept 11 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 5.2 | . 6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 9.4 |
| 2001 Pre-Sept 11 | 28.2 | 34.6 | 29.5 | 5.0 | . 5 | 2.1 | 11.7 | 12.5 |
| 2000 | 27.5 | 32.5 | 29.5 | 5.9 | . 5 | 4.0 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| 1999 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 3.9 | . 5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 1998 | 27.5 | 33.2 | 31.9 | 4.6 | . 4 | 2.4 | 11.8 | 13.5 |
| 1997 | 28.2 | 33.3 | 31.9 | 4.0 | . 4 | 2.3 | 12.3 | 13.8 |
| 1996 | 29.2 | 32.7 | 33.0 | 5.2 | -- | -- | 12.7 | 15.6 |
| 1995 | 31.4 | 29.7 | 33.4 | 5.4 | -- | -- | 14.4 | 12.9 |
| 1994 | 29.8 | 31.8 | 33.8 | 4.6 | -- | -- | 14.3 | 12.6 |
| 1993 | 27.4 | 33.8 | 34.0 | 4.8 | -- | -- | 11.8 | 14.7 |
| 1992 | 27.7 | 32.7 | 35.7 | 3.9 | -- | -- | 13.8 | 15.8 |
| 1991 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 33.2 | 4.5 | -- | -- | 14.6 | 10.8 |
| 1990 | 31.0 | 33.1 | 29.1 | 6.8 | -- | -- | 12.4 | 11.3 |
| 1989 | 33 | 33 | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1987 | 26 | 35 | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

## ASK REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANERS (PARTY=1 OR PARTYLN=1):

Q. 83 Thinking about this year's Congressional elections, do you think the Republican Party will do better, worse, or about the same as it has in recent elections?

BASED ON REPUBLICAN AND REPUBLICAN-LEANING REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{8 3 9}$ ]:

| Oct 13-18 |  | Early |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Jun 16-20 | Nov | Oct | Sept | June | Feb | Dec |
| $\underline{2010}$ |  | 2010 | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |
| 76 | Better | 72 | 17 | 16 | 23 | 16 | 21 | 16 |
| 1 | Worse | 2 | 29 | 21 | 22 | 17 | 17 | 21 |
| 18 | About the same | 23 | 48 | 56 | 50 | 62 | 57 | 56 |
| 4 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 3 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 7 |

ASK DEMOCRATS AND DEMOCRATIC LEANERS (PARTY=2 OR PARTYLN=2):
Q. 84 Thinking about this year's Congressional elections, do you think the Democratic Party will do better, worse, or about the same as it has in recent elections?

BASED ON DEMOCRATIC AND DEMOCRATIC-LEANING REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{7 9 3}$ ]:

| Oct 13-18 |  | Jun $16-20$ | Nov | Oct | Sept | June | Feb | Dec |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{2010}{26}$ | Better | $\underline{2010}$ | $\frac{2006}{29}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\frac{2006}{2}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2006}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |
| 30 | Worse | 18 | 1 | 67 | 66 | 62 | 64 | 64 |
| 39 | About the same | 48 | 22 | 26 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| 5 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 4 | 5 | 5 | 30 | 32 | 29 | 29 |

ASK ALL REGISTERED VOTERS (REGICERT=1):
PVOTE08A In the 2008 presidential election between Barack Obama and John McCain, did things come up that kept you from voting, or did you happen to vote?
ASK IF VOTED (PVOTE08A=1):
PVOTE08B Did you vote for Obama, McCain or someone else?
BASED ON REGISTERED VOTERS [ $\mathbf{N}=1797$ ]:

Oct 13-18, 2010
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010
Jan 6-10, 2010
Mar 31-Apr 21, 2009
Feb 4-8, 2009
Jan 7-11, 2009
December, 2008

| Voted | Obama | McCain | Other candidate | (VOL.) DK/Ref | Did not vote |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 45 | 35 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 89 | 46 | 34 | 4 | 4 | 10 |
| 92 | 44 | 37 | 4 | 6 | 8 |
| 93 | 47 | 34 | 5 | 7 | 7 |
| 93 | 48 | 33 | 4 | 8 | 7 |
| 93 | 48 | 35 | 4 | 6 | 7 |
| 93 | 50 | 32 | 3 | 9 | 7 |

(VOL.)
Don't remember/
$\frac{\text { Ref }}{1}$
1

| 10 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Early voting figures for 2006 and 2008, as well as preliminary figures for 2010, are available on Dr. Michael McDonald's United States Elections Project website: http://elections.gmu.edu/early vote_2010.html.

[^1]:    1 Gallup trends for 1990, 1982 and 1978 are based on general public.

[^2]:    2 In the Aug. 25-Sept. 6, 2010 survey, a wording experiment was conducted with one half of respondents asked the question wording shown above, the other half was asked: "Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs ..." No significant differences were found between questions and the combined results are show above. All survey prior to Sept. 2010 used the longer question wording.

[^3]:    4 November 1996 trend based on likely voters.

[^4]:    5 In Early October 2006 the question was worded: "In the congressional election this fall, do you plan to vote BEFORE Election Day, that is through the mail or with an absentee ballot, OR will you probably vote at your polling place ON Election Day?"
    6 In November 2004, PLAN2 wording did not begin by referencing a congressional or Presidential election. In Mid-October 2004 and earlier, PLAN2 was worded: "In the presidential election this fall..." In November and Mid-October 2004, "or have you already cast your vote," was added to the question wording, but had been a volunteer option in September 2004 and was not made available in June 2004.
    7 In current survey, Late October, Mid-October and November 2008, November 2006, November 2004 and Early November 2002, the "10 - definitely will vote" category also includes people who volunteered that they already voted.

[^5]:    44

[^6]:    8 Trends for comparison from 2008 presidential election not show.

[^7]:    9 In Early November 2002 and Early November 2000 the question was worded: "Recently, have you been contacted over the phone by any candidates, campaigns or other groups urging you to vote in a particular way in the upcoming elections?"

[^8]:    10 In 2006 surveys, respondents were asked if they had been contacted "over the phone," "in person by someone coming to your door," or "by email." Percentages are based on those who said yes to one or more of those items.
    11 In Nov 2008 and before, the question asked about "the campaign."

[^9]:    12 In late October, 2006 question was not part of a list and was worded: "Have you, yourself, volunteered any of your time to help one of the election campaigns this fall or not?"
    13 In late October, 2006 question was not part of a list and was worded: "Have you, yourself, contributed money to a campaign in support of a candidate in the elections this fall, or not?"

[^10]:    14 In June, 2008 item was asked as a stand-alone question and was worded: "Over the past 12 months, have you contributed money to any of the presidential candidates or not?"

[^11]:    15 In April 2006 and earlier, the item was worded: "Able to manage the federal government well."

[^12]:    19 In Early October 2005, the item was asked as a stand alone question. In June 1999, the item was worded: "Keeping the federal budget balanced." In December 1993 the item was worded: "Reducing the budget deficit."

[^13]:    20 In Nov 2006 and earlier, Q. 45 began, "How did you get..." and Q. 46 began, "Did you get..."

[^14]:    21 Earlier trends available from Gallup.

