

<u>NEWS Release</u> 1615 L Street, N.W., Suite 700 Washington, D.C. 20036 Tel (202) 419-4350 Fax (202) 419-4399

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE: Thursday, July 24, 2008 FOR FURTHER INFORMATION: Andrew Kohut, Director Kim Parker, Senior Researcher

Public Closely Tracking Business News DEMOCRATS HIGHLY CRITICAL OF NEW YORKER COVER, REPUBLICANS SAY IT WAS OKAY

As Barack Obama prepared for a major international trip last week, a controversial magazine cover here at home drew more public attention. Fully four-in-ten Americans heard a lot about a satirical cartoon on the cover of the *New Yorker* magazine showing Obama and his wife in the Oval Office – the candidate dressed as a Muslim and his wife holding a machine gun. A quarter of the public heard a little about the magazine cover and only 33% heard nothing at all about it.

Among those who actually saw the Obama cover – roughly half of the public (51%) – the verdict was decidedly mixed. While 50% say it was okay for the *New Yorker* to publish the cover, 45% say it was not okay.

Attitudes about the cover differ sharply by party. Nearly two-thirds of Republicans who saw the cover say it was okay for the magazine to publish it, 65% of Democrats disagree. Independents are much closer to Republicans in their views on this issue: 59% say what the *New Yorker* did was okay 36% say it was not.

A majority of those who saw the cover (54%) think it was offensive. Relatively few (27%) think it was funny.

Partisan Reactions to New Yorker Cover*							
It was for the New Yorker to publish cover:	<u>Total</u> %	<u>Rep</u> %	Dem %	<u>Ind</u> %			
Okay	50	64	31	59			
Not okay	45	31	65	36			
Don't know	<u>5</u> 100	<u>5</u> 100	<u>4</u> 100	<u>5</u> 100			
New Yorker cover was							
Offensive							
Yes	54	41	70	48			
No	45	58	30	51			
Don't know	<u>1</u> 100	<u>1</u> 100	100	<u>1</u> 100			
Racist	100	100	100	100			
Yes	37	19	53	33			
No	61	80	46	66			
Don't know	2	1	1	1			
	100	100	100	100			
Clever							
Yes	36	40	22	48			
No	63	58	77	51			
Don't know	<u>1</u>	2	1	<u> 1 </u>			
	100	100	100	100			
Funny			47				
Yes	27	31	17	34			
No Dep/t know	72	67	83	65			
Don't know	<u>1</u> 100	<u>2</u> 100	100	<u>1</u> 100			
* Based on those who have seen the cover [N=565].							

Again, there are significant differences between Republicans and Democrats. An overwhelming majority of Democrats (70%) find the image offensive. This compares with only 41% of Republicans. More than half of Democrats (53%) say the cover was racist. Only 19% of Republicans agree.

On balance, however, Republicans do not think the cover was clever -40% say it was, and 58% disagree. Only 22% of Democrats find the cover clever, 77% say it was not. Independents are evenly divided over this -48% say it was clever, 51% say it was not. A third of independents (34%) found the cover to be funny as did 31% of Republicans. Only 17% of Democrats agreed.

Obama Continues to Dominate Coverage and Public Visibility

In other campaign news last week, 34% of the public heard a lot about the first leg of Obama's tour of the Middle East and parts of Europe. Given the extensive media coverage of the trip, that number is certain to rise by next week. According to the Project for Excellence in Journalism's (PEJ) Campaign Coverage Index, Obama's trip was the top campaign story last week.


Obama and John McCain both addressed the NAACP's annual convention last week. McCain's appearance attracted a fair amount of media coverage, but failed to register with the public. Only 13% of the public heard a lot about this; fully 47% heard nothing at all.

Overall, coverage of Obama continued to outpace that of McCain by a substantial margin. According to PEJ, Obama was featured prominently in 83% of all campaign stories last week while McCain was featured in 51% of the stories. The imbalance in media coverage is reflected in the candidates' public visibility. When asked which candidate they have heard the

most about in the news in the last week or so, 76% named Obama while only 10% named McCain.

Public Focused on Economic News

While the campaign once again dominated national news coverage last week, the economy took center stage in terms of public interest. Three-in-ten Americans paid very close attention to news about the presidential campaign last week, however, only 11% listed the campaign as the single news story they



were following more closely than any other – putting it in fourth place behind the economy, the troubles facing Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac, and the situation in Iraq.

Fully 45% of the public followed news about the economy very closely and 29% listed this as their most closely followed story. News of the financial crisis facing mortgage giants Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and the government's efforts to shore up those institutions was followed very closely by 30% of Americans. Another 33% followed this story fairly closely and 15% listed this as their most closely followed story of the week. Republicans and Democrats followed the mortgage story in roughly equal proportions, but Democrats paid considerably closer attention than Republicans to news about the general state of the economy. For its part, the national media devoted 9% of its overall coverage to Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac and 9% to the U.S. economy.

In addition to following these major economic storylines, the public was highly attuned to several of last week's more specific business stories. Nearly half (48%) heard a lot about bank failures and bank runs at the IndyMac Bank Corporation. Those living in the West, where IndyMac is headquartered,


News of Troubled Businesses Resonates with Public							
How much have you heard							
	A A Nothing						
	<u>lot</u>	little	<u>at all</u>	DK			
	%	%	%	%			
IndyMac Bank failures	48	30	22	*=100			
Sale of Anheuser-Busch	35	37	28	*=100			
GM cutbacks/restructuring	32	47	21	0=100			

were slightly more likely to have heard a lot about this story. Even so, nearly half of those in the Northeast, South and Midwest heard a lot about the bank's troubles.

More than a third of the public (35%) heard a lot about the buyout of Anheuser-Busch by Belgium's InBev. And nearly as many (32%) heard a lot about General Motors' most recent wave of restructuring and cutbacks.

Increased Interest in Iraq and Afghanistan

Interest in the war in Iraq was higher last week than it has been in several months. A third of the public followed news about Iraq very closely, up from 24% the previous week, and 13% listed this as their most closely followed news story. Interest in the situation in Afghanistan was also up significantly. More than one-in-four Americans (27%) followed news about the military effort in Afghanistan very closely, and another 33% paid fairly close attention. This is up from 19% who followed the story very



closely the previous week. Still, only 5% listed Afghanistan as their most closely followed story.

Republicans and Democrats followed Iraq news in roughly equal proportions. However, Republicans were somewhat more likely than Democrats to follow the situation in Afghanistan closely. The media devoted slightly more of its coverage to Afghanistan than to Iraq. Overall, coverage of these two military conflicts accounted for 5% of the national newshole.

Closer to home, 23% of the public paid very close attention to news about U.S. energy policy. Another 22% paid fairly close attention to this story and fully a third didn't follow it closely at all.

These findings are based on the most recent installment of the weekly *News Interest Index*, an ongoing project of the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press. The index, building on the Center's longstanding research into public attentiveness to major news stories, examines news interest as it relates to the news media's agenda. The weekly survey is conducted in conjunction with The Project for Excellence in Journalism's *News Coverage Index*, which monitors the news reported by major newspaper, television, radio and online news outlets on an ongoing basis. In the most recent week, data relating to news coverage were collected from July 14-20 and survey data measuring public interest in the top news stories of the week were collected July 18-21 from a nationally representative sample of 1,000 adults.

About the News Interest Index

The *News Interest Index* is a weekly survey conducted by the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press aimed at gauging the public's interest in and reaction to major news events.

This project has been undertaken in conjunction with the Project for Excellence in Journalism's *News Coverage Index*, an ongoing content analysis of the news. The News Coverage Index catalogues the news from top news organizations across five major sectors of the media: newspapers, network television, cable television, radio and the internet. Each week (from Sunday through Friday) PEJ will compile this data to identify the top stories for the week. The News Interest Index survey will collect data from Friday through Monday to gauge public interest in the most covered stories of the week.

Results for the weekly surveys are based on telephone interviews among a nationwide sample of approximately 1,000 adults, 18 years of age or older, conducted under the direction of ORC (Opinion Research Corporation). For results based on the total sample, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling is plus or minus 3.5 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls, and that results based on subgroups will have larger margins of error.

For more information about the Project for Excellence in Journalism's News Coverage Index, go to <u>www.journalism.org</u>.

About the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press

The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world.

The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an important information resource for political leaders, journalists, scholars, and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are made available free of charge.

All of the Center's research and reports are collaborative products based on the input and analysis of the entire Center staff consisting of:

Andrew Kohut, Director Scott Keeter, Director of Survey Research Carroll Doherty and Michael Dimock, Associate Directors Kim Parker, Senior Researcher Juliana Menasce Horowitz, Robert Suls, Shawn Neidorf, Leah Christian and Jocelyn Kiley, Research Associates Kathleen Holzwart and Alec Tyson, Research Analysts James Albrittain, Research Assistants

PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE & THE PRESS JULY 18-21, 2008 NEWS INTEREST INDEX OMNIBUS SURVEY TOPLINE N=1,000

Q.1 Apart from who you support, which presidential candidate have you heard the most about in the news in the last week or so? [OPEN-END. RECORD FIRST MENTION ONLY]

	Hillary	Barack	John		Don't know/
	<u>Clinton</u>	<u>Obama</u>	<u>McCain</u>	<u>Other</u>	Refused
July 18-21, 2008	*	76	10	2	12=100
July 11-14, 2008	1	72	10	4	13=100
July 3-7, 2008	2	71	11	1	15=100
June 27-30, 2008	3	75	10	2	10=100
June 20-23, 2008	3	74	12	1	10=100
June 13-16, 2008	5	69	12	2	12=100
June 6-9, 2008	22	67	2	1	8=100
May 30-June 2, 2008	27	54	5	1	13=100
May 22-25, 2008	27	51	8	2	12=100
May 16-19, 2008	25	59	4	2	10=100
May 9-12, 2008	36	52	2	2	8=100
May 2-5, 2008	29	57	2	2	10=100
April 25-28, 2008	38	46	3	1	12=100
April 18-21, 2008	28	55	4	1	12=100
April 11-14, 2008	24	51	8	2	15=100
April 4-7, 2008	34	45	6	1	14=100
March 28-31, 2008	30	53	4	2	11=100
March 20-24, 2008	15	70	3	1	11=100
March 14-17, 2008	26	57	4	2	11=100
March 7-10, 2008	37	38	6	4	15=100

Q.2 Please think about each of the following presidential candidates **[INSERT NAME. ROTATE]**. In the past few days, have you come to have a MORE favorable opinion of (him/her), a LESS favorable opinion, or hasn't your opinion of (him/her) changed lately?

		More	Less	Opinion has	Don't
		Favorable	Favorable	Not changed	know
a.	Barack Obama	16	22	60	2=100
	July 11-14, 2008	15	17	64	4=100
	July 3-7, 2008	17	17	62	4=100
	June 27-30, 2008	21	19	56	4=100
	June 20-23, 2008	16	16	66	2=100
	June 13-16, 2008	17	15	64	4=100
	June 6-9, 2008	19	17	61	3=100
	May 30-June 2, 2008	17	21	58	4=100
	May 22-25, 2008	18	20	60	2=100
	May 16-19, 2008	19	19	59	3=100
	May 9-12, 2008	20	23	55	2=100
	May 2-5, 2008	11	25	59	5=100
	April 25-28, 2008	16	24	58	2=100
	April 18-21, 2008	18	24	54	4=100
	March 28-31, 2008	18	27	52	3=100
	March 20-24, 2008	22	30	46	2=100

Q.2 CONTINUED				
	More	Less	Opinion has	Don't
	Favorable	Favorable	Not change	<u>know</u>
b. John McCain	17	15	65	3=100
July 11-14, 2008	14	15	66	5=100
July 3-7, 2008	15	16	64	5=100
June 27-30, 2008	17	21	58	4=100
June 20-23, 2008	15	18	65	2=100
June 13-16, 2008	13	18	66	3=100
June 6-9, 2008	14	15	65	6=100
May 30-June 2, 2008	11	20	64	5=100
May 22-25, 2008	15	18	63	4=100
May 16-19, 2008	14	21	62	3=100
May 9-12, 2008	12	18	67	3=100
May 2-5, 2008	10	13	71	6=100
April 25-28, 2008	14	16	65	5=100
April 18-21, 2008	18	15	61	6=100
March 28-31, 2008	18	20	57	5=100
March 20-24, 2008	18	18	61	3=100

Q.3 As I read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past week, please tell me if you happened to follow each news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely. First, **[INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE ITEMS] [IF NECESSARY** "Did you follow **[ITEM]** very closely, fairly closely, not too closely or not at all closely?"]

		Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Refused</u>
a.	Reports about the condition of the U.S.	47		12	0	* 100
	economy	45	33	13	9	*=100
	July 11-14, 2008	44	33	12	10	1=100
	June 27-30, 2008	49	31	12	7	1=100
	June 13-16, 2008	42	33	14	11	*=100
	May 9-12, 2008	45	31	13	11	*=100
	May 2-5, 2008	43	31	15	10	1=100
	April 18-21, 2008	41	35	13	10	1=100
	April 4-7, 2008	39	37	12	12	*=100
	March 28-31, 2008	42	36	14	8	*=100
	March 20-24, 2008	45	33	13	9	*=100
	February 29-March 3, 2008	38	35	15	11	1=100
	February 15-18, 2008	37	36	11	16	8=100
	February 1-4, 2008	40	37	14	8	1=100
	January 18-21, 2008	42	31	16	11	*=100
	January 11-14, 2008	36	32	15	16	1=100
	November 2-5, 2007	27	37	16	19	1=100
	October 19-22, 2007	25	34	20	21	*=100
	August 10-13, 2007	28	36	18	18	*=100
	Mid-November, 2006	31	40	17	11	1 = 100
	December, 2005	35	35	18	11	1 = 100
	Early November, 2005	35	39	17	9	*=100
	Mid-May, 2005	30	39	19	11	1 = 100
	January, 2005	35	41	17	7	*=100
	Mid-October, 2004	30	43	16	10	1=100
	Early September, 2004	39	34	15	11	1=100
	Mid-January, 2004	37	41	15	7	*=100
	December, 2003	35	38	14	11	2=100

b.

.3 CONTINUED					
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Refused</u>
November, 2003	40	34	15	10	1=100
October, 2003	32	39	16	12	1=100
September, 2003	39	30	18	12	1=100
March, 2003	40	35	16 15	8	1=100
February, 2003	42	33	15	10	*=100
January, 2003	40	35	13	11	1 = 100
December, 2002	38	34 40	17	10	1 = 100
February, 2002	35	40 44	15	9 9	1 = 100
January, 2002 December, 2001	30 37	44 40	16 13	8	1 = 100 2 = 100
Mid-November, 2001	41	40 36	15	8 7	1 = 100
June, 2001	24	30 41	13	16	1 = 100 1 = 100
May, 2001	24 34	36	15	15	0=100
April, 2001	36	30 34	15	13	1 = 100
February, 2001	30	39	18	13	1 = 100 1 = 100
January, 2001	30	38	17	12	2=100
June, 1995	26	41	22	11	*=100
March, 1995	20	45	19	9	*=100
February, 1995	23	41	22	13	1=100
December, 1994	28	43	20	9	*=100
October, 1994	27	40	20	12	1=100
June, 1994	25	42	23	10	*=100
May, 1994	33	40	16	10	1=100
January, 1994	34	39	16	10	1=100
Early January, 1994	36	44	13	7	*=100
December, 1993	35	41	15	8	1=100
October, 1993	33	38	20	9	*=100
September, 1993	37	40	14	8	1=100
Early September, 1993	39	39	14	9	*=100
August, 1993	41	36	14	9	*=100
May, 1993	37	38	18	6	1=100
February, 1993	49	36	10	5	*=100
January, 1993	42	39	12	7	*=100
September, 1992	43	37	13	6	1=100
May, 1992	39	39	15	6	1 = 100
March, 1992	47	38	11	4	*=100
February, 1992	47	37	10	6	*=100
January, 1992	44	40	11	5	*=100
October, 1991	36	38	16	9	1=100
The current situation and events in Iraq	33	35	20	12	*=100
July 11-14, 2008	24	35	24	16	1=100
July 3-7, 2008	25	35	25	15	*=100
June 20-23, 2008	25	36	24	15	*=100
May 9-12, 2008	29	35	21	14	1=100
May 2-5, 2008	26	35	25	13	1=100
April 25-28, 2008	29	35	23	12	1=100
April 18-21, 2008	29	39	20	11	1=100
April 11-14, 2008	25	39	20	15	1=100
April 4-7, 2008	25	37	23	15	*=100
March 28-31, 2008	29	40	19	11	1=100
March 20-24, 2008	30	38	19	13	*=100
March 14-17, 2008	29	38	23	10	*=100

CONTINUED					
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	Refused
March 7-10, 2008	28	39	18	15	*=100
February 29-March 3, 2008	28	40	19	13	*=100
February 8-11, 2008	24	35	25	16	*=100
February 1-4, 2008	28	39	22	11	*=100
January 25-28, 2008	23	35	26	16	*=100
January 18-21, 2008	31	33	20	15	1=100
January 11-14, 2008	25	38	21	16	*=100
January 4-7, 2008	27	38	20	15	*=100
December 14-17, 2007	26	32	24	18	*=100
December 7-10, 2007	28	37	21	14	*=100
November 23-26, 2007	25	37	21	16	1=100
November 16-19, 2007	31	37	19	12	1=100
November 9-12, 2007	29	38	19	13	1=100
November 2-5, 2007	31	35	18	15	1=100
October 26-29, 2007	28	37	21	13	1=100
October 19-22, 2007	28	37	20	15	*=100
October 12-15, 2007	26	36	18	19	1=100
October 5-8, 2007	29	33	22	16	*=100
September 28 – October 1, 2007	30	41	18	11	*=100
September 21-24, 2007	32	38	17	13	*=100
September 14-17, 2007	31	36	18	15	0=100
September 7-10, 2007	32	34	20	14	*=100
August 30 – September 2, 2007	31	34	18	16	1=100
August 24-27, 2007	34	36	18	12	*=100
August 17-20, 2007	33	34	18	15	*=100
August 10-13, 2007	36	37	14	13	*=100
August 3-6, 2007	29	40	19	12	*=100
July 27-30, 2007	28	36	19	16	1=100
July 20-23, 2007	28	34	21	16	1=100
July 13-16, 2007	25	41	17	16	1=100
July 6-9, 2007	36	34	18	12	*=100
June 29-July 2, 2007	32	35	19	13	1=100
June 22-25, 2007	30	36	18	15	1=100
June 15-18, 2007	30	37	20	13	*=100
June 8-11, 2007	32	38	15	14	1=100
June 1-4, 2007	30	36	20	13	1=100
May 24-27, 2007	33	36	18	12	1=100
May 18-21, 2007	36	34	15	14	1=100
May 11-14, 2007	30	34	18	17	1=100
May 4-7, 2007	38	37	15	10	*=100
April 27-30, 2007	27	35	21	16	1=100 1=100
April 20-23, 2007	27	35	21	15	*=100
April 12-16, 2007	20 34	33 33	20	13	=100 *=100
April 5-9, 2007	33	33 39	20 16	13	1=100
March 30-April 2, 2007	33 34	37 37	16	13	*=100
March 23-March 26, 2007	34 31	37	10	13	1=100
March 16-19, 2007	31 34	38 34	18	12	*=100
March 9-12, 2007 March 9-12, 2007	34 34	34 37	17	13	*=100 *=100
	34 37	37 37	16	15 9	
March 2-5, 2007	37 36	37 36	16	9 13	1=100 *-100
February 23-26, 2007	30 30	30 36	15 19		*=100 1-100
February 16-19, 2007	30	30	19	14	1=100

1

From May, 2003 to March 23-26, 2007, the story was listed as "News about the current situation in Iraq."

CONTINUED					
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	Refused
February 9-12, 2007	37	34	18	11	*=100
February 2-5, 2007	38	38	17	7	*=100
January 26-29, 2007	36	38	15	11	*=100
January 19-22, 2007	37	34	18	10	1=100
January 12-15, 2007	38	36	17	8	1=100
January, 2007	46	40	8	5	1 = 100
January 5-8, 2007	40	32	16	12	0=100
December, 2006	42	39	12	7	*=100
November 30-December 3, 2006	40	36	13	11	*=100
Mid-November, 2006	44	38	12	6	*=100
September, 2006	33	43	14	8	2 = 100
August, 2006	41	39	12	7	1 = 100
June, 2006	37	43	13	6	1 = 100
May, 2006	42	35	15	7	1 = 100
April, 2006	43	36	13	7	1=100
March, 2006	43	38	12	6	1=100
February, 2006	39	42	12	6	1 = 100
January, 2006	40	40	12	7	1=100
December, 2005	45	38	11	5	1 = 100
Early November, 2005	41	40	13	6	*=100
Early October, 2005	43	36	15	6	*=100
Early September, 2005	32	40	20	7	1 = 100
July, 2005	43	37	13	6	1=100
Mid-May, 2005	42	42	11	5	*=100
Mid-March, 2005	40	39	14	5	2=100
February, 2005	38	45	13	4	*=100
January, 2005	48	37	11	4	*=100
December, 2004	34	44	15	6	1=100
Mid-October, 2004	42	38	11	8	1=100
Early September, 2004	47	37	9	6	1=100
August, 2004	39	42	12	6	1=100
July, 2004	43	40	11	6	*=100
June, 2004	39	42	12	6	1=100
April, 2004	54	33	8	5	*=100
Mid-March, 2004	47	36	12	4	1=100
Early February, 2004	47	38	10	4	1=100
Mid-January, 2004	48	39	9	4	*=100
December, 2003	44	38	11	6	1=100
November, 2003	52	33	9	5	1=100
September, 2003	50	33	10	6	1=100
Mid-August, 2003	45	39	10	5	1=100
Early July, 2003	37	41	13	8	1=100
June, 2003	46	35	13	6	*=100
May, 2003	63	29	6	2	*=100
April 11-16, 2003 ²	47	40	10	2	1=100
April 2-7, 2003	54	34	9	2	1=100
March 20-24, 2003	57	33	7	2	1=100
March 13-16, 2003 ³	62	27	6	4	1=100
February, 2003	62	25	8	4	1=100
•					

² From March 20-24, 2003 to April 11-16, 2003, the story was listed as "News about the war in Iraq." ³ From Farly October 2002 to March 13, 16, 2003, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility

From Early October, 2002, to March 13-16, 2003, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility that the U.S. will take military action in Iraq."

~ "		Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
		<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	Refused
	January, 2003	<u>55</u>	<u>29</u>	$\frac{\text{closely}}{10}$	<u>4</u>	1000000000000000000000000000000000000
	December, 2002	51	32	10	6	1=100
	Late October, 2002	53	33	8	5	1=100
	Early October, 2002	60	28	6	5	1=100
	Early September, 2002 ⁴	48	29	15	6	2=100
c.	Financial troubles for home mortgage lenders					
	Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac	30	33	19	18	*=100
d.	The debate in Washington over U.S. energy					
	policy	23	22	22	33	*=100
	r J					
e.	The military effort in Afghanistan against					
	Taliban fighters	27	33	24	16	*=100
	July 11-14, 2008	19	28	29	23	1=100
	July 3-7, 2008	19	28	32	21	*=100
	June 20-23, 2008	20	30	30	20	*=100
	TREND FOR COMPARISON:					
	Late July, 2002 ⁵	41	38	13	7	1=100
	June, 2002	38	32	20	9	1=100
	April, 2002	39	39	13	8	1=100
	Early April, 2002	45	37	13	5	1 = 100 1 = 100
	February, 2002	47	39	8	5	1 = 100 1 = 100
	•	47 51		8 9	4	
	January, 2002		35			1 = 100
	December, 2001	44	38	12	5	1=100
	Mid-November, 2001	49	36	11	3	1=100
	Early November, 2001	45	36	12	6	1=100
	Mid-October, 2001	51	35	10	3	1=100
f.	News about candidates for the 2008					
	presidential election	30	35	21	14	*=100
	July 11-14, 2008	28	34	22	15	1=100
	July 3-7, 2008	25	35	23	17	*=100
	June 27-30, 2008	39	33	18	10	*=100
	June 20-23, 2008	28	38	22	12	*=100
	June 13-16, 2008	35	35	20	10	*=100
	June 6-9, 2008	38	35	17	10	*=100
	May 30-June 2, 2008	30	35	21	14	*=100
	May 22-25, 2008	32	37	20	11	*=100
	May 16-19, 2008	33	37	19	10	1=100
	May 9-12, 2008	35 35	36	18	11	*=100
	May 2-5, 2008	33 27				
	•		35	23	14	1=100 *-100
	April 25-28, 2008	34	37	18	11	*=100
	April 18-21, 2008	29 21	41	19	10	1=100
	April 11-14, 2008	31	37	22	10	*=100
	April 4-7, 2008	33	36	17	14	*=100
	March 28-31, 2008	31	41	18	10	*=100
	March 20-24, 2008	34	37	18	11	*=100
	March 14-17, 2008	40	37	16	7	*=100
	March 7-10, 2008	39	36	15	9	1=100

4

In Early September, 2002, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility that the U.S. will invade Iraq."

5 From Mid-October, 2001 to Late July, 2002 the story was listed as "the U.S. military effort in Afghanistan."

CONTINUED					
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	Refused
February 29-March 3, 2008	43	34	14	9	*=100
February 22-25, 2008	42	37	13	8	*=100
February 15-18, 2008	44	36	10	10	*=100
February 8-11, 2008	39	37	15	9	0=100
February 1-4, 2008	37	35	16	12	*=100
January 25-28, 2008	36	37	14	12	1=100
January 18-21, 2008	36	34	18	12	*=100
January 11-14, 2008 ⁶	32	31	19	17	1=100
January 4-7, 2008	33	36	19	11	1=100
December 14-17, 2007	25	34	22	19	*=100
December 7-10, 2007	24	35	22	19	*=100
November 30 – December 3, 2007	23	35	23	19	*=100
November 23-26, 2007	20	33	26	20	1=100
November 16-19, 2007	26	33	21	19	1=100
November 9-12, 2007	21	33	25	21	*=100
November 2-5, 2007	27	30	21	21	1=100
October 26-29, 2007	21	34	26	19	*=100
October 19-22, 2007	23	32	22	23	*=100
October 12-15, 2007	13	31	26	30	*=100
October 5-8, 2007	22	30	24 24	24	*=100
September 28 – October 1, 2007	21	34	25	20	*=100
September 21-24, 2007	24	31	20 22	23	*=100
September 21-24, 2007 September 14-17, 2007	22	31	24	23	*=100
September 7-10, 2007	18	34	24	23	*=100
August 30-September 2, 2007	10	35	20 21	25	=100 *=100
August 30-September 2, 2007 August 24-27, 2007	1) 22	28	21 24	23 26	*=100
August 17-20, 2007	19	20 27	24	20 30	*=100
August 17-20, 2007 August 10-13, 2007	23	32	24 21	30 24	*=100
5	23 19	32 31	21 25	24 25	
August 3-6, 2007	19 19	31 32	25 22		*=100 1_100
July 27-30, 2007				26 27	1=100
July 20-23, 2007	16 17	26 20	30 27	27	1=100 * 100
July 13-16, 2007	17	29 20	27 24	27	*=100
July 6-9, 2007	24	29 22	24	22	1=100
June 29-July 2, 2007	20	32	25	23	*=100
June 22-25, 2007	18	31	21	30	*=100
June 15-18, 2007	17	32	26	25	*=100
June 8-11, 2007	19	30	24	26	1=100
June 1-4, 2007	16	27	32	24	1=100
May 24-27, 2007	22	33	23	22	*=100
May 18-21, 2007	18	31	24	27	*=100
May 11-14, 2007	18	30	23	28	1=100
May 4-7, 2007	23	34	21	21	1=100
April 27-30, 2007	14	30	29	26	1=100
April 20-23, 2007	18	28	27	27	*=100
April 12-16, 2007	18	28	27	27	*=100
April 5-9, 2007	25	30	26	19	*=100
March 30-April 2, 2007	20	29	27	23	1=100
March 23-26, 2007	20	32	22	26	*=100
March 16-19, 2007	15	28	29	27	1=100
March 9-12, 2007	24	30	23	23	*=100
March 2-5, 2007	19	31	26	23	1=100

6

January 11-14, 2008 asked about: "News about the New Hampshire primaries and the presidential campaign."

7

8

2.3 CONTINUED					
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	Refused
February 23-26, 2007	22	33	24	21	*=100
February 16-19, 2007	18	32	22	27	1=100
February 9-12, 2007	24	30	24	21	1=100
February 2-5, 2007	24	36	22	18	*=100
January 26-29, 2007	24	33	23	20	*=100
January 19-22, 2007 ⁷	24	27	22	26	1=100
2004 Presidential Election					
November, 2004 (RVs)	52	36	8	4	*=100
Mid-October, 2004	46	30	12	11	1 = 100
August, 2004	32	38	16	14	*=100
July, 2004	29	37	18	15	1 = 100
April, 2004	31	33	19	16	1 = 100
Mid-March, 2004	35	34	18	13	*=100
Late February, 2004	24	40	23	12	1 = 100
Early February, 2004 ⁸	29	37	20	13	1=100
Mid-January, 2004	16	30	27	26	1 = 100
Early January, 2004	14	32	30	23	1=100
December, 2003	16	26	27	30	1=100
November, 2003	11	26	34	28	1=100
October, 2003	12	27	28	32	1=100
September, 2003	17	25	30	27	1=100
Mid-August, 2003	12	27	27	33	1=100
May, 2003	8	19	31	41	1=100
January, 2003	14	28	29	28	1=100
2000 Presidential Election					
Early November, 2000 (RVs)	39	44	12	5	*=100
Mid-October, 2000 (RVs)	40	37	15	8	*=100
Early October, 2000 (RVs)	42	36	15	6	1=100
September, 2000	22	42	21	15	*=100
July, 2000	21	38	20	20	1=100
June, 2000	23	32	23	21	1=100
May, 2000	18	33	26	23	*=100
April, 2000	18	39	22	20	1=100
March, 2000	26	41	19	13	1=100
February, 2000	26	36	21	17	*=100
January, 2000	19	34	28	18	1=100
December, 1999	16	36	24	23	1=100
October, 1999	17	32	28	22	1=100
September, 1999	15	31	33	20	1=100
July, 1999	15	38	24	22	1 = 100
June, 1999	11	25	29	34	1 = 100
1996 Presidential Election	**			51	1 100
November, 1996 (<i>RVs</i>)	34	45	15	6	*=100
	51	10	10	0	100

January 19-22, 2007 asked about: "Recent announcements by prominent Democrats about plans to run for president in 2008."

From May 2003 to Early February 2004 and in March 1992, the story was listed as "The race for the Democratic nomination." In January 2003, the story was listed as "Recent announcements by prominent Democrats about plans to run for president in 2004." In September 2000, Early September and July 1996, and May 1992, the question asked about "the presidential election campaign." In January, March and April 1996, the story was listed as "News about the Republican presidential candidates." In August 1992, the story was listed as "News about the presidential election." In July 1992, the story was listed as "News about the presidential campaign." In January 1992, the story was listed as "News about the Democratic candidates for the presidential nomination." In 1988, the story was introduced as being from "this past year" and was listed as "News about the presidential campaign in 1988."

5 CONTINUED					
	Very	Fairly	Not too	Not at all	DK/
	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	<u>Closely</u>	Refused
October, 1996	31	39	18	12	*=100
Early September, 1996	24	36	23	17	*=100
July, 1996	22	40	23	14	1=100
March, 1996	26	41	20	13	*=100
January, 1996	10	34	31	24	1=100
September, 1995	12	36	30	22	*=100
August, 1995	13	34	28	25	*=100
June, 1995	11	31	31	26	1=100
1992 Presidential Election					
October, 1992 (RVs)	55	36	7	2	0=100
September, 1992 (RVs)	47	36	11	6	*=100
August, 1992 (RVs)	36	51	11	2	0=100
July, 1992	20	45	26	9	*=100
May, 1992	32	44	16	8	*=100
March, 1992	35	40	16	9	*=100
January, 1992	11	25	36	27	1=100
December, 1991	10	28	32	30	*=100
October, 1991	12	26	31	29	2 = 100
1988 Presidential Election					
October, 1988 (RVs)	43	44	11	2	*=100
August, 1988 (RVs)	39	45	13	3	*=100
May, 1988	22	46	23	6	3=100
November, 1987	15	28	35	21	1=100
September, 1987	14	34	37	14	1=100

Q.4 Which ONE of the stories I just mentioned have you followed most closely, or is there another story you've been following MORE closely? [DO NOT READ LIST. ACCEPT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.]

- 29 Reports about the condition of the U.S. economy
- 15 Financial troubles for home mortgage lenders Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac
- 13 The current situation and events in Iraq
- 11 News about the candidates for the 2008 presidential election
- 8 The debate in Washington over U.S. energy policy
- 5 The military effort in Afghanistan against Taliban fighters
- 6 Some other story (**SPECIFY**)
- 13 Don't know/Refused
- 100

Thinking about the presidential campaign...

Q.5 How much if anything have you heard about each of the following? Have you heard a lot, a little or nothing at all? **[READ ITEMS, ROTATE]**

	A cartoon on the cover of the New Yorker magazine showing Barack Obama dressed as a Muslim in the	<u>A lot</u>	<u>A little</u>	Nothing <u>at all</u>	DK/ <u>Refused</u>
	presidential oval office	41	26	33	*=100
b.	John McCain addressing the NAACP convention	13	40	47	*=100
с.	Barack Obama's upcoming trip to Europe and the Middle East	34	42	24	*=100

IF RESPONDENT HAS HEARD 'A LOT' OR 'A LITTLE' ABOUT THE NEW YORKER COVER

(Q.5A=01-02) ASK [N=717]:

- Thinking of the New Yorker magazine with a cartoon of Barack Obama on the cover, have you actually Q.6 seen the cover itself or seen a picture of it, or have you just heard about it?
 - 77 Yes, have seen the cover or a picture of it
 - 22 No, have not seen it/have just heard about it
 - $\frac{1}{100}$ Don't know/refused

IF RESPONDENT HAS SEEN THE CARTOON (Q.6=1) ASK [N=565]:

- Do you think it was okay or not okay for the New Yorker to publish this magazine cover? Q.7
 - 50 Okay
 - 45 Not okay
 - <u>5</u> 100 Don't know/Refused

IF RESPONDENT HAS SEEN THE CARTOON (Q.6=1) ASK [N=565]:

Please tell me if you think each of the following words describes the New Yorker cover, or not. [ROTATE Q.8 ITEMS]

		Yes	No	DK/ Refused
a.	Offensive	<u>103</u> 54	45	1=100
b.	Funny	27	72	1=100
c.	Clever	36	63	1=100
d.	Racist	37	61	2=100

ASK ALL:

Now thinking about some other things that have been in the news recently...

Q.10 How much if anything have you heard about each of the following? Have you heard a lot, a little or nothing at all? [READ ITEMS, ROTATE]

		<u>A lot</u>	<u>A little</u>	Nothing <u>at all</u>	DK/ <u>Refused</u>
a.	Reports about bank failures and bank runs at IndyMac Bank Corporation	48	30	22	*=100
b.	Restructuring and cut backs at automaker General Motors	32	47	21	0=100
с.	The buyout of Anheuser Busch, maker of Budweiser, by a Belgian company, InBev	35	37	28	*=100