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## Despite Uncertain Outcome

CAMPAIGN 2000 HIGHLY RATED

Even with an uncertain conclusion, Campaign 2000 gets better grades from the public than most recent presidential contests. Fully $83 \%$ of voters say they learned enough from the campaign to make an informed choice - a larger percentage than expressed that view in surveys conducted in the days following the three previous presidential campaigns. There was less mudslinging, more discussion of issues, and the debates were more useful than four years ago, said a sample of voters in the Pew Research Center's quadrennial "weekend after" poll.

The poll, conducted Nov. 10-12 among 1,113 voters, also finds a greater number of respondents satisfied with the choice of candidates this year than in 1988, 1992 and 1996 - although most were only fairly satisfied with this year's slate. Voters also are more generous in grading themselves this year, as 60\% award themselves a grade of A or B for their performance, up from $43 \%$ in Pew's survey following the 1996 campaign. But the public's negative view of the press is unchanged from previous elections. Just 29\% give the press top grades, while nearly four-in-ten (39\%) graded the media D or F.

Media miscalls of the outcome of the presidential race on Tuesday have only intensified voters’ long-standing criticisms of press performance. Seven-in-ten voters (69\%) voice anger or disappointment with the networks' premature calls that George W. Bush had won the presidency. More than half of voters (52\%) believe the networks’ earlier mistake of calling Florida for Gore may have had an effect on how people in other parts of the country voted (with as many as $58 \%$ of those in the West supporting this view). Little wonder that the perception that the

| Campaign 2000: A Better Experience |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Satisfied with choice | 1988 |  | 1996 | 2000 |
| of candidates? | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Satisfied | 62 | 61 | 51 | 68 |
| Not satisfied | 37 | 37 | 46 | 27 |
| Don't know | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | 5 |
|  | 100 |  |  | 100 |
| Learned enough to make informed choice? |  |  |  |  |
| Learned enough | 59 | 77 | 75 | 83 |
| Didn't learn enough | 39 | 20 | 23 | 15 |
| Don't know | $\stackrel{2}{100}$ | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\frac{2}{100}$ | $\frac{2}{100}$ |
| How helpful were debates? |  |  |  |  |
| Very/somewhat | 48 | 70 | 41 | 62 |
| Not too/Not at all | 49 | 24 | 48 | 30 |
| Didn't watch (VOL.) | 3 | 5 | 10 | 7 |
| Don't know | $\stackrel{*}{100}$ | $\frac{1}{100}$ | $\frac{1}{100}$ | $\frac{1}{100}$ |
| Was there more or less discussion of issues? |  |  |  |  |
| More | -- | 59 | 25 | 46 |
| Less | -- | 34 | 65 | 36 |
| Same (VOL.) | -- | 4 | 6 | 13 |
| Don't know |  | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\frac{4}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ |
| Was there more or less mudslinging? |  |  |  |  |
| More | -- | 68 | 49 | 34 |
| Less | -- | 16 | 36 | 46 |
| Same (VOL.) | -- | 14 | 12 | 16 |
| Don't know |  | $\frac{2}{100}$ | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\frac{4}{100}$ | media had too much of an influence on the outcome climbed to 53\% in the current survey from 47\% in 1996 and 46\% in 1992.

Roughly nine-in-ten respondents (87\%) want the networks to wait to announce winners until nearly all the votes are counted on election night rather than predicting a winner when they feel confident based on early returns. Almost as many (81\%) think news organizations make projections in order to be the first to call the winner, rather than because they think it’s important that their audience know the outcome as soon as possible.

## More GOP Satisfaction

In keeping with the enthusiasm advantage Bush enjoyed during the campaign, Republicans were more satisfied than Democrats with the choice of presidential candidates this year: $81 \%$ of Republicans vs. $66 \%$ of Democrats say they were very or fairly satisfied. This represents a dramatic increase in satisfaction on the part of Republicans, only $39 \%$ of whom were content with their choices in 1996. Independents were less satisfied

| Satisfaction with the Candidates |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | --- \% Satisfied---- <br> All Voters | $\frac{1988}{62}$ | $\frac{1992}{61}$ | $\frac{1996}{51}$ |
|  | $\frac{2000}{68}$ |  |  |  |
| Republican | 86 | 42 | 39 | 81 |
| Democrats | 44 | 80 | 76 | 66 |
| Independents | 55 | 57 | 37 | 58 | this year than partisans - only 58\% liked their choices.

By overwhelming margins, voters say they learned enough about the candidates and the issues to make an informed choice. Again, this represents a significant improvement from recent presidential elections. Men and women, young and old, Republicans and Democrats agree on this point. No major demographic group subscribes to the view that it was difficult to choose among the candidates because they didn't learn enough from the campaign. The widely publicized indecision on the part of voters this year was not due to a lack of information about the candidates or the issues.

The presidential debates were quite influential in helping voters decide which candidate to support. Nearly two-thirds of voters (62\%) found the debates helpful - up from $41 \%$ in 1996. More women than men say the debates helped them make up their minds ( $66 \%$ vs. $58 \%$ ). Young voters were more influenced by the debates than older voters - $74 \%$ of those under age 30 vs. $57 \%$ of those age 65 and older say they found the debates instructive. Bush and Gore voters evaluate the debates about equally. Not only were the debates helpful, but a sizable minority of voters (20\%) say they made up their minds definitively during the debate period, up from only 3\% in 1996.

On balance, voters say there was more discussion of the issues in this campaign compared to past presidential elections - $46 \%$ vs. $36 \%$ who say there was less discussion of issues. Again, that is a significant improvement from 1996 when $65 \%$ of voters said there had been less discussion of issues.

At the same time, voters saw less mudslinging in this campaign compared to past presidential elections. By a margin of $46 \%-34 \%$, voters say there was less, not more, negative campaigning this time around; another 16\% said there was about the same amount this time. In both 1996 and 1992, voters said negative campaigning was on the increase. Bush and Gore voters have similar evaluations of the campaign in this regard - 49\% of Gore voters saw less mudslinging this year. Among Bush voters, $43 \%$ said less.

As was the case in both 1992 and 1996, the candidates' commercials were not well received by the voters. Two-thirds say the commercials were not helpful to them in deciding which candidate to vote for, down only moderately from $73 \%$ in 1996. Young voters are among the most critical of the candidates’ commercials - $72 \%$ say they were not helpful. Democrats judge the commercials as slightly more

| Dim View of Commercials |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1992}{\%}$ | $\frac{1996}{\%}$ | $\frac{2000}{\%}$ |
| Helpful | 38 | 25 | 29 |
| Not helpful | 59 | 73 | 66 |
| Don't know | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{5}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 |  | helpful than do Republicans (37\% vs. 27\%). Still most deemed them to be of little importance.

## Voters Trump Candidates

In addition to evaluating the campaign process, voters were asked to rate the various players in the campaign. When stacked up against the candidates, the parties and the media establishment, the voters themselves come out on top. More than one-in-five give voters an A, up dramatically from 12\% in 1996. In 1996, Bill Clinton came out on top. This time, the voters trump both candidates.

Interestingly, Gore supporters rate themselves more highly than do Bush supporters - 62\% vs. $46 \%$ A or B. However, when it comes to rating their candidates, Bush voters give their candidate slightly higher marks than Gore voters: $85 \%$ of Bush supporters give the Texas governor an A or B vs. $80 \%$ of Gore supporters who rate the vice president that highly.

Overall, Gore receives A's and B's from 54\% of voters, while Bush gets similar grades from 53\%. Ralph Nader and Pat Buchanan receive relatively low marks. Just over one-third of voters (35\%) give Nader a grade of A or B; only 22\% grade Buchanan A or B. Nader's grades are higher than those received by Ross Perot in 1996, though much lower than Perot's 1992 grades. Neither of the third-party candidates fared significantly better among political independents.

Voters give the Republican Party much higher grades for its performance in this campaign than they did in 1996. This year, $48 \%$ of voters give the GOP an A or B, compared to only $29 \%$ in 1996. The biggest improvement for the party has come from GOP loyalists themselves. In 1996, only 42\% of Republicans gave their party an A or B; this year $76 \%$ did so. Evaluations of the Democratic Party have remained much more stable. About half of the voters polled give the

| Campaign Ratings <br> (Among those who gave an answer) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { \% Who Rated } \\ \text { Each an A or B: } \\ \underline{1988} 1992 \underline{1996} \underline{2000} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  | Avg. <br> Grade |
| Voters |  |  |  |  | B |
| Al Gore* | 39 | 66 | 58 | 54 | B- |
| George W. Bush** | 50 | 31 | 34 | 53 | B- |
| Dem Party | 34 | 60 | 50 | 49 | C+ |
| Rep Party | 46 | 30 | 29 | 48 | C+ |
| Ralph Nader | -- | -- | -- | 35 | C |
| Pat Buchanan | -- | -- | -- | 22 | C- |
| Campaign Consultants | 30 | 44 | 30 | 43 | C+ |
| Talk show hosts | -- | 49 | 28 | 41 | C+ |
| Pollsters | 46 | 53 | 40 | 34 | C |
| Press |  | 37 | 29 | 29 | C |
| * Gore's numbers are <br> ** Bush's numbers are | compar compar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { d to pa } \\ & \text { d to pa } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { st Den } \\ & \text { st Rep } \end{aligned}$ | cratic <br> blican | ndidates. ndidates. | Democrats an A or B, basically unchanged from four years ago.

Reflecting, perhaps, voters' satisfaction with the quality and tone of this year's campaign, the grades given to campaign consultants rose from 30\% A or B in 1996 to $43 \%$ this year. Talk show hosts also improved their standing from 1996. Ratings for the pollsters, on the other hand, continue on a downward trajectory: 34\% rate them A or B this year, down from $40 \%$ in 1996 and $53 \%$ in 1992.

The press winds up at the bottom of the heap, with only $29 \%$ giving them high marks for their performance. As many as one-in-five voters give the press a failing grade, a higher percentage than for any other group or person rated. Republicans are by far the most critical of the press, with only $20 \%$ giving them an A or B and $27 \%$ giving them as F. The press receives high grades from $39 \%$ of Democrats and failing grades from only $10 \%$.

## Cable Outranks the Networks

For the first time in a presidential campaign, more voters cite cable news outlets as a primary source of information about the campaign than either network or local television news. More than onethird of voters (36\%) say they got most of their news from cable, compared to $22 \%$ for the networks and $21 \%$ for local television. Since the 1996 campaign, cable has increased by $15 \%$, while the networks have declined by $14 \%$.

Television, broadly defined, remains the

| Changing Sources of Campaign News |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{1992}{\%}$ | $\frac{1996}{\%}$ | $\frac{\mathbf{2 0 0 0}}{\%}$ |
| Television (Net)* | 82 | 72 | 70 |
| Network | 55 | 36 | 22 |
| Local | 29 | 23 | 21 |
| Cable | 29 | 21 | 36 |
| Newspapers | 57 | 60 | 39 |
| Radio | 12 | 19 | 15 |
| Magazines | 9 | 11 | 4 |
| Internet | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 3 | 11 |
| *Numbers add to more than 100\% because voters could |  |  |  |
| list up to two primary sources. |  |  |  | leading source of election-related news. Seven-in-ten voters cite either cable, network and/or local news as a primary source of election news, down from 72\% in 1996 and 82\% in 1992.

There has been a precipitous decline in the number of voters relying on newspapers as a major source of campaign news. Fewer than four-in-ten (39\%) say they got most of their news about the presidential campaign from newspapers, down from $60 \%$ in 1996. This falloff has occurred across all demographic groups, although it has been somewhat more pronounced among welleducated voters, who traditionally have been steadfast newspaper readers.

In 1996, $70 \%$ of college graduates cited newspapers as a major source of campaign news, compared to only $54 \%$ of those who had no more than a high school degree. This education gap has narrowed considerably. Today, newspapers are cited as a major source of election news by just $46 \%$ of college graduates, compared to $37 \%$ of voters with no more than a high school degree.

## More Go Online for Election News

In place of traditional media sources, voters are increasingly going online for election information. Roughly $11 \%$ of voters list the Internet as one of their primary sources of campaign news, and another $19 \%$ say they got at least some of this news from the Internet. The overall total of $30 \%$ citing the Internet as a source for election news is three times the 1996 level.

Though Internet use has risen across the population, more educated and wealthier voters have been the most ready to take advantage of this new resource. Fully $37 \%$ of college graduates got at least some news about the campaign from the Internet, compared to just $22 \%$ of those with a high school degree or less. More than four-in-ten (42\%) of those in households with annual incomes of $\$ 50,000$ or more say they went online for campaign news, compared to only $15 \%$ of those in households with incomes of less than \$20,000 annually.

Age is another factor associated with Internet use. Four years ago, $16 \%$ of voters under 30 got at least some campaign news online, compared to only $1 \%$ of those over age 65 . Though there have been increases in Internet use among all voters, the disparity between young and old still exists. During the 2000 campaign, $42 \%$ of voters under 30 say they went online for campaign news, compared to only $10 \%$ of seniors.

The digital divide for election news is based more on age and income than race. While three-in-ten white voters say they went online to learn something about the election, almost as many African-American voters (28\%) say the same.

## Too Much Media Influence

Along with changing media habits, the 2000 election has also been marked by increasing concerns about the news media's influence. For the first time, a majority of voters (53\%) feel that news organizations exerted too much influence on the outcome of this year's presidential election.

Republicans and Bush supporters are particularly unhappy with the press' role in the election, with nearly two-thirds (63\%) of each group saying the media has excessive influence, compared to $43 \%$ of Gore supporters and $42 \%$ of Democrats.

Some of this dissatisfaction is evidently linked to perceptions of media fairness in covering the candidates. Overall, $71 \%$ of voters feel the press was fair in the way it covered Gore's election campaign, and $65 \%$ say the press was fair to Bush.

But as has been the case in the past, Republicans are less satisfied with press coverage, and more likely to perceive a press bias against

| Media Bias? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The press was ... | All | ------Party ID------ |  |  |
|  | Voters | Rep | Dem | Ind |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Fair to both | 60 | 47 | 68 | 61 |
| Fair to Gore only | 12 | 23 | 6 | 9 |
| Fair to Bush only | 5 | 2 | 9 | 5 |
| Unfair to both | 18 | 25 | 13 | 18 |
| Don't know | $\underline{5}$ | $\underline{3}$ | 4 | $\underline{7}$ |
|  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | their candidate. For many Republicans, perceptions of press bias go beyond the media's treatment of their candidate. Less than half of Republicans (47\%) believe that news organizations have been fair to both candidates this year, compared to $68 \%$

of Democrats. While 23\% of Republicans say coverage was only fair to Gore, another quarter say the press was unfair to both candidates in covering the campaign.

## High Interest, Deep Concern

Both voters' interest in the campaign and dissatisfaction with the news media can be seen in their reactions to election coverage. Fully $88 \%$ of voters said they followed the returns on election night, with over 40\% staying up past 1:00 AM Eastern Time, and 18\% still watching at 3:00 AM Eastern Time - late enough to see the networks declare a Bush victory.

Regardless of how late they stayed up, most voters turned thumbs down on the media's performance on that chaotic night. While there was widespread anger and disappointment over the networks’ erroneous call of a Bush victory, a majority say the earlier, mistaken call of a Gore win in Florida may have affected voters elsewhere. Republicans were particularly concerned about the early call of Florida for Gore - 62\% felt it affected voters in other parts of the country compared to only $42 \%$ of Democrats. Republicans and Democrats were equally unhappy with the late night call of Florida for Bush.

Voters are nearly united with respect to the issue of when news organizations should announce the winners in the states By nearly an eight-to-one margin, they say the media should wait until nearly all the votes are counted, rather than predicting a winner when they feel confident about the outcome based on early returns.

Almost as many voters question the media's motives for making early election calls. The vast majority (81\%) says the main reason news organizations sometimes make

## Concern Over Wrong Calls

When you heard about mistaken Bush call were you ... $\underline{\text { \% }}$
Angry 21

Just disappointed 48
Not bothered 27
Didn't hear about (VOL.) 2
Don't know $\underline{2}$
Did early call of Gore win in Florida matter?
Had an effect on other voters 52
Didn't have any impact 41
Don't know $\quad \frac{7}{100}$
When should the news
media announce winners?
Wait until votes are counted 87
Predict when confident 11
Don't know $\underline{2}$
Why does the media rush
to make predictions?
They want to be first 81
They want to inform audience 13
Both (VOL.) 2
Don't know $\underline{4}$
100 projections too quickly is because they want to be the first to call the winner, not because they feel it is important for their audience to know the outcome as soon as possible.

# HELPFULNESS OF THE PRESIDENTIAL DEBATES 

-- 1996 VS. 2000 --

(Among Voters)

|  | ---------- 1996 ---------- |  |  | ---------- 2000 ---------- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Not | Didn't |  | Not | Didn't |
|  | Helpful | Helpful | Watch | Helpful | Helpful | Watch |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 41 | 48 | 10 | 62 | 30 | 7 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 35 | 54 | 10 | 58 | 35 | 6 |
| Female | 46 | 42 | 11 | 66 | 26 | 7 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 38 | 50 | 11 | 61 | 32 | 6 |
| Non-white | 66 | 26 | 8 | 70 | 20 | 9 |
| Black* | 71 | 24 | 5 | 72 | 18 | 10 |
| Race and Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Men | 32 | 56 | 10 | 56 | 36 | 7 |
| White Women | 42 | 45 | 12 | 65 | 28 | 6 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 50 | 47 | 4 | 74 | 20 | 6 |
| 30-49 | 39 | 48 | 12 | 65 | 29 | 6 |
| 50-64 | 34 | 48 | 16 | 57 | 36 | 6 |
| 65+ | 46 | 46 | 5 | 57 | 31 | 8 |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men under 50 | 41 | 49 | 10 | 61 | 33 | 6 |
| Women under 5042 | 47 | 10 | 73 | 20 | 7 |  |
| Men 50+ | 27 | 60 | 10 | 55 | 36 | 7 |
| Women 50+ | 50 | 37 | 12 | 59 | 32 | 7 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad | 28 | 62 | 9 | 58 | 37 | 5 |
| Some College | 42 | 49 | 10 | 63 | 32 | 4 |
| High School Grad | 48 | 41 | 10 | 62 | 28 | 10 |
| < H.S. Grad* | 50 | 31 | 18 | 74 | 12 | 10 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000 + | 30 | 57 | 12 | 59 | 36 | 6 |
| \$30,000 - \$49,999 | 39 | 51 | 9 | 62 | 31 | 5 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 45 | 42 | 12 | 70 | 21 | 9 |
| < \$20,000 | 56 | 32 | 11 | 69 | 20 | 10 |

Question: How helpful were the presidential debates to you in deciding which candidate to vote for? Would you say they were very helpful, somewhat helpful, not too helpful, or not at all helpful?

|  | ---------- 1996 ---------- |  |  | ---------- 2000 ---------- |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Helpful | Not Helpful | Didn't <br> Watch | Helpful | Not Helpful | Didn't <br> Watch |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 41 | 48 | 10 | 62 | 30 | 7 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 36 | 54 | 9 | 64 | 31 | 5 |
| Midwest | 44 | 42 | 13 | 61 | 27 | 10 |
| South | 43 | 45 | 12 | 65 | 29 | 6 |
| West | 38 | 52 | 8 | 59 | 35 | 6 |
| Community Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large City | 46 | 42 | 12 | 67 | 29 | 4 |
| Suburb | 38 | 54 | 7 | 62 | 32 | 5 |
| Small town | 41 | 47 | 10 | 61 | 30 | 7 |
| Rural | 38 | 46 | 15 | 61 | 28 | 10 |
| Religious Affiliation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total White Protestant | 38 | 49 | 12 | 62 | 30 | 6 |
| White Prot. Evangelical | 41 | 44 | 13 | 71 | 23 | 5 |
| White Prot. Non-Evangelical | 34 | 54 | 11 | 54 | 36 | 7 |
| White Catholic | 42 | 47 | 11 | 60 | 31 | 10 |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 37 | 51 | 11 | 63 | 29 | 7 |
| Democrat | 50 | 40 | 10 | 66 | 27 | 6 |
| Independent | 35 | 52 | 11 | 58 | 36 | 5 |
| Lean Republican | 27 | 59 | 11 | 66 | 28 | 4 |
| Lean Democrat | 45 | 44 | 10 | 59 | 36 | 5 |
| Presidential Vote |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinton/Gore | 54 | 36 | 10 | 64 | 29 | 6 |
| Dole/Bush | 32 | 56 | 12 | 66 | 26 | 6 |
| Perot | 21 | 70 | 9 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## VOTERS GRADE THE "VOTERS"

1992 VS. 1996 VS. 2000
(Among Voters)

|  | ------ 1992 ------ |  |  | ------ 1996 ------ |  |  | ------ 2000 ------ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'A' or |  | 'D' or | 'A' or |  | 'D' or | 'A' or |  | 'D' or |
|  | 'B' | 'C' | 'F' | 'B' | 'C' | 'F' | 'B' | 'C' | 'F' |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 61 | 20 | 10 | 39 | 29 | 23 | 53 | 25 | 11 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 62 | 21 | 10 | 30 | 33 | 28 | 52 | 25 | 14 |
| Female | 61 | 18 | 10 | 48 | 24 | 18 | 54 | 24 | 9 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 60 | 20 | 11 | 36 | 30 | 24 | 51 | 25 | 11 |
| Non-white | 70 | 16 | 5 | 61 | 22 | 10 | 66 | 19 | 8 |
| Black* | 73 | 14 | 3 | 62 | 19 | 11 | 75 | 11 | 6 |
| Race and Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White Men | n/a | n/a | n/a | 26 | 34 | 30 | 51 | 26 | 14 |
| White Women | n/a | n/a | n/a | 45 | 26 | 19 | 52 | 25 | 9 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 69 | 18 | 6 | 50 | 28 | 18 | 64 | 26 | 5 |
| 30-49 | 65 | 19 | 10 | 37 | 31 | 24 | 55 | 26 | 13 |
| 50+ | 53 | 21 | 12 | 36 | 27 | 23 | 49 | 24 | 11 |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men under 50 | 64 | 21 | 9 | 31 | 34 | 27 | 56 | 25 | 14 |
| Women under 5068 | 16 | 10 | 50 | 26 | 18 | 58 | 26 | 9 |  |
| Men 50+ | 57 | 21 | 14 | 27 | 33 | 28 | 48 | 26 | 14 |
| Women 50+ | 50 | 21 | 25 | 44 | 22 | 19 | 50 | 22 | 9 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad | 64 | 18 | 8 | 28 | 37 | 26 | 50 | 25 | 14 |
| Some College | 61 | 21 | 10 | 37 | 28 | 26 | 55 | 27 | 8 |
| High School Grad | 58 | 22 | 11 | 44 | 27 | 20 | 56 | 22 | 12 |
| < H.S. Grad* | 61 | 15 | 11 | 55 | 17 | 18 | 51 | 27 | 5 |

* Note small sample size.

Question: Students are often given the grades A, B, C, D, or Fail to describe the quality of their work. Looking back over the campaign, what grade would you give to each of the following groups for the way they conducted themselves in the campaign... the voters?

|  | ------ 1992 ------ |  |  | ------ 1996 ------ |  |  | ------ 2000 ------ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 'A' or |  | 'D' or | 'A' or |  | 'D' or | 'A' or |  | 'D' or |
|  | 'B' | 'C' | 'F' | 'B' | ' $\underline{C}^{\prime}$ | 'F' | 'B' | 'C' | 'F' |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 61 | 20 | 10 | 39 | 29 | 23 | 53 | 25 | 11 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000 + | 59 | 27 | 10 | 28 | 35 | 29 | 53 | 24 | 12 |
| \$30,000 - \$49,999 | 63 | 17 | 11 | 39 | 26 | 25 | 58 | 23 | 12 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 59 | 20 | 12 | 44 | 29 | 17 | 54 | 29 | 8 |
| < \$20,000 | 67 | 15 | 7 | 53 | 23 | 15 | 57 | 23 | 8 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 64 | 15 | 10 | 39 | 29 | 23 | 58 | 21 | 11 |
| Midwest | 61 | 18 | 11 | 44 | 30 | 23 | 52 | 28 | 10 |
| South | 59 | 21 | 11 | 41 | 22 | 23 | 54 | 22 | 12 |
| West | 62 | 24 | 7 | 30 | 37 | 21 | 50 | 27 | 10 |
| Religious Affiliation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total White Protestant | 61 | 19 | 12 | 35 | 28 | 26 | 49 | 27 | 12 |
| White Prot. Evangelical | 61 | 20 | 11 | 33 | 30 | 26 | 46 | 27 | 12 |
| White Prot. Non-Evangelical | 61 | 18 | 12 | 37 | 26 | 25 | 52 | 26 | 12 |
| White Catholic | 60 | 24 | 9 | 44 | 32 | 18 | 54 | 27 | 9 |
| Community Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large City | n/a | n/a | n/a | 45 | 31 | 15 | 65 | 19 | 10 |
| Suburb | n/a | n/a | n/a | 40 | 24 | 26 | 54 | 25 | 12 |
| Small City/Town | n/a | n/a | n/a | 35 | 31 | 23 | 50 | 28 | 10 |
| Rural | n/a | n/a | n/a | 38 | 29 | 24 | 51 | 22 | 12 |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 49 | 23 | 16 | 27 | 30 | 34 | 43 | 30 | 15 |
| Democrat | 72 | 15 | 6 | 53 | 25 | 12 | 63 | 21 | 6 |
| Independent | 62 | 21 | 9 | 38 | 32 | 22 | 52 | 25 | 14 |
| Lean Republican | 52 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 33 | 35 | 48 | 29 | 15 |
| Lean Democrat | 68 | 17 | 3 | 50 | 31 | 11 | 55 | 19 | 14 |
| Presidential Vote |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinton/Gore | 73 | 15 | 4 | 54 | 24 | 13 | 62 | 21 | 6 |
| Bush/Dole/Bush | 49 | 24 | 18 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 46 | 28 | 15 |
| Perot | 59 | 24 | 11 | 38 | 30 | 25 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

(Among Voters)


* Note small sample size.
† This column combines the respondents who answered 'yes' to the following question: "Did you happen to get any news or information about the 2000 elections from the Internet, or not?" and the percent of respondents who volunteered they got most of their news about the election from the Internet.

Question: How did you get most of your news about the presidential election campaign? From television, from newspapers, from radio, from magazines or from the Internet? (ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS. IF ONLY ONE RESPONSE IS GIVEN, PROBE FOR ONE ADDITIONAL RESPONSE)
IF RESPONDENT ANSWERED '1' TELEVISION ASK:
Did you get most of your news about the presidential campaign from network TV news, from local TV news, or from cable news networks such as CNN or MSNBC? (ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS; DO NOT PROBE)

Continued ...

|  |  |  |  |  | 996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 000 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Net- |  |  | News- |  |  | Maga- +On- |  | Total Net- |  | Local | News- |  | Maga-†Inter- |  |  |
|  | TV | work | Local | CNN | paper | Radio | zines | Line | TV | work |  | Cable | paper | Radio | zines | $\underline{\text { net }}$ |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 72 | 36 | 23 | 21 | 60 | 19 | 11 | 10 | 70 | 22 | 21 | 36 | 39 | 15 | 4 | 30 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000 + | 60 | 33 | 16 | 18 | 71 | 20 | 14 | 15 | 63 | 23 | 13 | 34 | 43 | 18 | 6 | 42 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 72 | 41 | 22 | 19 | 61 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 68 | 18 | 23 | 37 | 41 | 15 | 3 | 29 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 78 | 33 | 31 | 22 | 51 | 19 | 13 | 8 | 80 | 29 | 30 | 33 | 34 | 20 | 2 | 20 |
| < \$20,000 | 82 | 35 | 26 | 27 | 49 | 20 | 9 | 4 | 78 | 21 | 29 | 37 | 32 | 10 | 3 | 15 |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 74 | 41 | 25 | 21 | 70 | 17 | 12 | 8 | 65 | 20 | 24 | 30 | 41 | 13 | 4 | 33 |
| Midwest | 71 | 34 | 24 | 22 | 59 | 18 | 12 | 8 | 67 | 22 | 24 | 30 | 43 | 18 | 5 | 28 |
| South | 74 | 38 | 25 | 23 | 55 | 18 | 10 | 10 | 75 | 23 | 17 | 41 | 32 | 14 | 3 | 30 |
| West | 66 | 32 | 16 | 15 | 59 | 24 | 12 | 14 | 68 | 24 | 21 | 37 | 46 | 14 | 4 | 29 |
| Religious Affiliation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total White Protestant | 73 | 38 | 24 | 20 | 61 | 18 | 11 | 9 | 72 | 24 | 21 | 36 | 40 | 16 | 4 | 26 |
| Wh. Prot. Evangelical | 76 | 36 | 28 | 22 | 56 | 18 | 10 | 6 | 71 | 20 | 18 | 42 | 38 | 17 | 3 | 27 |
| Wh. Prot. Non-Evang. | 70 | 40 | 21 | 18 | 65 | 18 | 12 | 12 | 72 | 28 | 23 | 31 | 42 | 16 | 4 | 26 |
| White Catholic | 68 | 38 | 21 | 17 | 64 | 19 | 12 | 8 | 66 | 18 | 18 | 38 | 46 | 11 | 6 | 32 |
| Community Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Large City | 60 | 29 | 18 | 19 | 59 | 20 | 13 | 10 | 66 | 21 | 25 | 31 | 40 | 13 | 4 | 39 |
| Suburb | 75 | 35 | 26 | 18 | 64 | 19 | 9 | 13 | 67 | 22 | 19 | 34 | 46 | 16 | 5 | 33 |
| Small City/Town | 76 | 38 | 22 | 26 | 58 | 16 | 10 | 9 | 73 | 24 | 18 | 42 | 41 | 15 | 3 | 25 |
| Rural Area | 69 | 39 | 23 | 16 | 60 | 23 | 14 | 7 | 69 | 22 | 26 | 30 | 27 | 17 | 4 | 27 |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 67 | 36 | 18 | 18 | 59 | 26 | 12 | 11 | 67 | 23 | 16 | 38 | 37 | 20 | 4 | 35 |
| Democrat | 77 | 36 | 26 | 22 | 60 | 14 | 10 | 8 | 74 | 22 | 23 | 39 | 43 | 8 | 2 | 26 |
| Independent | 71 | 36 | 24 | 24 | 61 | 17 | 13 | 9 | 65 | 23 | 22 | 29 | 39 | 22 | 6 | 30 |
| Lean Republican | 69 | 30 | 28 | 22 | 52 | 19 | 13 | 9 | 68 | 22 | 22 | 30 | 35 | 26 | 6 | 31 |
| Lean Democrat | 74 | 39 | 26 | 30 | 65 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 66 | 21 | 25 | 29 | 38 | 17 | 6 | 31 |
| Presidential Vote |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clinton/Gore | 76 | 38 | 25 | 23 | 62 | 15 | 10 | 8 | 72 | 23 | 22 | 35 | 42 | 10 | 3 | 27 |
| Dole/Bush | 65 | 34 | 20 | 17 | 60 | 25 | 13 | 11 | 69 | 21 | 19 | 37 | 36 | 20 | 4 | 34 |
| Perot | 84 | 41 | 25 | 29 | 52 | 12 | 9 | 8 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |


#### Abstract

ABOUT THE SURVEY

The survey results are based on telephone re-interviews conducted November 10-12, 2000 among 1,113 voters under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates. ("Voters" are those respondents who said they voted in the 2000 election.) This sample was selected from those identified as registered voters in two previous nationwide surveys of adults 18 years of age or older, the first conducted September 2000 and the second in Early October 2000. For results based on the total sample of voters, one can say with $95 \%$ confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus 3 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

\title{ PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE AND THE PRESS <br> NOVEMBER 2000 RE-INTERVIEW SURVEY <br> -- FINAL TOPLINE -- <br> November 10-12, 2000 <br> N = 1,113 Voters }


## MY FIRST QUESTION IS...

Q. 1 A lot of people have been telling us they didn't get a chance to vote in the election on November 7. How about you... did things come up that kept you from voting, or did you happen to vote?

Yes, voted - CONTINUE WITH INTERVIEW
No, did not vote - THANK RESPONDENT AND END
Don't remember - THANK RESPONDENT AND END
Refused - THANK RESPONDENT AND END

ASK ALL EXCEPT THOSE WHO ANSWERED '9' DON'T KNOW/CAN'T REMEMBER IN Q.3:
Q. 16 When did you make up your mind definitely to vote for (INSERT CHOICE FROM Q.3; IF 'OTHER' IN Q. 3 INSERT 'THE CANDIDATE OF YOUR CHOICE')? (Open-end) (INTERVIEWER: GET TIME AS SPECIFICALLY AS POSSIBLE)

| Total | Gore | Bush |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1996 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Nov <br> 1988 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | On Election Day | 6 | 9 | 6 |
| 2 | 1 | 2 | On Monday (day before the election) | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | Over the last week-end | 2 | 4 | 2 |
| 5 | 6 | 5 | In the last week | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| 20 | 19 | 23 | Debate period (NET) | 3 | 13 | 20 |
| 17 | 16 | 20 | During or just after the Presidential debates |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | After the vice presidential debate |  |  |  |
| 9 | 9 | 10 | In September, after the Conventions | 12 | 6 | 16 |
| 7 | 7 | 9 | Convention period (NET) | 8 | 12 | 15 |
| 3 | 6 | 1 | During or after the Democratic Convention |  |  |  |
| 4 | 1 | 8 | During or after the Republican Convention |  |  |  |
| 21 | 23 | 23 | This year, before the summer, during the primaries | 13 | 18 | 19 |
| 20 | 25 | 18 | Before 2000 | 39 | 12 | 9 |
| -- | -- | -- | Other | * | 8 | -- |
| 3 | 3 | 3 | Don't know/Can't remember | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| $\underline{6}$ | $\underline{0}$ | $\underline{0}$ | Don't remember who voted for | $\underline{6}$ | 5 | 4 |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## ASK ALL:

Q. 17 Now that the campaign is over, how satisfied were you with the choice of presidential candidates? Would you say that you were very satisfied, fairly satisfied, not very satisfied, or not at all satisfied?

|  |  | Nov | Nov | Nov |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | Very satisfied | $\frac{1996}{18}$ | $\frac{1992}{24}$ | $\frac{1988}{26}$ |
| 44 | Fairly satisfied | 33 | 37 | 36 |
| 18 | Not very satisfied | 24 | 21 | 20 |
| 9 | Not at all satisfied | 22 | 16 | 17 |
| $\frac{5}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{1}$ |
| 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  |

Q. 18 During this campaign, did you feel you learned enough about the candidates and the issues to make an informed choice between Gore, Bush, Nader and Buchanan, or did you find it difficult to choose because you felt you did not learn enough from the campaign?

|  |  | Nov | Nov | Nov |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83 | Learned enough to make an informed choice | $\underline{1996}$ | $\frac{1992}{75}$ | $\underline{1988}$ |
| 15 | Did not learn enough from the campaign | 23 | 20 | 39 |
| $\underline{2}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{2}$ |
| 100 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Q. 19 How helpful were the presidential debates to you in deciding which candidate to vote for? Would you say they were very helpful, somewhat helpful, not too helpful, or not at all helpful?

|  |  | Nov | Nov | Nov |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\frac{1996}{13}$ | $\frac{1992}{31}$ | $\frac{1988}{13}$ |
| 25 | Very helpful | 28 | 39 | 35 |
| 37 | Somewhat helpful | 23 | 11 | 24 |
| 14 | Not too helpful | 25 | 13 | 25 |
| 16 | Not at all helpful | 10 | 5 | 3 |
| 7 | Did not watch the debates (VOL.) | $\underline{1}$ | $\underline{1}$ | $\frac{*}{100}$ |
| $\frac{1}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Q. 20 Students are often given the grades A, B, C, D, or Fail to describe the quality of their work. Looking back over the campaign, what grade would you give to each of the following groups for the way they conducted themselves in the campaign? First... (READ AND ROTATE)

| a. |  | A | B | C | D | F | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The press | 6 | 22 | 31 | 18 | 20 | 3=100 |
|  | November 1996 | 6 | 22 | 33 | 19 | 18 | $2=100$ |
|  | November 1992 | 11 | 25 | 29 | 16 | 15 | $4=100$ |
|  | November 1988 | 8 | 22 | 33 | 19 | 16 | $2=100$ |
| b. | The pollsters | 7 | 22 | 28 | 15 | 14 | $14=100$ |
|  | November 1996 | 11 | 23 | 29 | 11 | 10 | 16=100 |
|  | November 1992 | 15 | 31 | 27 | 9 | 6 | $12=100$ |
|  | November 1988 | 13 | 29 | 29 | 12 | 11 | $6=100$ |

## Q. 20 CONTINUED ...

|  |  | $\underline{\text { A }}$ | B | $\underline{\text { C }}$ | D | F | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| c. | The talk show hosts | 9 | 22 | 24 | 11 | 10 | 24=100 |
|  | November 1996 | 6 | 15 | 25 | 13 | 16 | $25=100$ |
|  | November 1992 | 10 | 29 | 25 | 8 | 9 | $19=100$ |
| d. | The campaign consultants | 5 | 26 | 29 | 8 | 5 | $27=100$ |
|  | November 1996 | 4 | 18 | 33 | 11 | 8 | 26=100 |
|  | November 1992 | 7 | 26 | 31 | 7 | 4 | $25=100$ |
|  | November 1988 | 5 | 20 | 37 | 14 | 8 | 16=100 |
| e. | The Republican Party | 10 | 35 | 31 | 10 | 9 | $5=100$ |
|  | November 1996 | 4 | 23 | 39 | 18 | 13 | $3=100$ |
|  | November 1992 | 4 | 25 | 34 | 18 | 15 | $4=100$ |
|  | November 1988 | 11 | 34 | 31 | 12 | 10 | $2=100$ |
| f. | The Democratic Party | 14 | 33 | 30 | 11 | 8 | $4=100$ |
|  | November 1996 | 12 | 36 | 29 | 11 | 9 | $3=100$ |
|  | November 1992 | 18 | 40 | 26 | 6 | 6 | $4=100$ |
|  | November 1988 | 7 | 26 | 45 | 13 | 7 | $2=100$ |
| g. | Al Gore | 17 | 36 | 25 | 10 | 10 | $2=100$ |
|  | Clinton: November 1996 | 20 | 37 | 22 | 8 | 11 | $2=100$ |
|  | Clinton: November 1992 | 26 | 38 | 21 | 7 | 5 | $3=100$ |
|  | Dukakis: November 1988 | 9 | 29 | 40 | 13 | 7 | $1=100$ |
| h. | George W. Bush | 15 | 37 | 27 | 10 | 9 | $2=100$ |
|  | Dole: November 1996 | 7 | 26 | 34 | 20 | 12 | $1=100$ |
|  | Bush: November 1992 | 4 | 26 | 33 | 18 | 16 | $3=100$ |
|  | Bush: November 1988 | 15 | 34 | 26 | 13 | 11 | $1=100$ |
| i. | Ralph Nader | 7 | 20 | 26 | 14 | 11 | $22=100$ |
|  | Perot: November 1996 | 8 | 18 | 30 | 20 | 17 | $7=100$ |
|  | Perot: November 1992 | 17 | 30 | 27 | 13 | 10 | $3=100$ |
| j. | Pat Buchanan | 4 | 12 | 25 | 17 | 15 | $27=100$ |
| k. | The voters | 22 | 31 | 25 | 7 | 4 | 11=100 |
|  | November 1996 | 12 | 27 | 29 | 13 | 10 | $9=100$ |
|  | November 1992 | 32 | 29 | 20 | 5 | 5 | $9=100$ |
|  | November 1988 | 18 | 31 | 28 | 10 | 7 | $6=100$ |

NO Q. 21

Thinking about the campaign again...
Q. 22 How helpful were the candidates' commercials to you in deciding which candidate to vote for? Would you say they were very helpful, somewhat helpful, not too helpful, or not at all helpful?

|  |  | Nov | Nov |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Very helpful | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1992}$ |
| 23 | Somewhat helpful | 21 | 28 |
| 24 | Not too helpful | 27 | 28 |
| 42 | Not at all helpful | 46 | 31 |
| $\underline{5}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{3}$ |
| 100 |  | 100 | 100 |

Q. 23 Compared to past presidential elections, would you say there was more discussion of issues in this campaign or less discussion of issues?

|  |  | Nov | Nov |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1996 | $\underline{1992}$ |
| 46 | More | 25 | 59 |
| 36 | Less | 65 | 34 |
| 13 | Same (VOL.) | 6 | 4 |
| 5 | Don't know/Refused | 4 | $\underline{3}$ |
| 100 |  | 100 | 100 |

Q. 24 Compared to past presidential elections, would you say there was MORE mudslinging or negative campaigning in this campaign or LESS mudslinging or negative campaigning in this campaign?

|  |  | Nov <br>  <br>  <br> 34 | More |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 46 | Less | $\frac{1996}{49}$ | $\underline{1992}$ |
| 16 | Same (VOL.) | 36 | 16 |
| $\underline{4}$ | Don't know/Refused | 12 | 14 |
| 100 |  | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{2}$ |
| 100 | 100 |  |  |

Q. 25 How did you get most of your news about the presidential election campaign? From television, from newspapers, from radio, from magazines or from the Internet? (ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS. IF ONLY ONE RESPONSE IS GIVEN, PROBE FOR ONE ADDITIONAL RESPONSE)

## IF RESPONDENT ANSWERED '1' TELEVISION IN Q. 25 ASK:

Q.25a Did you get most of your news about the presidential campaign from network TV news, from local TV news, or from cable news networks such as CNN or MSNBC? (ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS; DO NOT PROBE)

|  |  | Nov | Nov |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 70 | Television | $\frac{1996}{}$ | $\frac{1992}{}$ |
|  | 22 Network | 36 | 52 |
|  | 21 Local | 23 | 29 |
|  | 36 Cable ${ }^{1}$ | 21 | 29 |
|  | 1 Other (DON'T READ) | 4 | 2 |
|  | $1 \quad$ Don't know | 2 | 1 |
| 39 | Newspapers | 60 | 57 |
| 15 | Radio | 19 | 12 |
| 4 | Magazines | 11 | 9 |
| 11 | Internet | 3 | -- |
| 1 | Other | 4 | 6 |
| $*$ | Don't know/Refused | 1 | 1 |

## ASK ALL EXCEPT THOSE WHO ANSWERED '5' INTERNET IN Q. 25

Q.25b Did you happen to get any news or information about the 2000 elections from the Internet, or not?

|  |  | Nov 1996 |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19 | Yes |  | 7 |
| 70 | No | 90 |  |
| $*$ | Don't know/Refused | $\frac{*}{97}$ |  |

ASK ALL:
Q. 26 How much influence do you think news organizations had on the outcome of this year's presidential election... too much, too little or about the right amount?

|  |  | Nov | Nov |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1992}$ |
| 53 | Too much | 47 | 46 |
| 4 | Too little | 4 | 2 |
| 39 | About the right amount | 46 | 49 |
| $\underline{4}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{3}$ |
| 100 |  | 100 | 100 |

## ROTATE Q. 27 AND Q. 28

Q. 27 Would you say the press has been fair or unfair in the way it has covered Al Gore's election campaign?

|  |  | -Clinton - |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Nov 1996 | $\frac{\text { Nov } 1992}{73}$ |
| 71 | Fair | 24 | 77 |
| 24 | Unfair | $\underline{3}$ | 19 |
| $\frac{25}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | 100 | 100 |

Q. 28 Would you say the press has been fair or unfair in the way it has covered George W. Bush’s election campaign?

|  |  | -Dole - <br> Nov 1996 | - Bush <br> 65 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Fair | 65 | 61 |  |
| 30 | Unfair | 32 | 35 |
| $\frac{5}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{3}$ | $\underline{4}$ |

## NO Q. 30

Now thinking about election night...
Q. 36 Did you happen to follow election returns on Tuesday night, or not?

IF "1" YES IN Q.36, ASK:
Q. 37 How late did you follow the coverage on election night? (open end)

| 88 | Yes |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 14 | Before 11 PM EST |
|  | 31 | 11 PM to before 1 AM EST |
|  | 25 | 1 AM to before 3 AM EST |
|  | 18 | 3 AM EST and after |
|  | 0 | Don't know/Refused |
| 12 | No |  |
| $\frac{0}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused |  |

ASK ALL:
Q. 38 In your opinion, what should news organizations do on election night: Wait to announce the winner in a state until nearly all the votes are counted, OR should they PREDICT a winner when they feel confident about the outcome of the election based on early returns?
$\begin{array}{ll}87 & \text { Wait to announce the winner until nearly all votes are counted } \\ 11 & \text { Predict a winner when they feel confident about the outcome } \\ \frac{2}{100} & \text { Don't know/Refused }\end{array}$
Q. 39 What was your reaction when you first learned that news organizations had made a mistake early Wednesday morning in declaring George W. Bush the winner of the presidential election? Were you angry with the news organizations, just disappointed in them, or didn't it bother you that much?

| 21 | Angry |
| :---: | :--- |
| 48 | Just disappointed |
| 27 | Didn't bother |
| 2 | Did not know this (VOL.) |
| $\frac{2}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

Q. 40 Early on election night, news organizations mistakenly declared Al Gore the winner in Florida. Do you think this mistake had any effect on how people in other parts of the country voted, OR don't you think it had any impact?

| 52 | Had an effect |
| :--- | :--- |
| 41 | No impact |
| $\frac{7}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused |

Q. 41 Why do you think news organizations sometimes make projections too quickly: Is it mostly because they want to be FIRST to call the winner, OR mostly because they feel it is important for their audience to know the outcome as soon as possible?

| 81 | Want to be first |
| :---: | :--- |
| 13 | Important for voters to know |
| 2 | Both (VOL.) |
| $\frac{4}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused |

