FOR RELEASE: THURSDAY, FEBRUARY 22, 2001, 4:00 P.M.

Too Much Clinton Coverage BUSH APPROVAL ON PAR, NO TAX CUT MOMENTUM

Also Inside ...

- * 'Faith-based' office raises doubts.
- * Bush a moderate to moderates.
- * Bush charm registers, but he's no Clinton.
- **★** Missile defense divide.
- * Exceptionally low interest in India quake.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Kohut, Director
Carroll Doherty, Editor
Kimberly Parker, Research Director
Michael Dimock, Survey Director
Nilanthi Samaranayake, Project Director
Pew Research Center for The People & The Press
202/293-3126
http://www.people-press.org

Too Much Clinton Coverage BUSH APPROVAL ON PAR, NO TAX CUT MOMENTUM

The public's view of George W. Bush's first month in office is remarkably unremarkable. Despite the extraordinary path he took to the White House, Americans are reacting to Bush in much the same way they responded to his predecessors. Bush's modest February job approval rating (53%) is comparable to ratings accorded Bill Clinton and Ronald Reagan in the first weeks of their administrations, but significantly below those given one-term presidents George Bush Sr. and Jimmy Carter.

In typical fashion, many Americans are reserving judgment about what they have heard or seen about the new president in his first month in office. But for now, most are inclined to give him the benefit of the doubt. About six-in-ten could articulate things they like about Bush, while far fewer (40%) could cite anything they dislike about him.

Contrary to the widely-articulated opinion in Washington that the press has been too easy on Bush, fewer Americans think that coverage of the new president has been fair than felt that way about Clinton and Bush's father early in their terms.

| Judging New Presidents | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| | Approve | Disapprov | <u>e</u> DK | |
| | % | % | % | |
| George W. Bush | 53 | 21 | 26=100 | |
| Bill Clinton (2/93) | 56 | 25 | 19=100 | |
| George Bush Sr.* (2/89) |) 63 | 13 | 24=100 | |
| Ronald Reagan* (2/81) | 55 | 18 | 27 = 100 | |
| Jimmy Carter* (2/77) | 71 | 9 | 20 = 100 | |
| Judging The | | J | | |
| | <u>Fair</u> | <u>Unfair</u> | <u>DK</u> | |
| | % | % | % | |
| George W. Bush | 65 | 19 | 16=100 | |
| Bill Clinton (2/93) | 72 | 17 | 11 = 100 | |
| George Bush Sr. (8/89) | 82 | 12 | 6=100 | |
| Clinton since he left off | ice 56 | 30 | 14=100 | |
| * Gallup polls. | | | | |

An even smaller percentage thinks the

press has been fair to Clinton since he left office, despite the public's generally negative view of the former president's pardon of financier Marc Rich and Clinton's other questionable activities on his way out of the White House.¹ It may be a matter of the amount of coverage as much as its tone and substance. A 53% majority says there has been too much coverage of the former president's activities, and a sizable minority (28%) says there has been too little coverage of the current occupant of the White House.

A Newsweek poll conducted at the same time as the Pew Research Center poll found 65% of Americans disapproved of Clinton's decision to pardon Rich.

The Pew Research Center's nationwide survey of 1,513 adults, conducted Feb. 14-19, finds little movement in opinion on tax cuts, Bush's signature issue, since he took office, although much has been made about the increasing political momentum for his plan. Overall, a modest plurality (43%) backs Bush's tax cut, although many fewer people favor using the budget surplus for a tax cut than for shoring up Social Security and Medicare. Equally important, a large majority continues to think the president's tax cut will benefit some people more than others — and 79% of this group believes that the rich will be advantaged over middle class and poor people. It is probably not surprising then that more Americans believe that the main goal of tax reduction should be to stimulate the economy, rather than to provide tax relief for people like themselves.

In that regard, more Americans identify economic concerns as the nation's top problem — 26% cited such concerns in the new poll, up from 15% in August 1999. In particular, more respondents volunteered worries about energy costs and the prospects of a recession. The survey also found significantly fewer Americans satisfied with national conditions than just a month ago (46% vs. 55%) — but that appears more politically based than economic, as dissatisfaction spiked mostly among Democrats and liberals.

Personality Bush's Strong Suit

Just as during the campaign, Bush's personal qualities are clearly serving him well in these first few weeks of his presidency. When respondents were asked in an open-ended format what they have liked most about Bush so far, personal traits were named more often than policy positions or political traits.

The top individual responses related to Bush's honesty and integrity. These traits held the most appeal for Republicans — 18% cited this as what they like most about Bush, compared to only 4% of independents and 3% of Democrats. Bush's tax proposal was also named

| What They Like About Bush | | | |
|------------------------------|---------|--|--|
| Like most about Bush | Percent | | |
| Personal traits | 22 | | |
| Honesty/Integrity | 8 | | |
| Character | 4 | | |
| Religious faith | 3 | | |
| Calm, dignified manner | 3 | | |
| Policy proposals | 14 | | |
| Tax proposal | 7 | | |
| Political traits | 13 | | |
| Keeping campaign promises | 3 | | |
| Nothing/Too early/Don't know | 39 | | |

frequently as were his character, his religious faith, his willingness to keep his campaign promises, and his calm, dignified manner.

When respondents were asked what they *disliked* most about Bush so far, policy positions trumped personal qualities, although more than half couldn't come up with an answer. The president's tax proposal topped the list of complaints. This was followed by criticisms over the way he was elected. Other dislikes included his stand on abortion and his cabinet appointments, as well as assertions that he is not a good public speaker and that he's arrogant and cocky.

| And What Turns Them Off | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|--|--|
| Dislike most about Bush | Percent | | |
| Policy proposals | 16 | | |
| Tax proposal | 6 | | |
| Abortion position | 4 | | |
| Personal traits | 11 | | |
| Not articulate | 2 | | |
| Arrogant/Cocky | 2 | | |
| The way he got elected | 4 | | |
| Cabinet appointments | 3 | | |
| Nothing/Too early/Don't kn | ow 57 | | |

Fully 57% of those asked either gave no answer or said they could think of *nothing* they dislike about the president — far more than the 39% who did not offer a positive impression. Even among Democrats a large proportion (38%) couldn't come up with a reason for disliking Bush.

Blacks Remain Wary

On balance, every major demographic group approves of the way Bush is handling his job as president, with one exception — non-whites. Overall, non-whites are fairly evenly divided over Bush's job performance: 30% approve, 33% disapprove, 37% have no opinion. Blacks are the most critical: 22% approve, 40% disapprove and 38% have no opinion. Hispanics approve of Bush by a margin of 46%-25%. Bush receives much

| Blacks' Views on Father and Son | | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------|--|
| African American opinion Approve Disapprove | Bush Sr. 1989 % 53 12 | G.W. Bush 2001 % 22 40 | |
| Don't know | 35 100 | 38 100 | |

lower job approval ratings from blacks than his father did in February 1989. Fully 53% of blacks approved of the job Bush Sr. was doing at that point in time. Bush Jr.'s support among blacks is much more comparable to Reagan's in 1981.

There is a fairly substantial gender gap in approval of Bush, with men approving of the job he's doing by a 59%-19% margin and women approving by a narrower 48%-23%. There are also large religious gaps. While 72% of evangelical Protestants approve of the job Bush is doing, only 57% of mainline Protestants agree. Seculars are evenly divided in their evaluations of Bush: 34% approve, 33% disapprove, and another 33% are undecided.

Among partisan groups, Bush's ratings mirror Clinton's at a comparable point in time. In February 1993, Clinton enjoyed strong support from Democrats (81% approved) and moderate support from independents (52% approved). Among Republicans, 29% approved of the job he was doing. Similarly, Bush enjoys strong support from within his party (85%) and moderate support from independents, while 29% of Democrats approve of the job he is doing.

| Bush vs. Clinton Early Approval Ratings | | | | | | |
|--|-----------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|
| | | Bush | | (| Clintor | ı |
| | Rep | <u>Dem</u> | <u>Ind</u> | <u>Dem</u> | Rep | <u>Ind</u> |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Approve | 85 | 29 | 51 | 81 | 29 | 52 |
| Disapprove | 3 | 41 | 20 | 7 | 51 | 24 |
| Don't know | <u>12</u> | <u>30</u> | <u>29</u> | <u>12</u> | <u>20</u> | <u>24</u> |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Personal Judgments, Partisan Divisions

Even though Bush's personal traits are working to his advantage, his ratings on several specific personal and leadership qualities lag behind Clinton's early ratings. While most Americans see Bush as warm and friendly rather than cold and aloof (67% vs. 21%), Clinton scored even higher on this dimension in January 1993 when fully nine-in-ten Americans said he was warm and friendly. Today only 51% of Democrats describe Bush as warm and friendly, compared to 81% of Republicans who described Clinton that way in 1993.

By a margin of 62%-27%, Americans see Bush as well informed rather than poorly informed. But Clinton was viewed as well informed by nearly eightin-ten Americans (79%) in 1993. Again, partisan patterns are much different this year than they were eight years ago. Today only 42% of Democrats describe Bush as well informed. This compares with 64% of Republicans who judged Clinton favorably on this quality at the outset of his presidency.

Bush's ratings for trustworthiness are on a par with Clinton's early ratings. Six-in-ten Americans say Bush is trustworthy, while 28% see him as untrustworthy. In January 1993, Clinton was seen as trustworthy by 63% of Americans, while 29% saw him as untrustworthy. Republicans were most skeptical of

| Personal Qualities: Bush vs. Clinton | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Warm and friendly Cold and aloof Neither/Don't know | Clinton <u>Jan 1993</u> % 90 7 3 100 | Bush Feb 2001 % 67 21 12 100 | | | |
| Well informed Not well informed Neither/Don't know | 79 14 <u>7</u> 100 | 62 27 <u>11</u> 100 | | | |
| Trustworthy Not trustworthy Neither/Don't know | 63 29 <u>8</u> 100 | 60 28 <u>12</u> 100 | | | |

Clinton in this regard; only 36% saw him as trustworthy. This is comparable to the 38% of Democrats who now see Bush as trustworthy. Opinions about Bush's trustworthiness have changed very little since October 1999 when 59% of the public said the then-Texas governor was trustworthy and 33% said he was not.

Two-thirds of Americans perceive Bush as being well organized, while only 22% say he is not well organized. Bush scores considerably better on this measure than Clinton did in August 1993, following some well-publicized early stumbles. Similarly, Bush is widely seen as able to get things done — 60% choose this over *not* able to get things done. Democrats are divided on these two issues. On balance, they see Bush as well organized but not necessarily able to get things done.

Demonstrating that opinions about Bush have yet to solidify, no consensus has emerged about the president's political ideology. A narrow plurality of Americans (44%) see him as a conservative. However, nearly as many (39%) describe him as middle of the road. Only 7% think he's a liberal. Conservative Republicans and liberal Democrats are among the most

| Bush's Ideology: Conservative or Moderate? | | | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|--|
| | Conserv | Mod | Mod | Liberal | |
| | <u>Rep</u> | <u>Rep</u> | <u>Dem</u> | <u>Dem</u> | |
| Bush best described as | . % | % | % | % | |
| Liberal | 2 | 12 | 8 | 3 | |
| Middle of the road | 35 | 51 | 46 | 16 | |
| Conservative | 58 | 33 | 39 | 75 | |
| None/Don't know | <u>5</u> | 4 | 7 | <u>6</u> | |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | |

likely to say Bush is a conservative (58% and 75%, respectively). Moderate Republicans as well as moderate Democrats are more likely to perceive him as middle of the road.

Surplus Views Unchanged

Bush's ratings for his handling of the economy are comparable to his overall approval ratings: 50% approve, 22% disapprove and 28% don't have an opinion. Support for Bush's tax proposal, however, is lukewarm at best, with the public favoring the plan by a nine-point margin (43%-34%).

In spite of Bush's focus on taxes, the idea of tapping the budget surplus to underwrite a tax cut is only slightly more popular than it was a year ago. When asked what should be done with the budget surplus, a plurality of the public (37%) continues to support shoring up Social Security and Medicare. Roughly one-quarter (23%) favors using the surplus to increase spending on domestic programs such as health, education and the environment, 19% say the surplus should be spent on a tax cut and 17% choose debt reduction.

But Bush has managed to expand GOP support for his plan. A narrow plurality of Republicans now say a tax cut would be the best use of the budget surplus, while a year ago Social Security and Medicare were seen as the top surplus priorities.

| How Should the Surplus be Spent? | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----|------------|------------|-----|------------|------------|
| Feb 2000 Feb 2001 | | | | | | |
| | Rep | <u>Dem</u> | <u>Ind</u> | Rep | <u>Dem</u> | <u>Ind</u> |
| Surplus should used on | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Tax cut | 21 | 6 | 10 | 33 | 8 | 16 |
| National debt | 22 | 14 | 20 | 17 | 16 | 18 |
| Domestic spending | 19 | 28 | 26 | 16 | 28 | 29 |
| Social Security/Medicare | 36 | 50 | 42 | 30 | 45 | 34 |
| Don't know | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Support for Bush's tax proposal is strongest among men, those with annual

household incomes over \$75,000, white evangelical Protestants, and married people. Support is also relatively strong among those who have been closely following news about Bush's tax plan. Among this group 52% approve and 41% disapprove. While Republicans overwhelmingly support Bush's plan (76% approve), independents are evenly divided and Democrats strongly oppose the plan. Even among moderate to conservative Democrats, only 19% approve of Bush's tax plan.

On balance, the public believes stimulating the economy to avoid a recession is a better reason for a national tax cut than providing tax relief to average Americans. Even those who support Bush's tax proposal opt narrowly for economic stimulus over tax relief. Republicans, Democrats and independents all agree that keeping the economy out of a recession is a better reason for cutting taxes than providing tax relief to people like themselves.

Few Believe Cuts Will Be Fair

The biggest hurdle Bush may face in gaining support for his tax proposal is the widespread perception that if his tax bill becomes law, it will benefit some people much more than others. Nearly two-thirds of the public holds this view, while only 26% think the tax bill will be fair to everyone.

Among those who think the tax cuts will not be fair, the consensus is clearly that they will benefit the wealthy at the expense of the middle class and the poor. Nearly eight-in-ten of those who think the tax cuts will benefit some more than others say the wealthy will be the primary beneficiaries. Only 12% think the middle class will benefit more than others, and 4% think the poor will benefit.

Blacks are among the most likely to think Bush's current tax plan will be inequitable: 84% vs. 62% of whites. An overwhelming majority of Democrats (87%) believe the Bush plan would

benefit some more than others and nearly as many independents (71%) agree. Conservative Republicans, however, say that Bush's proposed tax cuts would be fair.

Opinion about these issues has changed only modestly over the last year, in spite of Bush's emphasis on the issue and the growing sense that a major tax cut is inevitable. In September 1999, a Pew Research Center survey found the public highly skeptical about the fairness of a proposed GOP tax cut. Roughly 80% thought a major tax cut would benefit some more than others, and 80% of that group saw the wealthy as the most likely winners.

| Stable Opinion on Tax Cuts | | | | |
|---|--------------------------|------------------------|--|--|
| Proposed Bush tax cuts will Be fair to all | Sept 1999* % 12 | Feb 2001 % 26 | | |
| Benefit some more than others Other/Don't know | 82 <u>6</u> 100 | 65 <u>9</u> 100 | | |
| Who will benefit most? Wealthy Middle class | 80 9 | 79 12 | | |
| Poor Other/Don't know | 6 <u>5</u> 100 | 4 <u>5</u> 100 | | |
| * Sept. 1999 question referred to a recently proposed tax cut and did not reference Bush. | | | | |

Charitable Choice: Some Doubts

The public is supportive, in principle, of Bush's plan to enable religious groups to receive government funding to provide some social services. Nearly two-thirds (64%) favor allowing religious organizations to apply, along with other organizations, for government funding to provide services such as job training or drug treatment, while only 30% oppose such an approach. The idea attracted similar levels of support during the heat of the presidential campaign in August and September.

However, when the faith-based model is specifically linked to the White House, support wanes. Just 46% think it is a good idea that Bush created an office in the White House to enlarge the role that religious organizations play in providing social services, and 38% think it is a bad idea. Republicans and evangelical Christians offer the most consistent support for Bush's proposal — both in theory and in practice. Democrats, independents and mainline Protestants are more conflicted. Each group supports the idea of a religious role in the delivery of social services, while raising concerns about White House involvement.

For example, while 56% of Democrats say they like the idea of religious groups having access to public money for social services, nearly as many (50%) think Bush's White House office is a bad idea. It is the more moderate and conservative Democrats who are most conflicted on this issue; 64% support a religious role in social services, but only 37% believe Bush's White House office is a good idea.

The divisions on this issue are most noteworthy across religious groups. While evangelical Protestants strongly support both the faith-based approach and Bush's White House office, mainline Protestants are much more divided. While nearly three-quarters of mainline

| | Favor | Good idea |
|--------------|-----------------|-------------|
| | Faith-based | to have |
| | Approach | W.H. office |
| | % | % |
| Total | 64 | 46 |
| Republican | 76 | 66 |
| Democrat | 56 | 34 |
| Independent | 62 | 41 |
| Evangelical* | 72 | 64 |
| Mainline | 72 | 40 |
| Catholic | 65 | 47 |

Protestants favor allowing religious organizations to apply for federal money, only 40% approve of Bush's action to create a White House office.

There is a significant generational gap on this issue as well. Older Americans are less supportive than their younger counterparts of the idea of allowing religious groups to provide social services. They are also more skeptical about the existence of a White House office undertaking such an effort. Only 55% of those age 65 and older favor the faith-based approach and fewer than four-in-ten support the creation of a White House office.

Partisans See More Bias

The public's views of whether the press has been fair to Bush, and Clinton for that matter, are colored by politics and partisanship. Not surprisingly, supporters of a president (or an expresident) are more likely to regard the media as biased, but this factor has been growing in recent years.

Today, just 58% of Republicans say news organizations are fair to Bush, and 30% believe they are unfair. At the start of Clinton's first term in office, 67% of Democrats thought the press was fair to the former president, and 24% thought they were unfair. In 1989, fully 77% of Republicans thought the press was being fair to Bush Sr., and just 18% saw bias. Partisanship is evident in contemporary views of Clinton as well; just 42% of Democrats say press coverage of Clinton's post-presidency has been fair compared to 71% of Republicans.

| Partisan Split Over Press Bias | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|------------|------------|--|
| Percent "Fair" | | | | | |
| | <u>Total</u> | Rep | <u>Dem</u> | <u>Ind</u> | |
| | % | % | % | % | |
| Bush | 65 | 58 | 73 | 70 | |
| Clinton (2/93) | 72 | 81 | 67 | 73 | |
| Bush Sr. (9/89) | 82 | 77 | 86 | 86 | |
| Clinton since leaving office | 56 | 71 | 42 | 58 | |

The public professes to be growing weary of Clinton and the continuing media interest in his alleged transgressions. While more than half of Americans say there has been too much coverage of Clinton, just 9% believe there has been too little. Still, nearly six-in-ten say they followed the controversy over Clinton's last-minute presidential pardons very (28%) or fairly (32%) closely.

A slim majority of Americans (52%) are satisfied with the amount of coverage of Bush's first weeks in office. But nearly three times as many say the media has been giving Bush's policy proposals too little attention versus those who say coverage has been excessive (28%-10%). Blacks in particular are dissatisfied with the amount of press coverage of the Bush agenda. Fully 43% of African-American respondents say there has been too little coverage of Bush's policy proposals, compared to only 27% of white respondents.

On other issues, pluralities of Americans are satisfied with the amount of coverage of signs of a slowing economy (46%) and the rising cost of energy (42%). But more than one-third (36%) believe the media has been giving too little coverage to energy prices.

Missile Defense: Arguments Matter

Bush's proposal to build a missile defense system to protect the nation against potential attack remains popular among the public, though the manner in which the issue is framed makes a difference, particularly to conservative Republicans.

Overall, a solid majority (54%) favors development of a national missile defense system, with just 32% opposed. However, when arguments in favor of and against the missile shield are presented, the public is more evenly divided. While a plurality of 49% still supports developing a missile defense system, four-in-ten oppose developing such a system.

Perhaps surprisingly, providing the supporting and opposing arguments (the goal of protecting the nation against the cost and diplomatic ramifications of proceeding) has a significant impact on the strongest supporters of missile defense — conservative Republicans. While 81% of conservative Republicans support missile defense in principle, support drops to 68% when points for and against the plan are provided. By comparison, the attitudes of moderate Republicans and Democrats are largely unchanged by the arguments.

Men and members of the Cold War generation (born before 1950) are among the most supportive of missile defense, regardless of how the issue is framed. Fully 61% of men favor missile defense in the simple question format, compared to 48% of women. While 63% of Americans age 50 and older favor a missile defense system, just half of those under 50 agree.

Economy Tops News Index

Reflecting Americans' pocketbook concerns, stories about Bush's tax plan and the economy led this month's news interest index. About three-in-ten Americans paid very close attention to Bush's tax proposal and news on the state of the economy. Nearly two-thirds of Americans paid at least fairly close attention to these stories (69% economic news, 66% Bush's tax proposal).

Clinton's pardons attracted wide public attention, as did the collision between a U.S. Navy submarine and a Japanese ship. Better than one-quarter of Americans followed news of the collision very closely, while 66% followed the story at least fairly closely.

About 60% of the public also followed the California energy crisis closely, with a quarter of Americans paying very close attention. Not surprisingly, people living in the West paid more attention to this story than did those in other regions by more than a two-to-one margin (43% vs. 20%).

The earthquake in India was followed very closely by 15% of the public. This level is considerably less than the attention paid to other international natural disasters such as Hurricane Mitch in 1998 (36%) and the earthquake in Turkey in 1999 (27%). Another foreign news story, Ariel Sharon's election victory in Israel, ranked at the bottom of this month's news interest index. Just one-inten followed this story very closely and one-quarter of the public followed it at least fairly closely.

| International Natural Disasters | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|--|--|
| News followed very closely | <u>%</u> | | |
| Hurricane Mitch (11/98) | 36 | | |
| Earthquake in Turkey (9/99) | 27 | | |
| Earthquake in Japan (2/95)25 | | | |
| Cyclone in Bangladesh (5/91) | 23 | | |
| Earthquake in Iran (7/90) | 20 | | |
| Earthquake in India 15 | | | |
| Floods in Mozambique (3/00) | 10 | | |

PERCENT FOLLOWING EACH NEWS STORY "VERY CLOSELY"

| Total | Bush's Tax cut Plan % 31 | Reports About U.S. Economy % 30 | Clinton Pardons+ % 28 | Navy Submarine Collision % 26 | California Energy <u>Crisis</u> + % 25 | | Earthquake In India % 15 | Sharon's Election Victory+ % 9 | (N) (1513) |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|----|--------------------------|--|---------------|
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 33 | 33 | 30 | 25 | 23 | 18 | 15 | 11 | (721) |
| Female | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 16 | 7 | (792) |
| Race | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 31 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 | 19 | 14 | 8 | (1116) |
| Non-white | 33 | 32 | 27 | 19 | 19 | 28 | 18 | 13 | (374) |
| Black | 39 | 30 | 19 | 22 | 26 | 40 | 21 | 9 | (278) |
| Age | | | | | | | | | |
| Under 30 | 22 | 16 | 20 | 16 | 11 | 14 | 10 | 4 | (285) |
| 30-49 | 24 | 26 | 20 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 11 | 6 | (618) |
| 50+ | 42 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 32 | 25 | 22 | 13 | (579) |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | 20 | 40 | 20 | 22 | 2.4 | 2= | 4.5 | 4.5 | (450) |
| College Grad. | 39 | 43 | 30 | 32 | 34 | 27 | 16 | 16 | (476) |
| Some College | 32 | 28 | 36 | 32 | 24 | 20 | 11 | 7 | (347) |
| High School Grad. | 27 | 24 | 24 | 20 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 7 | (521) |
| <h.s. grad.<="" td=""><td>27</td><td>24</td><td>21</td><td>17</td><td>17</td><td>19</td><td>16</td><td>6</td><td>(168)</td></h.s.> | 27 | 24 | 21 | 17 | 17 | 19 | 16 | 6 | (168) |
| Region | | | | | | | | | |
| East | 37 | 30 | 25 | 24 | 29 | 23 | 20 | 11 | (260) |
| Midwest | 28 | 30 | 28 | 25 | 16 | 21 | 15 | 9 | (343) |
| South | 33 | 31 | 31 | 25 | 18 | 25 | 15 | 8 | (653) |
| West | 26 | 27 | 24 | 28 | 43 | 12 | 12 | 8 | (257) |
| Party ID | | | | | | | | | |
| Republican | 36 | 33 | 42 | 28 | 25 | 23 | 12 | 9 | (456) |
| Democrat | 34 | 34 | 24 | 26 | 27 | 24 | 16 | 11 | (562) |
| Independent | 25 | 25 | 21 | 25 | 25 | 17 | 18 | 6 | (373) |
| Internet User | | | | | | | | | |
| Yes | 32 | 32 | 27 | 24 | 26 | 22 | 11 | 8 | (905) |
| No | 30 | 26 | 29 | 27 | 23 | 19 | 22 | 10 | (608) |
| 110 | 50 | 20 | 43 | 41 | 43 | 19 | 44 | 10 | (000) |

st The designation, Hispanic, is unrelated to the white-black categorization.

Question:

Now I will read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past month. As I read each item, tell me if you happened to follow this news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely?

⁺ These items are based on split sample; the 'N' does not apply.

GEORGE W. BUSH JOB APPROVAL

| | Approve % | <u>Disapprove</u> % | Don't Know % | <u>(N)</u> |
|--|--------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
| Total | % 53 | % 21 | ⁹⁰ 26=100 | (1513) |
| 10141 | 33 | 21 | 20-100 | (1313) |
| Sex | | | | |
| Male | 59 | 19 | 22 | (721) |
| Female | 48 | 23 | 29 | (792) |
| Race | | | | |
| White | 59 | 18 | 23 | (1116) |
| Non-white | 30 | 33 | 37 | (374) |
| Black | 22 | 40 | 38 | (278) |
| Hispanic* | 46 | 25 | 29 | (111) |
| Tiispaine | 40 | 25 | 29 | (111) |
| Race and Sex | | | | |
| White Men | 65 | 16 | 19 | (552) |
| White Women | 54 | 20 | 26 | (564) |
| A a a | | | | |
| Age Under 30 | 52 | 26 | 22 | (285) |
| 30-49 | 57 | 20 17 | 26 | (618) |
| 50-64 | 52 | 22 | 26 | (335) |
| 65+ | 49 | 23 | 28 | (244) |
| 05+ | 47 | 23 | 26 | (244) |
| Sex and Age | | | | |
| Men under 50 | 62 | 17 | 21 | (460) |
| Women under 50 | 47 | 23 | 30 | (443) |
| Men 50+ | 56 | 20 | 24 | (257) |
| Women 50+ | 47 | 23 | 30 | (321) |
| Education | | | | |
| College Grad. | 55 | 24 | 21 | (476) |
| Some College | 54 | 18 | 28 | (347) |
| High School Grad. | 55 | 17 | 28 | (521) |
| <h.s. grad.<="" td=""><td>46</td><td>28</td><td>26</td><td>(168)</td></h.s.> | 46 | 28 | 26 | (168) |
| \T1.5. Grad. | 40 | 20 | 20 | (100) |
| Family Income | | | | |
| \$75,000+ | 63 | 22 | 15 | (290) |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 55 | 19 | 26 | (204) |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 57 | 15 | 28 | (355) |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 51 | 24 | 25 | (191) |
| <\$20,000 | 47 | 24 | 29 | (288) |
| | | | | |

^{*} The designation Hispanic is unrelated to the white-black categorization.

Question: Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president?

Continued ...

| | Approve % | <u>Disapprove</u> % | Don't Know % | <u>(N)</u> | |
|------------------------------|-----------|------------------------|-----------------|------------|--|
| Total | 53 | 21 | 26=100 | (1513) | |
| Region | | | | | |
| East | 53 | 24 | 23 | (260) | |
| Midwest | 53 | 20 | 27 | (343) | |
| South | 59 | 19 | 22 | (653) | |
| West | 44 | 23 | 33 | (257) | |
| Religious Affiliation | | | | | |
| Total White Protestant | 65 | 14 | 21 | (603) | |
| White Protestant Evangelical | 72 | 11 | 17 | (307) | |
| White Prot. Non-Evangelical | 57 | 18 | 25 | (296) | |
| White Catholic | 60 | 14 | 26 | (257) | |
| Secular | 34 | 33 | 33 | (111) | |
| Community Size | | | | | |
| Large City | 42 | 26 | 32 | (372) | |
| Suburb | 54 | 25 | 21 | (329) | |
| Small City/Town | 55 | 19 | 26 | (529) | |
| Rural Area | 62 | 15 | 23 | (267) | |
| Party ID | | | | | |
| Republican | 85 | 3 | 12 | (156) | |
| ÷ | | | | (456) | |
| Democrat | 29 52 | 41 | 30 | (562) | |
| Independent | 52 | 20 | 28 | (373) | |
| Party and Ideology | | | | | |
| Conservative Republican | 89 | 2 | 9 | (252) | |
| Moderate/Liberal Republican | 80 | 5 | 15 | (194) | |
| Conserv./Moderate Democrat | 34 | 37 | 29 | (378) | |
| Liberal Democrat | 16 | 53 | 31 | (160) | |
| 2000 Presidential Vote | | | | | |
| Bush | 90 | 1 | 9 | (494) | |
| Gore | 22 | 45 | 33 | (493) | |
| Marital Status | | | | | |
| Married | 61 | 17 | 22 | (767) | |
| Unmarried | 46 | 25 | 29 | (736) | |
| Parental Status | | | | | |
| Parent | 58 | 15 | 27 | (501) | |
| Non-Parent | 51 | 24 | 25 | (1008) | |
| Labor Union | | | | | |
| Union Household | 47 | 28 | 25 | (212) | |
| Non-Union Household | 54 | 20 | 26 | (1286) | |
| 11011-OHIOH HOUSCHOID | 54 | 20 | 20 | (1200) | |

WHAT SHOULD BE DONE WITH THE BUDGET SURPLUS?

| | February 2000 | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------|-----------------|------------|---------------|---------|
| | | | • | Social | | | • | Social | Change |
| | Tax N | Vational | Domestic | Security/ | Tax N | National | Domestic | Security/ | In |
| | Cut | <u>Debt</u> | Programs | <u>Medicare</u> | Cut | <u>Debt</u> | | Medicare | Tax Cut |
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | |
| Total | 12 | 18 | 24 | 44 | 19 | 17 | 23 | 37 | +7 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| Male | 14 | 23 | 19 | 42 | 25 | 21 | 18 | 33 | +11 |
| Female | 10 | 13 | 28 | 47 | 14 | 13 | 29 | 41 | +4 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Race | | | | | | | | | |
| White | 13 | 19 | 22 | 44 | 20 | 18 | 21 | 38 | +7 |
| Non-white | 6 | 9 | 38 | 44 | 12 | 10 | 37 | 37 | +6 |
| Black | 4 | 7 | 37 | 49 | 14 | 11 | 28 | 41 | +10 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Race and Sex | | | | | | | | | |
| White Men | 16 | 25 | 17 | 40 | 26 | 23 | 16 | 33 | +10 |
| White Women | 11 | 14 | 26 | 48 | 15 | 13 | 26 | 43 | +4 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Age | | | | | | | | | |
| Under 30 | 12 | 16 | 43 | 30 | 21 | 14 | 36 | 28 | +9 |
| 30-49 | 15 | 16 | 24 | 43 | 21 | 16 | 29 | 32 | +6 |
| 50-64 | 11 | 21 | 16 | 49 | 20 | 13 | 16 | 46 | +9 |
| 65+ | 6 | 20 | 8 | 62 | 8 | 27 | 9 | 52 | +2 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Sex and Age | | | | | | | | | |
| Men under 50 | 17 | 21 | 25 | 36 | 27 | 19 | 23 | 28 | +10 |
| Women under 50 | 11 | 11 | 36 | 41 | 15 | 11 | 38 | 34 | +4 |
| Men 50+ | 10 | 27 | 8 | 52 | 20 | 26 | 8 | 41 | +10 |
| Women 50+ | 8 | 15 | 16 | 57 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 56 | +3 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Education | | | | | | | | | |
| College Grad. | 18 | 28 | 22 | 31 | 22 | 27 | 26 | 22 | +4 |
| Some College | 9 | 16 | 31 | 41 | 19 | 16 | 29 | 31 | +10 |
| High School Grad. | 11 | 15 | 23 | 50 | 17 | 14 | 19 | 46 | +6 |
| <h.s. grad.<="" td=""><td>10</td><td>10</td><td>20</td><td>58</td><td>19</td><td>7</td><td>21</td><td>50</td><td>+9</td></h.s.> | 10 | 10 | 20 | 58 | 19 | 7 | 21 | 50 | +9 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Family Income | | | | | | | | | |
| \$75,000+ | 19 | 29 | 14 | 38 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 21 | +6 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 14 | 23 | 20 | 42 | 19 | 12 | 32 | 37 | +5 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 11 | 19 | 26 | 42 | 24 | 16 | 24 | 34 | +13 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 13 | 12 | 30 | 42 | 15 | 15 | 20 | 42 | +2 |
| <\$20,000 | 6 | 10 | 30 | 53 | 11 | 12 | 22 | 54 | +5 |
| ., ., | ~ | - 0 | - 0 | | | | - - | - • | . 2 |

Question:

As you may know, the federal government now has a budget SURPLUS. In your opinion, which ONE of the following should be done with the available money? Should the money be used for a tax cut, to pay off the national debt more quickly, for increased spending on domestic programs such as health, education and the environment -OR- to help make the Social Security AND medicare programs financially sound?

Continued ...

| | | Febr | uary 2000 - | | February 2001 | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----|----------|-------------|-----------|---------------|----------|-------------------|------------|----------|
| | | | <i>y</i> | Social | | | | Social | Change |
| | Tax | National | Domestic | Security/ | Tax l | Vational | Domestic | | In |
| | Cut | Debt | Programs | Medicare | Cut | Debt | Programs Programs | | Tax Cut |
| | | | | | | | | | 1 ax Cut |
| m . 1 | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | . 7 |
| Total | 12 | 18 | 24 | 44 | 19 | 17 | 23 | 37 | +7 |
| n · | | | | | | | | | |
| Region | | 1.0 | 20 | 40 | 10 | 1.7 | 20 | 2.4 | 0 |
| East | 11 | 16 | 29 | 43 | 19 | 17 | 28 | 34 | +8 |
| Midwest | 11 | 18 | 22 | 46 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 31 | +10 |
| South | 12 | 17 | 20 | 49 | 19 | 10 | 23 | 44 | +7 |
| West | 14 | 19 | 28 | 37 | 16 | 21 | 23 | 36 | +2 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Religious Affiliation | | | | | | | | | |
| Total White Protestant | 14 | 19 | 18 | 47 | 21 | 18 | 18 | 39 | +7 |
| White Protestant Evangelical | 15 | 18 | 16 | 49 | 23 | 14 | 14 | 43 | +8 |
| White Prot. Non-Evangelical | 12 | 20 | 20 | 45 | 18 | 23 | 24 | 34 | +6 |
| White Catholic | 11 | 19 | 21 | 48 | 25 | 16 | 24 | 32 | +14 |
| The Camana | | | | .0 | | 10 | | 0 - | |
| Community Size | | | | | | | | | |
| Large City | 14 | 13 | 27 | 45 | 15 | 14 | 30 | 36 | +1 |
| Suburb | 12 | 18 | 28 | 40 | 19 | 21 | 31 | 29 | +7 |
| | 12 | 18 | 24 | 44 | 19 | 15 | 21 | 41 | +7 |
| Small City/Town | | | | | | | | | |
| Rural Area | 10 | 22 | 18 | 48 | 23 | 16 | 15 | 42 | +13 |
| Daniel ID | | | | | | | | | |
| Party ID | 21 | 22 | 10 | 26 | 22 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 20 | 10 |
| Republican | 21 | 22 | 18 | 36 | 33 | 17 | 16 | 30 | +12 |
| Democrat | 6 | 14 | 28 | 50 | 8 | 16 | 28 | 45 | +2 |
| Independent | 11 | 20 | 26 | 42 | 16 | 18 | 29 | 34 | +5 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Party and Ideology | | | | | | | | | |
| Conservative Republican | | | | | 40 | 16 | 15 | 26 | |
| Moderate/Liberal Republican | | | | | 25 | 20 | 18 | 31 | |
| Conserv./Moderate Democrat | | | | | 9 | 15 | 28 | 44 | |
| Liberal Democrat | | | | | 4 | 21 | 33 | 42 | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Bush Approval | | | | | | | | | |
| Approve | | | | | 28 | 15 | 20 | 34 | |
| Disapprove | | | | | 8 | 20 | 31 | 40 | |
| •• | | | | | | | | | |
| 1996/2000 Presidential Vote | | | | | | | | | |
| Dole/Bush | 24 | 29 | 10 | 36 | 35 | 14 | 14 | 31 | +11 |
| Clinton/Gore | 6 | 18 | 26 | 48 | 6 | 22 | 26 | 45 | 0 |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Marital Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Married | 14 | 20 | 19 | 45 | 22 | 18 | 22 | 36 | +8 |
| Unmarried | 9 | 15 | 30 | 44 | 15 | 15 | 25 | 39 | +6 |
| | | | - 0 | • • | | | | -/ | . 0 |
| Parental Status | | | | | | | | | |
| Parent | 14 | 16 | 29 | 39 | 22 | 14 | 30 | 33 | +8 |
| Non-Parent | 11 | 18 | 21 | 47 | 18 | 18 | 20 | 40 | +3 +7 |
| 11011-1 archit | 11 | 10 | 41 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 20 | +∪ | Τ/ |
| Labor Union | | | | | | | | | |
| Union Household | 10 | 16 | 25 | 46 | 13 | 18 | 28 | 40 | +3 |
| Non-Union Household | 12 | | 24 | | 20 | 17 | 23 | | |
| Non-Omon Household | 12 | 18 | <i>2</i> 4 | 44 | 20 | 1 / | 23 | 36 | +8 |

CHARITABLE CHOICE

(Based on Registered Voters)

| | | otember 20 Oppose | 000 <u>DK</u> | February 2001 Favor <u>Oppose</u> <u>DK</u> | | | Change in <u>Favor</u> |
|-------------------|----|----------------------|------------------|--|----|-------|------------------------|
| | % | % | % | % | % | % | |
| Total | 67 | 29 | 4=100 | 63 | 32 | 5=100 | -4 |
| Sex | | | | | | | |
| Male | 60 | 37 | 3 | 59 | 35 | 6 | -1 |
| Female | 74 | 22 | 4 | 66 | 30 | 4 | -8 |
| Race | | | | | | | |
| White | 64 | 32 | 4 | 64 | 32 | 4 | 0 |
| Non-white | 84 | 14 | 2 | 56 | 36 | 8 | -28 |
| Black | 87 | 11 | 2 | 59 | 35 | 6 | -28 |
| Race and Sex | | | | | | | |
| White Men | 56 | 41 | 3 | 60 | 35 | 5 | +4 |
| White Women | 71 | 25 | 4 | 68 | 29 | 3 | -3 |
| Age | | | | | | | |
| Under 30 | 71 | 27 | 2 | 70 | 25 | 5 | -1 |
| 30-49 | 75 | 23 | 2 | 64 | 32 | 4 | -11 |
| 50-64 | 64 | 32 | 4 | 63 | 34 | 2 | -1 |
| 65+ | 53 | 39 | 8 | 53 | 36 | 11 | 0 |
| Sex and Age | | | | | | | |
| Men under 50 | 66 | 32 | 2 | 62 | 33 | 5 | -4 |
| Women under 50 | 80 | 18 | 2 | 70 | 27 | 3 | -10 |
| Men 50+ | 51 | 44 | 5 | 53 | 39 | 8 | +2 |
| Women 50+ | 65 | 28 | 7 | 65 | 31 | 4 | 0 |
| Education | | | | | | | |
| College Grad. | 63 | 35 | 2 | 60 | 38 | 2 | -3 |
| Some College | 63 | 35 | 2 | 64 | 31 | 4 | -1 |
| H.S. Grad & Below | 72 | 23 | 5 | 63 | 30 | 7 | -9 |
| Family Income | | | | | | | |
| \$75,000+ | 58 | 39 | 3 | 53 | 45 | 2 | -5 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 68 | 31 | 1 | 68 | 28 | 4 | 0 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 68 | 29 | 3 | 60 | 37 | 3 | -8 |
| <\$30,000 | 76 | 20 | 4 | 72 | 23 | 5 | -4 |

Question:

Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose allowing religious organizations to apply, along with other organizations, for government funding to provide social services such as job training or drug treatment counseling to people who need them?

Continued ...

| | | tember 2 | 2000 e DK | | ruary 2 Oppose | | Change in <u>Favor</u> |
|-------------------------------|----|--------------------|--------------|----|--------------------|-------|------------------------|
| | % | <u>Оррозс</u> % | % <u>DK</u> | % | <u>оррозс</u> % | % | <u>1 avoi</u> |
| Total | 67 | 29 | 4=100 | 63 | 32 | 5=100 | -4 |
| Region | | | | | | | |
| East | 71 | 26 | 3 | 61 | 34 | 5 | -10 |
| Midwest | 69 | 27 | 4 | 66 | 29 | 5 | -3 |
| South | 67 | 29 | 4 | 68 | 26 | 6 | -1 |
| West | 64 | 33 | 3 | 52 | 45 | 3 | -12 |
| Religious Affiliation | | | | | | | |
| Total White Protestant | 64 | 32 | 4 | 72 | 25 | 3 | +8 |
| White Protestant Evangelical | 66 | 29 | 5 | 72 | 24 | 4 | +6 |
| White Prot. Non-Evangelical | 62 | 35 | 3 | 70 | 27 | 3 | +8 |
| White Catholic | 71 | 27 | 2 | 64 | 33 | 3 | -7 |
| Community Size | | | | | | | |
| Large City | 77 | 21 | 2 | 59 | 40 | 1 | -18 |
| Suburb | 62 | 33 | 5 | 60 | 33 | 7 | -2 |
| | 67 | 30 | 3 | 62 | 33 34 | 4 | |
| Small City/Town Rural Area | 66 | 29 | 5 5 | 73 | 22 | 5 | -5 7 |
| n (In | | | | | | | |
| Party ID | | 22 | | | 2.2 | | 10 |
| Republican | 63 | 33 | 4 | 75 | 22 | 3 | +12 |
| Democrat | 74 | 23 | 3 | 54 | 40 | 6 | -20 |
| Independent | 64 | 33 | 3 | 63 | 33 | 4 | -1 |
| Party and Ideology | | | | | | | |
| Conservative Republican | 60 | 36 | 4 | 75 | 22 | 3 | +15 |
| Moderate/Liberal Republican | 69 | 28 | 3 | 79 | 18 | 3 | +10 |
| Conserv./Moderate Democrat | 77 | 20 | 3 | 61 | 32 | 7 | -16 |
| Liberal Democrat | 66 | 30 | 4 | 39 | 58 | 3 | -27 |
| Bush Approval | | | | | | | |
| Approve | | | | 72 | 25 | 3 | |
| Disapprove | | | | 46 | 49 | 5 | |
| 1996/2000 Presidential Vote | | | | | | | |
| Dole/Bush | 57 | 39 | 4 | 71 | 26 | 3 | +14 |
| Clinton/Gore | 70 | 26 | 4 | 47 | 47 | 6 | -23 |
| Marital Status | | | | | | | |
| Married | 65 | 32 | 3 | 66 | 31 | 3 | +1 |
| Unmarried | 70 | 25 | 5 | 59 | 33 | 8 | -11 |
| | 70 | 25 | 3 | 3, | 55 | Ü | |
| Parental Status | | | | | | | |
| Parent | 76 | 22 | 2 | 69 | 30 | 1 | -7 |
| Non-Parent | 63 | 32 | 5 | 59 | 34 | 7 | -4 |
| Labor Union | | | | | | | |
| Union Household | 73 | 22 | 5 | 55 | 45 | * | -18 |
| Non-Union Household | 66 | 30 | 4 | 64 | 30 | 6 | -2 |
| | | | | | | | |

ABOUT THIS SURVEY

Results for the survey are based on telephone interviews conducted under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates among a nationwide sample of 1,513 adults, 18 years of age or older, during the period February 14-19, 2001. For results based on the total sample, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus 3 percentage points. For results based on either Form 1 (N=728) or Form 2 (N=785), the sampling error is plus or minus 4.5 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

SURVEY METHODOLOGY IN DETAIL

The sample for this survey was designed to generalize to the U.S. adult population in telephone households, and to allow separate analyses of responses by African-Americans and younger respondents. To achieve these objectives in a cost effective manner, the design uses standard *list-assisted random digit dialing* (RDD) methodology, but telephone numbers are drawn disproportionately from telephone exchanges with higher than average density of African-American households. Weighting adjustments are made in the analysis to ensure the overall representativeness of the sample.

Using RDD methods, every *active block* of telephone numbers (area code + exchange + two-digit block number) that contains one or more residential directory listings is proportionally likely to be selected; after selection two more digits are added randomly to complete the number. This method guarantees coverage of every assigned phone number regardless of whether that number is directory listed, purposely unlisted, or too new to be listed. After selection, the numbers are compared against business directories and matching numbers are purged.

The sample was released for interviewing in replicates. Using replicates to control the release of sample to the field ensures that the complete call procedures are followed for the entire sample. The use of replicates also insures that the regional distribution of numbers called is appropriate. Again, this works to increase the representativeness of the sample.

At least 10 attempts were made to complete an interview at every sampled telephone number. The calls were staggered over times of day and days of the week to maximize the chances of making a contact with a potential respondent. All interview breakoffs and refusals were re-contacted at least once in order to attempt to convert them to completed interviews. In each contacted household, interviewers asked to speak with the "youngest male 18 or older who is at home." If there is no eligible man at home, interviewers asked to speak with "the oldest woman 18 or older who is at home." This systematic respondent selection technique has been shown empirically to produce samples that closely mirror the population in terms of age and gender.

Non-response in telephone interview surveys produces some known biases in survey-derived estimates because participation tends to vary for different subgroups of the population, and these subgroups are likely to vary also on questions of substantive interest. In order to compensate for these known biases, the sample data are weighted in analysis.

The demographic weighting parameters are derived from a special analysis of the most recently available Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (March 2000). This analysis produced population parameters for the demographic characteristics of households with adults 18 or older, which are then compared with the sample characteristics to construct sample weights. The analysis only included households in the continental United States that contain a telephone.

The weights are derived using an iterative technique that simultaneously balances the distributions of all weighting parameters.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE AND THE PRESS FEBRUARY 2001 NEWS INTEREST INDEX

FINAL TOPLINE

February 14-19, 2001

N = 1,513

Q.1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as president? [IF DK ENTER AS DK. IF DEPENDS PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling his job as President? IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK.

| | | | Gallup | | | | | |
|------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--|--|--|
| | | Clinton | Bush | Reagan | Carter | | | |
| | | Feb 1993 | Feb 1989 | Feb 1981 | Feb 1977 | | | |
| 53 | Approve | 56 | 63 | 55 | 71 | | | |
| 21 | Disapprove | 25 | 13 | 18 | 9 | | | |
| <u> 26</u> | Don't know/Refused | <u>19</u> | <u>24</u> | <u>27</u> | <u>20</u> | | | |
| 100 | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | | | |

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=728]:

- Q.2F1 Based on what you've seen or heard so far, what, if anything, would you say you have LIKED most about George W. Bush? [IF MORE THAN ONE MENTION, RECORD ALL IN ORDER OF MENTION]
 - 22 Personal Traits (NET)
 - 8 Honesty/Integrity/Trustworthy
 - 4 His character/Good man/Wants to do the right thing/Moral
 - 3 A Christian/Man of faith
 - Way he presents himself/Relaxed/Calm/Dignified
 - 5 Other personal traits
 - 14 Policy Positions and Proposals (NET)
 - 7 Tax proposals/Economy
 - 3 Support of the military
 - 2 Stand on abortion/Cutting funds for abortion clinics
 - 2 Education policy
 - 3 Other policy position/proposal
 - 13 Political Traits (NET)

Trying to keep campaign promises/Following his campaign agenda/Doing what he said

- 3 he would
- 3 Leadership style/Takes charge/No nonsense/Sticks to his guns/Consistent
- 2 Republican/Conservative
- 2 Bipartisan/Reaches out/Conciliatory
- 2 Getting things done/Taken quick action
- 2 Other political trait
- 2 He's OK/Good President/Good job
- 2 Don't like him
- 2 Appointments
- Too early to tell/Hasn't been President long enough to judge
- 2 Everything
- 8 Other
- 20 Nothing
- 17 Don't know/Refused

ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=785]:

- Q.3F2 Based on what you've seen or heard so far, what, if anything, would you say you have DISliked most about George W. Bush? [IF MORE THAN ONE MENTION, RECORD ALL IN ORDER OF MENTION]
 - 16 Policy Positions and Proposals (NET)
 - 6 Tax proposals/Economy
 - 4 Stand on abortion/Will take away a woman's right to chose
 - 2 Education policy
 - 6 Other policy position/proposal
 - 11 Personal Traits (NET)
 - 2 Not articulate/Poor public speaker
 - 2 Attitude/Arrogant/Self-righteous/Cocky
 - 1 Deceitful/Don't trust him/Not trustful
 - 1 Stupid/Lack of knowledge
 - 1 His looks/Appearance/Dress
 - 1 Past sins/(cocaine use/DUI)
 - 4 Political Traits (NET)
 - 1 Republican/Not a Democrat
 - 1 Inexperienced
 - 3 Other political traits
 - 4 The way he got the job/Stole the election
 - Too early to tell/Hasn't been President long enough to judge
 - 2 Appointments
 - 2 Everything
 - 1 His not taking action against Clinton (furniture, pardons, pranks)
 - 1 Handling current issues (Iraq, Mexico, Submarine)
 - 1 Like him/The job he's doing
 - 1 Just don't like him
 - 4 Other
 - 39 Nothing
 - 15 Don't know/Refused

ASK ALL:
On another subject...
Q.4F1 All in all, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in this country today?

| | Satisfied | Dissatisfied | No Opinion | |
|------------------------------|-----------|--------------|------------|---------|
| February, 2001 | 46 | 43 | 11=100 | (N=728) |
| January, 2001 | 55 | 41 | 4=100 | |
| September, 2000 | 51 | 41 | 8=100 | |
| June, 2000 | 47 | 45 | 8=100 | |
| April, 2000 | 48 | 43 | 9=100 | |
| August, 1999 | 56 | 39 | 5=100 | |
| January, 1999 | 53 | 41 | 6=100 | |
| November, 1998 | 46 | 44 | 10=100 | |
| Early September, 1998 | 54 | 42 | 4=100 | |
| Late August, 1998 | 55 | 41 | 4=100 | |
| Early August, 1998 | 50 | 44 | 6=100 | |
| February, 1998 | 59 | 37 | 4=100 | |
| January, 1998 | 46 | 50 | 4=100 | |
| September, 1997 | 45 | 49 | 6=100 | |
| August, 1997 | 49 | 46 | 5=100 | |
| January, 1997 | 38 | 58 | 4=100 | |
| July, 1996 | 29 | 67 | 4=100 | |
| March, 1996 | 28 | 70 | 2=100 | |
| October, 1995 | 23 | 73 | 4=100 | |
| June, 1995 | 25 | 73 | 2=100 | |
| April, 1995 | 23 | 74 | 3=100 | |
| July, 1994 | 24 | 73 | 3=100 | |
| March, 1994 | 24 | 71 | 5=100 | |
| October, 1993 | 22 | 73 | 5=100 | |
| September, 1993 | 20 | 75 | 4=100 | |
| May, 1993 | 22 | 71 | 7=100 | |
| January, 1993 | 39 | 50 | 11=100 | |
| January, 1992 | 28 | 68 | 4=100 | |
| November, 1991 | 34 | 61 | 5=100 | |
| Late February, 1991 (Gallup) | 66 | 31 | 3=100 | |
| August, 1990 | 47 | 48 | 5=100 | |
| May, 1990 | 41 | 54 | 5=100 | |
| January, 1989 | 45 | 50 | 5=100 | |
| September, 1988 (RVs) | 50 | 45 | 5=100 | |
| May, 1988 | 41 | 54 | 5=100 | |
| January, 1988 | 39 | 55 | 6=100 | |

Q.5F1 What do you think is the most important problem facing the country today? [RECORD VERBATIM RESPONSE. PROBE FOR CLARITY – DO NOT PROBE FOR ADDITIONAL MENTIONS. IF MORE THAN ONE MENTION, RECORD ALL IN ORDER OF MENTION]

| | | | | | | | | | | June 1993 | | | | |
|----|------------------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|--------------|----|----|----|----|
| 12 | Morality/Ethics/Family values | 13 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 13 | 12 | 10 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| 11 | Education | 8 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 8 | Crime/Gangs/Justice system | 12 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 19 | 22 | 31 | 25 | 7 | 3 | 7 | 8 | 3 |
| 7 | Economy (general) | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 17 | 43 | 5 | 4 | 7 |
| 6 | Unemployment/Lack of jobs | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 19 | 22 | 7 | 9 | 13 |
| 6 | Drugs/Alcohol | 6 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 10 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 37 | 23 | 6 |
| | Health care/Cost/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | availability of health care | 6 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 3 | 1 | * |
| | Energy crisis/rising gas/heating | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | prices | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | Dissatisfaction with govt/politics | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | Other social issues | 4 | 4 | 3 | | 2 | 0 | * | 3 | * | * | * | * | * |
| 3 | Poverty | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 3 | Taxes | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 |
| | Inflation/Difference | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3 | between wages/costs | 1 | * | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | Other International issues | 2 | 4 | 3 | | * | 4 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 22 |
| | Youth/Teenage kids | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | not acting responsible | 2 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Racism | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Homelessness | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 10 | * |
| 2 | Immigration | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Recession/slowing of the economy | / | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Issues related to elderly | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | * | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Other defense issues | | | 1 | * | | | | | | | | | |
| | Deficit/National debt/Balanced | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | budget | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 11 | 19 | 12 |
| 1 | Social Security | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Welfare abuse | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| 1 | Scandal/Corruption in govt | 3 | 2 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Teen violence in school | 6 | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Too much foreign aid/ | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Spend money at home | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | Other economic issues | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Environment/Pollution | * | * | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | * | 1 | 8 | 2 | 0 |
| 1 | Too many guns/Gun control | 4 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Medicare | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Uneven distribution of wealth | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Other health care mentions | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 | Other domestic issues | 4 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 21 |

Q.5F2 CONTINUED ...

| | | _ | | • | | • | | | | | | May 1990 | | April 1987 | |
|----|------------------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|-----------|-------------|----|---------------|--|
| * | Terrorism | * | * | * | * | | | | | | | | | | |
| * | AIDS | | * | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| * | HMO Reform | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | Other | 1 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | * | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 1 | |
| 2 | None | * | 1 | * | 1 | * | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | Don't know/No answer | 11 | 11 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 3 | |
| 26 | ECONOMIC (NET) | 15 | 8 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 28 | 26 | 33 | 53 | 76 | 26 | 28 | 35 | |
| 10 | HEALTHCARE (NET) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | DEFENSE/INTER- | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | NATIONAL (NET) | 7 | 11 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 2 | * | * | * | * | * | * | |
| 5 | POVERTY/HOMELESS (NET) | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 8 | 6 | * | * | * | * | * | * | |

Q.6 Now I will read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past month. As I read each item, tell me if you happened to follow this news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely? [INSERT ITEM; ROTATE]

| _ | , | Very | Fairly | Not too | Not at all | |
|------|---|----------|----------|----------|------------|----------------|
| FORM | 1 [N=728]; FORM 2 [N=785]: | Closely | Closely | Closely | Closely | DK/Ref |
| a.F1 | George W. Bush's education plan | 21 | 35 | 23 | 19 | 2 = 100 |
| | | | | | | |
| b. | George W. Bush's tax-cut plan | 31 | 35 | 19 | 14 | 1=100 |
| | | | | | | |
| c.F2 | The controversy over the pardons | | | | | |
| | Bill Clinton granted as he left office | 28 | 32 | 20 | 19 | 1=100 |
| d | Deposits shout the condition of the LLC according | 20 | 39 | 18 | 12 | 1_100 |
| d. | Reports about the condition of the U.S. economy | 30 32 | 38 | 18 17 | 11 | 1=100 2=100 |
| | January, 2001 June, 1995 | 26 | 36 41 | 22 | 11 | *=100 |
| | March, 1995 | 20 27 | 45 | 19 | 9 | *=100 |
| | February, 1995 | 23 | 43 | 22 | 13 | 1=100 |
| | December, 1994 | 28 | 43 | 20 | 9 | *=100 |
| | October, 1994 | 27 | 40 | 20 | 12 | 1=100 |
| | June, 1994 | 25 | 42 | 23 | 10 | *=100 |
| | May, 1994 | 33 | 40 | 16 | 10 | 1=100 |
| | January, 1994 | 34 | 39 | 16 | 10 | 1=100 |
| | Early January, 1994 | 36 | 44 | 13 | 7 | *=100 |
| | December, 1993 | 35 | 41 | 15 | 8 | 1=100 |
| | October, 1993 | 33 | 38 | 20 | 9 | *=100 |
| | September, 1993 | 37 | 40 | 14 | 8 | 1=100 |
| | Early September, 1993 | 39 | 39 | 14 | 9 | *=100 |
| | August, 1993 | 41 | 36 | 14 | 9 | *=100 |
| | May, 1993 | 37 | 38 | 18 | 6 | 1=100 |
| | February, 1993 | 49 | 36 | 10 | 5 | *=100 |
| | January, 1993 | 42 | 39 | 12 | 7 | *=100 |
| | September, 1992 | 43 | 37 | 13 | 6 | *=100 |
| | May, 1992 | 39 | 39 | 15 | 6 | 1=100 |
| | March, 1992 | 47 | 38 | 11 | 4 | *=100 |
| | February, 1992 | 47 | 37 | 10 | 6 | *=100 |
| | January, 1992 | 44 | 40 | 11 | 5 | *=100 |
| | October, 1991 | 36 | 38 | 16 | 9 | 1=100 |

| Q.6 CONTINUI | ED | Very | Fairly | Not too | Not at all | |
|--------------|---|---------|---------|---------|------------|--------|
| FORM | 1 [N=728]; FORM 2 [N=785]: | Closely | Closely | Closely | Closely | DK/Ref |
| e.F1 | The earthquake in India | 15 | 33 | 31 | 20 | 1=100 |
| f.F1 | The California energy crisis | 25 | 36 | 20 | 18 | 1=100 |
| g.F2 | Ariel Sharon's election victory in Israel | 9 | 16 | 29 | 44 | 2=100 |
| h.F2 | The collision of a U.S. Navy submarine with a Japanese ship | 26 | 40 | 19 | 14 | 1=100 |

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=728]:

Q.7F1 In your opinion, are the news organizations you are familiar with being fair or unfair to [INSERT ITEM; ROTATE]?

| | | <u>Fair</u> | <u>Unfair</u> | DK/Ref |
|----|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| a. | The Bush administration | 65 | 19 | 16=100 |
| | Clinton: Feb 1993 | 72 | 17 | 11=100 |
| | Bush : Aug 1989 | 82 | 12 | 6=100 |
| | Reagan: June 1985 | 78 | 12 | 10=100 |
| | | | | |
| b. | Bill Clinton since leaving office | 56 | 30 | 14 = 100 |

ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=785]:

Q.8F2 So far, do you think news organizations are giving too much coverage, too little coverage, or the right amount of coverage to [INSERT ITEM; ROTATE]? How about...[NEXT ITEM]

| | | Too much coverage | Too Little coverage | Right amount of coverage | DK/Ref |
|----|--|-------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|--------|
| a. | Bill Clinton's activities as he was leaving office | 53 | 9 | 31 | 7=100 |
| b. | George W. Bush's policy proposals since he took office | 10 | 28 | 52 | 10=100 |
| c. | Signs of an economic slowdown | 21 | 25 | 46 | 8=100 |
| d. | Rising energy costs | 17 | 36 | 42 | 5=100 |

ASK ALL:

Q.9 As I read some pairs of opposite phrases, tell me which one best reflects your impression of George W. Bush so far. (First,) does George W. Bush impress you as... [INSERT ITEM; ROTATE]

| a. | 60 28 4 <u>8</u> 100 | Trustworthy or NOT trustworthy Neither particularly (VOL) Don't know/Refused | GW Bush Oct 1999 59 33 2 6 100 | Clinton Jan 1993 63 29 3 5 100 |
|----|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| b. | 67 21 6 <u>6</u> 100 | Warm and friendly or Cold and aloof Neither particularly (VOL) Don't know/Refused | | 90 7 1 2 100 |
| c. | 60 18 7 <u>15</u> 100 | Able to get things done or NOT able to get things done Neither particularly (VOL) Don't know/Refused | | |
| d. | 62 27 4 <u>7</u> 100 | Well informed or NOT well informed Neither particularly (VOL) Don't know/Refused | | 79 14 2 <u>5</u> 100 |
| e. | 66 22 3 <u>9</u> 100 | Well organized or NOT well organized Neither particularly (VOL) Don't know/Refused | | |
| f. | 7 39 44 2 <u>8</u> 100 | Liberal, Middle of the road or Conservative Neither particularly (VOL) Don't know/Refused | | |

Q.10 How have you been getting most of your news about national and international issues? From television, from newspapers, from radio, from magazines, or from the Internet? [ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS: IF ONLY ONE RESPONSE IS GIVEN, PROBE FOR ADDITIONAL RESPONSE]

IF RESPONDENT ANSWERED '1' TELEVISION AS EITHER FIRST OR SECOND RESPONSE IN Q.10 ASK:

Q.11 Do you get most of your news about national and international issues from network TV news, from local TV news, or from cable news networks such as CNN and MSNBC? [ACCEPT TWO ANSWERS BUT DO NOT PROBE]

| | | Oct | Jan | Jan | Sept | Jan | Sept | Jan |
|----|----------------------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|
| | | <u>1999</u> | <u> 1999</u> | <u> 1996</u> | 1995^{2} | <u> 1994</u> | <u>1993</u> | <u>1993</u> |
| 76 | Television | 80 | 82 | 88 | 82 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| | 20 Network TV news | 22 | | | | | | 39 |
| | 25 Local TV news | 31 | | | | | | 30 |
| | 35 Cable news ³ | 35 | | | | | | 38 |
| | 1 Other | 2 | | | | | | 2 |
| | 1 Don't know | * | | | | | | 1 |
| 40 | Newspapers | 48 | 42 | 61 | 63 | 51 | 60 | 52 |
| 16 | Radio | 19 | 18 | 25 | 20 | 15 | 17 | 17 |
| 4 | Magazines | 5 | 4 | 8 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 5 |
| 10 | Internet ⁴ | 11 | 6 | | | | | |
| 2 | Other (VOL) | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused | * | * | * | 1 | 1 | * | 1 |

On another subject...

Q.12 Do you approve or disapprove of the way George W. Bush is handling the economy?

| | | | CBS News/New |
|-----------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| | | Gallup | York Times |
| | | Clinton | Bush Sr. |
| | | Feb 1993 | <u> April 1989</u> |
| 50 | Approve | 45 | 45 |
| 22 | Disapprove | 35 | 35 |
| <u>28</u> | Don't know/Refused | <u>20</u> | <u>20</u> |
| 100 | | 100 | 100 |

In September 1995, question wording did not include "international."

Beginning in October 1999, "cable news networks such as CNN and MSNBC" was substituted for "CNN," which was used previously.

Beginning in February 2001, "Internet" has been substituted for "computer online sources," which was used previously.

ASK FORM 1 RESPONDENTS ONLY [N=728]:

Q.13 As you may know, the federal government now has a budget SURPLUS. In your opinion, which ONE of the following should be done with the available money? Should the money be used [READ AND ROTATE OPTIONS]... For a tax cut; to pay off the national debt more quickly; for increased spending on domestic programs such as health, education, and the environment; or to help make the Social Security and Medicare programs financially sound?

| | | | | Social | |
|--------------------------------|---------|-------------|-----------------|-----------|---------|
| | | National | Domestic | Security/ | (VOL) |
| | Tax Cut | <u>Debt</u> | Programs | Medicare | DK/Ref |
| February, 2001 | 19 | 17 | 23 | 37 | 4=100 |
| September, 2000 (RVs |) 14 | 21 | 25 | 38 | 2 = 100 |
| February, 2000 | 12 | 18 | 24 | 44 | 2 = 100 |
| Mid-January, 1999 ⁵ | 14 | 12 | 21 | 50 | 3=100 |
| Early August, 1998 | 10 | 19 | 29 | 39 | 3=100 |
| June, 1998 | 9 | 17 | 28 | 44 | 2 = 100 |
| January, 1998 | 11 | 22 | 33 | 32 | 2 = 100 |

ASK FORM 2 RESPONDENTS ONLY [N=785]:

Now thinking specifically about the debate over taxes,

- Q.14 Do you approve or disapprove of George W. Bush's tax proposal?
 - 43 Approve
 - 34 Disapprove
 - 23 Don't know/Refused

100

ASK ALL:

- Q.15 People have differing views on WHY we should cut taxes. In your view, what's the better reason for a national tax cut? To provide tax relief for people like yourself or to stimulate the economy to prevent a recession?
 - 32 To provide tax relief for people like yourself
 - To stimulate the economy to prevent a recession
 - 10 Both (**VOL**)
 - 5 Neither/No good reason for tax cuts (**VOL**)
 - <u>4</u> Don't know/Refused

100

In Mid-January 1999, Early August, June and January 1998, the question was worded: "If it turns out that the federal government has a budget SURPLUS this year, in your opinion, which ONE of the following should be done with the available money? Should the money be used ..."

Q.16 If George W. Bush's tax bill becomes law, do you think the tax cuts will generally be fair to everyone, OR will the tax cuts mainly benefit some people much more than others?

| | | Sept 1999 ⁶ |
|-----|---|------------------------|
| 26 | Will be fair | 12 |
| 65 | Benefit some people much more than others | 82 |
| 1 | Other (VOL) | 2 |
| 8 | Don't know/Refused | 4 |
| 100 | | 100 |

IF '2' "BENEFIT SOME PEOPLE MORE THAN OTHERS" IN Q.16, ASK [N=1,001]:

Q.17 Who will mainly benefit from the tax cuts: the wealthy, the middle class, or the poor?

| | | <u>Sept 1999</u> |
|----------|--------------------|------------------|
| 79 | Wealthy | 80 |
| 12 | Middle class | 9 |
| 4 | Poor | 6 |
| 1 | Other (VOL) | 1 |
| <u>4</u> | Don't know/Refused | <u>4</u> |
| 100 | | 100 |

[NO Q.18]

On another subject...

ASK FORM 1 RESPONDENTS ONLY [N=728]:

Q.20F1 Would you say that you strongly favor, favor, oppose, or strongly oppose allowing religious organizations to apply, along with other organizations, for government funding to provide social services such as job training or drug treatment counseling to people who need them?

| GP | RVs | | Sept 2000 ⁷ |
|-----|----------|--------------------|------------------------|
| 28 | 28 | Strongly favor | 32 |
| 36 | 35 | Favor | 35 |
| 19 | 19 | Oppose | 17 |
| 11 | 13 | Strongly oppose | 12 |
| 6 | <u>5</u> | Don't Know/Refused | 4 |
| 100 | 100 | | 100 |
| (| (N=585) | | |

In September 1999 the question was asked "As you may know, there has been discussion in Washington recently about a major tax cut that would take place over the next 10 years. If this bill becomes law, do you think the tax cuts will generally be fair to everyone, OR will the tax cuts mainly benefit some people much more than others?"

⁷ In September 2000 this question was part of a series, and the figures are based on registered voters.

ASK FORM 2 RESPONDENTS ONLY [N=785]:

Q.21F2 Did you happen to hear about the creation of an office in the White House to enlarge the role that religious organizations play in providing social services, or haven't you heard about this?

40 Yes59 No

1 Don't know/Refused

100

ASK FORM 2 RESPONDENTS ONLY [N=785]:

Q.22F2 Do you think it's a good idea or a bad idea to have an office such as this in the White House?

46 Good idea

38 Bad idea

16 Don't know/Refused

100

ASK ALL:

On a different subject...

Q.23 How much, if anything, have you heard about the recent debate over whether the U.S. should develop a national missile defense system to protect against missile attacks — a lot, a little or nothing at all?

| | | <u>May 2000</u> |
|-----|--------------------|------------------|
| 20 | A lot | 10 |
| 47 | A little | 43 |
| 31 | Nothing at all | 47 |
| 2 | Don't know/Refused | * |
| 100 | | $1\overline{00}$ |

ASK FORM 1 ONLY [N=728]:

Q.24F1 Do you favor or oppose the development of a national missile defense system?

54 Favor

32 Oppose

14 Don't know/Refused

100

ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=785]:

Q.25F2 Some people feel the U.S. should try to develop a ground- and space-based missile defense system to protect the U.S. from missile attack. Others oppose such an effort because they say it would be too costly and might interfere with existing arms treaties with the Russians. Which position comes closer to your view?

| | | | | | Ga | !lup |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------|------|
| | | Early Oct | May | May | Dec | Aug |
| | | 2000^{8} | 2000 | 1987 ⁹ | <u> 1986</u> | 1985 |
| | Should develop a ground- and space- | | | | | |
| 49 | based missile defense system | 47 | 52 | 44 | 52 | 45 |
| 40 | Oppose developing such a system | 35 | 37 | 42 | 40 | 47 |
| <u>11</u> | Don't know/Refused | <u>18</u> | <u>11</u> | <u>14</u> | 8 | 8 |
| 100 | | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Finally, I'd like to ask you a few questions for statistical purposes only...

Q.42 Do you use a computer at your workplace, at school, at home or anywhere else on at least an occasional basis?

| | Yes | <u>No</u> | Don't Know |
|---------------------------|-----|-----------|------------|
| February, 2001 | 72 | 28 | 0=100 |
| January, 2001 | 71 | 29 | *=100 |
| July, 2000 | 68 | 31 | 1=100 |
| June, 2000 | 68 | 31 | 1=100 |
| April, 2000 | 68 | 32 | *=100 |
| March, 2000 ¹⁰ | 72 | 28 | 0=100 |
| February, 2000 | 67 | 33 | *=100 |
| January, 2000 | 68 | 32 | *=100 |
| December, 1999 | 67 | 33 | *=100 |
| October, 1999 | 67 | 33 | *=100 |
| Late September, 1999 | 68 | 32 | *=100 |
| September, 1999 | 70 | 30 | *=100 |
| August, 1999 | 67 | 33 | *=100 |
| July, 1999 | 68 | 32 | *=100 |
| June, 1999 | 64 | 35 | 1=100 |
| May, 1999 | 66 | 33 | 1=100 |
| April, 1999 | 71 | 29 | *=100 |
| March, 1999 | 68 | 32 | *=100 |
| February, 1999 | 68 | 32 | *=100 |
| January, 1999 | 69 | 31 | *=100 |
| Early December, 1998 | 64 | 36 | *=100 |
| Early September, 1998 | 64 | 36 | *=100 |
| Late August, 1998 | 66 | 34 | 0 = 100 |
| Early August, 1998 | 66 | 34 | *=100 |
| April, 1998 | 61 | 39 | *=100 |
| January, 1998 | 65 | 35 | *=100 |
| November, 1997 | 66 | 34 | *=100 |
| June, 1997 | 60 | 40 | 0=100 |

⁸ Early October 2000 figures are based on registered voters.

In May 1987, December 1986, and August 1985, question was worded: "Some people feel the U.S. should try to develop a space-based "Star Wars" system to protect the U.S. from nuclear attack. Others oppose such an effort because they say it would be too costly and further escalate the arms race. Which position comes closer to your view?"

¹⁰ In March 2000, "or anywhere else" was added to the question wording.

Q.42 CONTINUED ...

| | Yes | <u>No</u> | Don't Know |
|-----------------------|-----|-----------|------------|
| Early September, 1996 | 56 | 44 | *=100 |
| July, 1996 | 56 | 44 | *=100 |
| April, 1996 | 58 | 42 | *=100 |
| March, 1996 | 61 | 39 | *=100 |
| February, 1996 | 60 | 40 | 0=100 |
| January, 1996 | 59 | 41 | 0 = 100 |

IF "1, YES" (USE A COMPUTER) IN Q.42, ASK:

Q.43 Do you ever go online to access the Internet or World Wide Web or to send and receive email?

BASED ON TOTAL RESPONDENTS:

| | Goes Online | Doesn't Go Online | DK/Ref |
|--------------------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|
| February, 2001 | 60 | 40 | *=100 |
| January, 2001 | 61 | 39 | 0 = 100 |
| July, 2000 | 55 | 45 | *=100 |
| June, 2000 | 56 | 44 | *=100 |
| April, 2000 | 54 | 46 | *=100 |
| March, 2000 | 61 | 39 | 0 = 100 |
| February, 2000 | 52 | 48 | 0 = 100 |
| January, 2000 | 52 | 48 | *=100 |
| December, 1999 | 53 | 47 | 0 = 100 |
| October, 1999 | 50 | 50 | 0 = 100 |
| Late September, 1999 | 52 | 48 | *=100 |
| September, 1999 | 53 | 47 | 0 = 100 |
| August, 1999 | 52 | 48 | 0 = 100 |
| July, 1999 | 49 | 51 | 0 = 100 |
| June, 1999 | 50 | 50 | *=100 |
| May, 1999 | 48 | 52 | 0 = 100 |
| April, 1999 | 51 | 49 | *=100 |
| March, 1999 | 49 | 51 | *=100 |
| February, 1999 | 49 | 51 | *=100 |
| January, 1999 | 47 | 53 | *=100 |
| Early December, 1998 | 42 | 58 | 0 = 100 |
| November, 1998 | 37 | 63 | *=100 |
| Early September, 1998 | 42 | 58 | *=100 |
| Late August, 1998 | 43 | 57 | *=100 |
| Early August, 1998 | 41 | 59 | *=100 |
| April, 1998 | 36 | 64 | 0 = 100 |
| January, 1998 | 37 | 63 | 0 = 100 |
| November, 1997 | 36 | 63 | 1=100 |
| July, 1996 | 23 | 77 | 0 = 100 |
| April, 1996 | 21 | 79 | *=100 |
| March, 1996 | 22 | 78 | 0 = 100 |
| February, 1996 | 21 | 79 | *=100 |
| January, 1996 | 21 | 79 | 0 = 100 |
| June, 1995 ¹¹ | 14 | 86 | *=100 |

¹¹ The 1995 figure combines responses from two separate questions:

⁽¹⁾ Do you or anyone in your household ever use a modem to connect to any computer bulletin boards, information services such as CompuServe or Prodigy, or other computers at other locations? (IF YES, PROBE: Is that you, someone else or both?)

⁽²⁾ Do you, yourself, ever use a computer at (work) (school) (work or school) to connect with computer bulletin boards, information services such as America Online or Prodigy, or other computers over the Internet?