

FOR RELEASE: SUNDAY, JANUARY 5, 2003, 4:00 P.M.

Political Sites Gain, But Major News Sites Still Dominant MODEST INCREASE IN INTERNET USE FOR CAMPAIGN 2002

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Andrew Kohut, Director
Pew Research Center For The People \& The Press
$115018^{\text {th }}$ Street, NW, Suite 975
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel (202) 293-3126
Fax (202) 293-2569
www.people-press.org

Lee Rainie, Director
Pew Internet and American Life Project 1100 Connecticut Ave. NW, Suite 710
Washington, D.C. 20036
Tel (202) 296-0019
Fax (202) 296-6797
www.pewinternet.org

## Political Sites Gain, But Major News Sites Still Dominant MODEST INCREASE IN INTERNET USE FOR CAMPAIGN 2002

More Americans used the Internet to get campaign information in 2002 than during the last midterm election four years ago. While much of this increase has come from the overall growth in the online population, a higher proportion of Internet users sought election news than did so four years ago ( $22 \%$ now, $15 \%$ in 1998). The Internet was a less important source for such news in 2002 than in 2000, but midterm elections typically engender less public interest than presidential contests.

Information on candidate positions on the issues was the top draw for online election news consumers. Nearly eight-inten $(79 \%)$ said they researched where candidates stood on issues, up significantly from $69 \%$ in 2000. And more than four-in-ten election news consumers ( $45 \%$ ) researched candidate voting records; that also represents an increase from 2000 and 1998. This is consistent with an overall increase in the number of Internet users who said they looked for information on specific issues like the environment and gun control.

| Growing Audience for Politics Online |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ever go online for election news? | $\underline{1996} \underline{1998} \underline{2000} \underline{2002}$ |  |  |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| General public | 4 | 6 | 18 | 13 |
| Internet users | 22 | 15 | 33 | 22 |
| What do you do when going online for election news?* |  |  |  |  |
| Research candidate position on issues | - | - | 69 | 79 |
| Research candidate voting records | - | 30 | 33 | 45 |
| Take online polls | 34 | 26 | 35 | 39 |
| Join discussion and 'chat' groups | 31 | 13 | 8 | 10 |
| Contribute money to candidate | - | - | 5 | 5 |
| Do you visit website for information on specific issues?** |  |  |  |  |
| Yes | 23 | 28 | - | 39 |
| No |  | 72 | - | 61 |
| Don't know |  |  | - |  |
| * Based on those who go online for election news. <br> ** Based on Internet users. |  |  |  |  |

The sites of major national and local news organizations continued to be the leading sources of online campaign information. Roughly half of online election news consumers ( $52 \%$ ) said they went most often to the sites of major national media organizations such as CNN and the New York Times, while $18 \%$ cite websites of local news organizations. But specialized political and issue-oriented sites are becoming more popular. Three-in-ten online campaign news consumers said they went to such sites most often, compared with $19 \%$ in 2000.

The nationwide survey among 2,745 adults by the Pew Research Center and the Pew Internet and American Life Project found that the Internet was a principal source of campaign news for only about one-in-ten online users (11\%) and just 7\% of the general public. Among those who said they went online for election news, the Internet rivaled newspapers as a main source for campaign information ( $31 \%$ cited the former as a main source of election news, $33 \%$ the latter). But even among this group, television remained by far the leading source of election information, with half listing it as their main resource.

While the Internet has not made significant gains in recent years as a primary outlet of election

| TV Still the Primary Source for Election News |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | All | Go online |
|  | All <br> adults | Internet users | for election news |
|  | \% | \% | \% |
| Television | 66 | 62 | 50 |
| Network | 7 | 7 | 9 |
| Local | 35 | 32 | 15 |
| Cable | 21 | 20 | 24 |
| Newspapers | 33 | 33 | 33 |
| Radio | 13 | 14 | 12 |
| Magazines | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Internet | 7 | 11 | 31 |
| Number of cases | 2,745 | 1,707 | 388 |
| *Numbers add to more than $100 \%$ because respondents could list up to two primary sources. |  |  |  | news, it does serve as an important source for young people who go online. Among those under age 30 who go online, $16 \%$ said they got most of their election news from the Internet, far more than any other age group. For these young Internet users, the Web ranked with radio ( $15 \%$ ) and slightly behind newspapers ( $22 \%$ ) as a source of campaign information.

While the online audience for campaign news has grown over the past four years, those who went on the Internet for election news did so less frequently than in 1998 or 2000. Among the online audience for election news, $59 \%$ in 2002 said they went online at least weekly, compared with $65 \%$ in 1998 and $75 \%$ in the presidential election year of 2000.

## Election News Audience: Largely Internet Veterans

The profile of online election news consumers continued to be dominated by upscale, veteran Internet users. Men more than women, whites more than minorities, highly educated more than less educated and the wealthy more than the poor went online for election news. But use by women and minorities is growing. In 1998, $61 \%$ of online election news users were men and $39 \%$ women. In 2002, that gap narrowed to $57 \%$ men and $43 \%$ women. In 1998, nine-in-ten election news consumers on the Internet were white, but that number slipped slightly to $84 \%$. Minority representation among election news consumers rose from $9 \%$ in 1998 to $13 \%$ in 2002.

Although college graduates continued to constitute half of the online election news population, there was modest growth among the less educated, with non-college citizens
representing $23 \%$ in 2002, up from $18 \%$ in 1998. A plurality of election news consumers ( $37 \%$ ) had family incomes of $\$ 75,000$ or above, a figure that increased 14 percentage points since 1998.

The age profile of online election news consumers has not changed substantially since the 1998 election. Just over a quarter ( $27 \%$ ) were under age 30 (compared with $24 \%$ in 1998). People age 65 and older still constitute a tiny fraction of the online election news audience $-5 \%$ in 2002, 4\% in 1998.

| Web Newcomers Get Less Campaign News |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| --Years of Internet Experience-- |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All Web | Less than | 2-3 | 4-5 | 6+ |
|  | Users | 2 Years | Years | Years | Years |
| Get election | \% | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| news online ... | . 22 | 7 | 12 | 21 | 30 |
| At least 1/wk | k 13 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 19 |
| Less often | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 11 |

In general, Internet newcomers were less likely to go online for election news than those who have been online for several years. Just 7\% of those who have been online for less than two years sought campaign news during 2002. By contrast, more than four times as many of those who have been online for at least six years ( $30 \%$ ) went online for election news.

## Convenience Remains Top Draw

Those who went online for campaign information were motivated both by the convenience of the Web and by the greater range and depth of information it provides. Nearly six-in-ten (57\%) of those who got election news online said that convenience was an important reason for doing so. This is about the same percentage who cited convenience in 2000.

A sizable minority of online election news consumers ( $43 \%$ ) said they went online because they could not get all the news and information they wanted from traditional news sources, up from $29 \%$ in the 2000 election (though lower than the $53 \%$ who said this back in 1996). Republicans were more likely than Democrats to cite this as a reason for seeking news on the Internet ( $50 \%$ vs. $36 \%$ ).

| Convenience and a Desire for More News |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underline{1996} 200022002$ |  |  |
| Why go online for election news?* |  |  |  |
| Information is more convenient | 45 | 56 | 57 |
| Other media don't provide enough news | 53 | 29 | 43 |
| Get information not available elsewhere | 26 | 12 | 10 |
| Internet news sources |  |  |  |
| reflect personal interests | 24 | 6 | 8 |
| Other reason | 5 | 11 | 3 |
| Don't know | 4 | 1 | 2 |
| * Based on those who go online for election news. |  |  |  |

The range of ideological perspectives on the Web is large, but very few online election news consumers said this is a major reason for them to turn to the internet. Fewer than one-in-ten (8\%) said they went online for campaign news because the Web offers new sources that reflect their specific interests or values. Liberals and conservatives were no more likely than moderates to
mention this as a reason to go online for election news.

## State Races Draw Most Online Interest

As was the case in the midterm elections four years ago, statewide elections attracted the greatest interest from online news consumers. More than threequarters (78\%) said they got news about gubernatorial races online, and two-thirds (68\%) went online for information about Senate races.

Nearly two-thirds (64\%) went online to learn about House races, and $56 \%$ sought information on local

| Statewide Races Biggest Draw |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | DK |
| Did you go online for... | \% | \% |  |
| Gubernatorial races | 78 | 21 | $1=100$ |
| Senate races | 68 | 31 | $1=100$ |
| House races | 64 | 35 | $1=100$ |
| Local races | 56 | 44 | * $=100$ |
| Ballot measures | 44 | 54 | $2=100$ |
| * Based on those who go online for election news. |  |  |  | races. Roughly four-in-ten election news consumers (44\%) said they got information about ballot propositions. Among the online audience for election news, men and women displayed similar interest in all types of races except for local contests: women were 10 percentage points more likely than men to follow local races online.

This election year saw a sizable increase in the proportion of online election news consumers who sought information about candidates' voting records and positions on issues. Roughly eight-in-ten (79\%) looked for information about the candidates' issue stances, and nearly half ( $45 \%$ ) sought out information about their voting records. Both represented significant

## Information Trumps Activism

 increases over 2000 ( $69 \%$ for issue positions and $33 \%$ for voting records).

Online polls were somewhat more popular in 2002 than in recent elections. Roughly four-inten election news consumers ( $39 \%$ ) said they participated in such polls, compared with $35 \%$ in 2000 and $26 \%$ in 1998. Although just $10 \%$ of online news consumers said they participated in online discussions about politics, the rate among young people was almost twice as high (19\%).

The growing number of voters seeking information about the candidates was mirrored in a sharp increase in Internet users who reported visiting websites that provide information about
specific issues or policies such as the environment, gun control, abortion or health care reform. Nearly a quarter of all Americans (24\%) and $39 \%$ of Internet users said they visited such sites.

Young internet users were more likely to seek out websites with specific policy information ( $45 \%$ among those $18-29$ ), compared with those 50 and older ( $34 \%$ ). Men and women did this in equal numbers. Better educated Internet users did this more commonly than the less educated, but even among Internet users with less education (those with a high school education or less), about a third visited a web site for this kind of information. Newcomers to the Web visited information sites at about half the rate of long-time Internet veterans.

## Major News Sites Popular

Most election news consumers relied on well-known news organizations for information on the campaign. In 2002, nearly two-thirds ( $64 \%$ ) of online election news consumers visited the sites of major media organizations like CNN and the New York Times, or local news organizations. This was a significant increase over 2000, when $55 \%$ went to these kinds of sites.

A little over half of online election news consumers (52\%) went to the national news sites, while nearly $18 \%$ went to local news sites, up from $12 \%$ in 2000 . These sites were even more popular during the 1998 campaign (29\%).

About one-in-five (19\%) election news consumers said they went most often to the news sites of AOL and other online services. That is a significant decline from recent years: in 2000 and 1998, more than a quarter of election news consumers relied

| Online Election News Sources |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Where did you go most often ... | $\frac{2000}{\%}$ | $\frac{2002}{\%}$ |
| National and local news organizations | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ |
| National orgs., like CNN or NYT | 47 | 52 |
| Local news organizations | 12 | 18 |
| News sites at commerial online services | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 9}$ |
| Online publications/Political sites | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 2}$ |
| State/local government websites | 6 | 12 |
| Candidate websites | 7 | 11 |
| Sites that specialize in politics | 7 | 10 |
| Issue-oriented websites | 4 | 9 |
| *Based on those who go online for election news. Numbers add to |  |  |
| more than 100\% because respondents could list multiple sources. |  |  | mostly on these sites for campaign information (27\%, 26\%).

At the same time, more specialized political sites gained ground. Nearly a third of online election news consumers ( $32 \%$ ) said they went most often to government and candidate websites or sites that specialize in politics, up from $19 \%$ in 2000 . Within this category, state and local government websites are drawing more election news consumers: twice as many went to these sites as did so in $2000(12 \%$ vs. $6 \%)$. But visits to candidate websites were up as well $(11 \%$ vs. $7 \%$ in
2000), as were visits to issue-oriented sites ( $9 \%$ vs. $4 \%$ ).

## Active Consumers of Election News: A Closer Look

The most active consumers of campaign news - those who sought information on candidate positions and on how candidates voted - followed more races and went to more websites than did more casual consumers of such information. Four-in-ten election news consumers researched candidate positions and voting records in 2002, up from $30 \%$ in 2000 , and their habits and interests are very different from others who got campaign news online.

Nearly three-in-ten (29\%) of these "deep diggers" said they looked for information on all election races (governor, Senate, House, local races, and ballot propositions), and $79 \%$ followed at least three kinds of these races. Almost half (46\%) cited the Internet as one of their most important sources of campaign information, compared with $31 \%$ of all election news consumers.

Voters who used the web to seek information about candidate voting records and positions on issues were especially likely to visit political websites. More than four-in-ten (44\%) relied on these sites during the campaign, compared with $25 \%$ of those who engaged in one of those activities and $22 \%$ who did no research on issue positions or voting records. But these "deep diggers" were less likely than other election news consumers to rely on the news sites of commercial online services

| Candidate Information Motivates |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Type of candidate information sought* Voting or |  |  |
|  | None | Issues | Both |
| Where did you go most often ... |  |  |  |
| National and local news organizations | 55 | 66 | 65 |
| National orgs., like CNN or NYT | 44 | 54 | 54 |
| Local news organizations | 16 | 18 | 20 |
| News sites at commercial online services | 24 | 22 | 13 |
| Online publications/Political sites | 22 | 25 | 44 |
| State/local government websites | 12 | 9 | 15 |
| Candidate websites | 4 | 8 | 16 |
| Sites that specialize in politics | 7 | 7 | 15 |
| Issue-oriented websites | 3 | 7 | 14 |
| * Got information about candidates' position on issues, voting record, or both. Based on those who go online for election news. |  |  |  | such as AOL (only $13 \%$ said they visited these sites).

Those who sought out candidate issue and voting information said they used the Web because they do not get all the information they want from traditional sources: $48 \%$ cited this reason. Convenience was important to these heavy users, too, but no more so than for other consumers of online election information.

Not surprisingly, active election information seekers reported very high levels of general
interest in government and public affairs, apart from elections: $86 \%$ said they follow news on those subjects "most of the time," compared with just half of the general public. In addition to getting campaign information, $68 \%$ also reported visiting websites about issues and policies of concern to them. Those who sought issue or voting information about candidates also made use of this information: twice as many who did this said the Internet helped them decide how to vote, compared with voters who were less active consumers of this kind of data ( $38 \%$ to $19 \%$ ).

## Few Party Differences, Except Online Polls

More Republicans than Democrats go online for election news (by a margin of $18 \%$ to $11 \%$ ), but there are few notable differences between political partisans in what they do online. Democrats and Republicans generally look for the same kinds of information, donate money and engage in online discussions at the same rates.

But nearly half of Republicans who go online for election news (46\%) said they like to register their opinions in online polls. By comparison, fewer than three-in-ten Democrats (28\%) do this. With

| Republicans Frequent Online Polls |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Dem | Ind | Other/DK |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| All adults | 30 | 32 | 25 | 13=100 |
| Take online polls* | 51 |  | 25 | $4=100$ |
| * Based on those who go online for election news |  |  |  |  | Republicans more likely to go online for election news and register their opinions in polls, the profile of those who take online surveys tilts heavily Republican. Half of those who said they like to take online polls were Republicans, while just one-in-five were Democrats.

## But TV Still Dominates

The Internet remains a secondary source of campaign news for most of the public. Only 7\% of Americans said they got most of their news from online sources, slightly below the $11 \%$ who said this after the presidential election in 2000. Instead, most voters still look to television as a principal source of campaign news: two-thirds did so in the 2002 elections, down only slightly from 2000, when $70 \%$ cited television. But the mix of specific television sources was different from 2000 because of the local and regional nature of the midterm elections. Over one-third (35\%) cited local television as a primary source, up from $21 \%$ in 2000 . By contrast, only $7 \%$ mentioned network news, down from $22 \%$ in 2000. Cable was also a less common source at $21 \%$, down from $36 \%$ in the presidential election year.

Just a third of Americans said they got most of their news on the elections from newspapers. This was a six-point decline from 2000 ( $39 \%$ ) and only about half the number who said they relied primarily on newspapers in 1996 (60\%).

| Sources of Election News,1996-2002 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Early <br> Nov | Early Nov |  |
|  | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2002}$ |
|  | \% | \% | \% |
| Television | 72 | 70 | 66 |
| Network | 36 | 22 | 7 |
| Local | 23 | 21 | 35 |
| Cable | 21 | 36 | 21 |
| Newspapers | 60 | 39 | 33 |
| Radio | 19 | 15 | 13 |
| Magazines | 11 | 4 | 1 |
| Internet | 3 | 11 | 7 |
| *Numbers add to more than $100 \%$ because respondents could list up to two primary sources. |  |  |  |

## Methodology

This report is based on the findings of a daily tracking survey on Americans' use of the Internet. The results in this report are based on data from telephone interviews conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates between October 30 and November 24, 2002, among a sample of 2,745 adults, 18 and older. For results based on the total sample, one can say with $95 \%$ confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus 2 percentage points. For results based Internet users ( $n=1,707$ ), the margin of sampling error is plus or minus 2.5 percentage points. For results based on those who go online for election news ( $\mathrm{n}=388$ ), the margin of sampling error is plus or minus 5 percentage points. In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting telephone surveys may introduce some error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

The sample for this survey is a random digit sample of telephone numbers selected from telephone exchanges in the continental United States. The random digit aspect of the sample is used to avoid "listing" bias and provides representation of both listed and unlisted numbers (including not-yet-listed numbers). The design of the sample achieves this representation by random generation of the last two digits of telephone numbers selected on the basis of their area code, telephone exchange, and bank number.

New sample was released daily and was kept in the field for at least five days. This ensures that complete call procedures were followed for the entire sample. Additionally, the sample was released in replicates to make sure that the telephone numbers called are distributed appropriately across regions of the country. At least 10 attempts were made to complete an interview at every household in the sample. The calls were staggered over times of day and days of the week to maximize the chances of making contact with a potential respondent. Interview refusals were recontacted at least once in order to try again to complete an interview. All interviews completed on any given day were considered to be the final sample for that day.

Non-response in telephone interviews produces some known biases in survey-derived estimates because participation tends to vary for different subgroups of the population, and these subgroups are likely to vary also on questions of substantive interest. In order to compensate for these known biases, the sample data are weighted in analysis. The demographic weighting parameters are derived from a special analysis of the most recently available Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (March 2001). This analysis produces population parameters for the demographic characteristics of adults age 18 or older, living in households that contain a telephone. These parameters are then compared with the sample characteristics to construct sample weights. The weights are derived using an iterative technique that simultaneously balances the distribution of all weighting parameters.

Following is the full disposition of all sampled telephone numbers:

Table 1: Sample Disposition

| Total Numbers dialed | 14941 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Business | 1060 |  |
| Computer/Fax | 820 |  |
| Other Not-Working | 2224 |  |
| Additional projected NW | 1489 |  |
| Working numbers | 9347 | 62.6\% |
| No Answer | 425 |  |
| Busy | 71 |  |
| Answering Machine | 1794 |  |
| Callbacks | 211 |  |
| Other Non-Contacts | 157 |  |
| Contacted numbers | 6689 | 71.6\% |
| Initial Refusals | 2088 |  |
| Second Refusals | 1216 |  |
| Cooperating numbers | 3385 | 50.6\% |
| No Adult in HH | 80 |  |
| Other Ineligible | 0 |  |
| Language Barrier | 344 |  |
| Eligible numbers | 2961 | 87.5\% |
| Interrupted | 216 |  |
| Completes | 2745 | 92.7\% |
| Response Rate |  | 33.6\% |

PSRA calculates a response rate as the product of three individual rates: the contact rate, the cooperation rate, and the completion rate. Of the residential numbers in the sample, 71.6 percent were contacted by an interviewer and 50.6 percent agreed to participate in the survey. Eighty-eight percent were found eligible for the interview. Furthermore, 92.7 percent of eligible respondents completed the interview. Therefore, the final response rate is 33.6 percent.

# ONLINE FOR ELECTION NEWS BY DEMOGRAPHICS 

(Based on General Public)

|  | 1996† | $\underline{1998}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% |  |  |
| Total | 4 | 6 | 18 | 13 | (2745) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 5 | 9 | 21 | 16 | (1347) |
| Female | 2 | 3 | 15 | 11 | (1398) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 5 | 8 | 25 | 16 | (537) |
| 30-49 | 5 | 8 | 22 | 16 | (1025) |
| 50-64 | 2 | 4 | 15 | 11 | (608) |
| 65+ | * | 2 | 3 | 4 | (497) |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Men under 50 | 7 | 10 | 25 | 19 | (806) |
| Women under 50 | 4 | 4 | 20 | 14 | (756) |
| Men 50+ | 2 | 4 | 13 | 10 | (513) |
| Women 50+ | 2 | 2 | 7 | 7 | (592) |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 4 | 7 | 19 | 14 | (2272) |
| Black | 3 | 2 | 12 | 8 | (252) |
| Hispanic†† | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 6 | 16 | 9 | (199) |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad. | 9 | 12 | 33 | 27 | (818) |
| Some College | 4 | 9 | 24 | 15 | (671) |
| High School Grad. | 2 | 2 | 10 | 7 | (949) |
| <H.S. Grad. | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | (275) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 4 | 7 | 18 | 13 | (443) |
| Midwest | 4 | 6 | 16 | 13 | (718) |
| South | 4 | 4 | 16 | 13 | (921) |
| West | 5 | 9 | 23 | 14 | (663) |

$\dagger 1996$ is based on a combination of national samples from April, July, and September 1996 from which election news consumers were extracted.
$\dagger \dagger$ The designation Hispanic is unrelated to the white-black categorization.
Reading this Table: This table shows the percentage of each demographic group that goes online for election news. For example, the first column shows that $4 \%$ of the general public went online for election news in $1996,5 \%$ of men went online for election news, while $2 \%$ of women went online for election news.

Question: Have you gone/Did you ever go online to get news or information about the 2002 mid-term elections?

Continued ...

|  | 1996 | $\underline{1998}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Total | 4 | 6 | 18 | 13 | (2745) |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |  |
| \$75,000+ | 7 | 12 | 34 | 29 | (508) |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 6 | 13 | 27 | 18 | (395) |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 10 | (586) |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 8 | (284) |
| <\$20,000 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 6 | (396) |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 4 | 10 | 23 | 19 | (876) |
| Democrat | 4 | 4 | 16 | 11 | (833) |
| Independent | 4 | 3 | 18 | 12 | (705) |
| Party and Ideology |  |  |  |  |  |
| Conservative Rep. | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 26 | 20 | (558) |
| Moderate/Lib. Rep | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 20 | 17 | (288) |
| Conserv./Mod. Dem. | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 14 | 10 | (517) |
| Liberal Democrat | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 25 | 17 | (261) |
| Marital Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 4 | 6 | 19 | 15 | (1533) |
| Not Married | 4 | 7 | 16 | 11 | (1190) |
| Parental Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent | n/a | 6 | 21 | 15 | (964) |
| Non-Parent | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 6 | 16 | 12 | (1774) |
| Employment Status |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full-time | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 23 | 17 | (1400) |
| Part-time | n/a | n/a | 20 | 15 | (368) |
| Retired | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | n/a | 5 | 5 | (570) |
| Not-employed | n/a | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 15 | 9 | (330) |
| Student (working and non-wo | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a} \\ \text { orking }) \end{gathered}$ | n/a | 34 | 23 | (383) |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE AND THE PRESS <br> THE PEW INTERNET \& AMERICAN LIFE PROJECT <br> THE GEORGE WASHINGTON UNIVERSITY INSTITUTE <br> FOR POLITICS, DEMOCRACY, AND THE INTERNET <br> NOVEMBER 2002 CAMPAIGN AND INTERNET <br> FINAL TOPLINE <br> October 30- November 24, 2002 <br> $\mathrm{N}=\mathbf{2 , 7 4 5}$

Q2 Now I have a few questions about whether you spent any time reading or watching the news yesterday. Just thinking about yesterday, did you get a chance to read a daily newspaper, or not?

|  | Yes | No | Don't Know Refused |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 39 | 61 | * | ( $\mathrm{N}=2745$ ) |
| April $2002{ }^{1}$ | 41 | 59 | * |  |
| April 2000 | 46 | 54 | * |  |
| April 1998 | 47 | 52 | 1 |  |
| April 1996 | 50 | 50 | * |  |
| June 1995 | 52 | 48 | * |  |
| Internet User | 39 | 61 | * | $(\mathrm{N}=1707)$ |
| April 2002 | 43 | 57 | * |  |
| April 2000 | 46 | 54 | * |  |
| April 1998 | 48 | 51 | 1 |  |
| April 1996 | 48 | 51 | 1 |  |
| June 1995 | 63 | 37 | * |  |
| Election News Consumer* | 56 | 44 | * | ( $\mathrm{N}=388$ ) |

[^0][^1]Q3 Did you watch the news or a news program on television yesterday, or not?

|  | Yes, Watched TV News Yesterday | No, Did Not Watch TV News Yesterday | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Don't Know } \\ & \text { /Refused } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 61 | 39 | * | $(\mathrm{N}=2745)$ |
| April 2002 ${ }^{2}$ | 55 | 45 | * |  |
| April 2000 | 55 | 44 | 1 |  |
| April 1998 | 60 | 39 | 1 |  |
| April 1996 | 59 | 40 | 1 |  |
| June 1995 | 64 | 35 | 1 |  |
| Internet User | 61 | 39 | * | $(\mathrm{N}=1707$ ) |
| April 2002 | 54 | 46 | * |  |
| April 2000 | 53 | 47 | * |  |
| April 1998 | 58 | 41 | 1 |  |
| April 1996 | 54 | 46 | * |  |
| June 1995 | 63 | 37 | * |  |
| Election News Consumer | 70 | 30 | * | $(\mathrm{N}=388)$ |

POL01 Some people seem to follow what's going on in government and public affairs most of the time, whether there's an election or not. Others aren't that interested. Would you say you follow what's going on in government and public affairs (INSERT)?

| Total | Internet User | Non-Internet User | Election News Consumer |  | Total Nov $1998^{3}$ | Internet User Nov 1998 | Election News Consumer Nov 1998 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 51 | 46 | 75 | Most of the time | 46 | 51 | 72 |
| 27 | 29 | 24 | 20 | Some of the time | 27 | 29 | 20 |
| 14 | 14 | 15 | 4 | Only now and then | 14 | 12 | 6 |
| 9 | 7 | 13 | 1 | Hardly at all | 13 | 8 | 2 |
| 1 | * | 2 | * | Don't know/Refused | * | * | * |
| ( $\mathrm{N}=2745$ ) | $(\mathrm{N}=1707$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=1038$ ) | ( $\mathrm{N}=388$ ) |  |  |  |  |

[^2]Q6
Do you ever go online to access the Internet or World Wide Web or to send and receive email?

|  | Goes Online | Does Not Go Online | Don't Know /Refused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Current | 61 | 39 | 0 |
| Oct 2002 | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| Sept 2002 | 61 | 39 | 0 |
| July 2002 | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| March/May 2002 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| Jan 2002 | 61 | 39 | 0 |
| Dec. 17-23, 2001 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| Nov. 19-Dec. 162001 | 58 | 42 | 0 |
| Oct 2001 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Sept 20-Oct 12001 | 51 | 49 | 0 |
| Sept 12-19 2001 | 55 | 45 | 0 |
| Aug 2001 | 59 | 41 | 0 |
| Feb 2001 | 53 | 47 | * |
| Nov 2000 | 53 | 47 | 0 |
| July/Aug 2000 | 50 | 50 | * |
| May/June 2000 | 47 | 53 | 0 |
| March 2000 | 49 | 51 | * |

WEB1 Please tell me if you ever do any of the following when you go online. Do you ever... Did you happen to do this yesterday, or not?

|  | Total Have Ever Done This | Did <br> Yesterday | Have Not Done This | Don't Know/ Refused |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Get news online |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 41 | 17 | 59 | * | ( $\mathrm{n}=2745$ ) |
| July 2002 | 39 | 13 | 61 | * |  |
| Jan 2002 | 41 | 15 | 59 | * |  |
| Oct 2001 | 34 | 12 | 66 | 0 |  |
| Feb 2001 | 35 | 13 | 65 | * |  |
| Nov $2000^{4}$ | 33 | 10 | 67 | * |  |
| March 2000 | 28 | 10 | 72 | * |  |
| Internet User | 67 | 28 | 33 | * | $(\mathrm{n}=1707)$ |
| July 2002 | 66 | 22 | 34 | * |  |
| Jan 2002 | 71 | 26 | 29 | * |  |
| Oct 2001 | 67 | 23 | 33 | 0 |  |
| Feb 2001 | 66 | 25 | 34 | * |  |
| Nov 2000 | 61 | 24 | 39 | * |  |
| March 2000 | 60 | 22 | 40 | * |  |
| Election News Consumer | 94 | 61 | 6 | * | $(\mathrm{n}=388)$ |
| Nov 2000 | 87 | 46 | 13 | * |  |

Look for news or information about politics or the campaign

| Total | 24 | 8 | 76 | 0 | $(\mathrm{n}=2745)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July 2002 | 25 | 5 | 75 | 0 |  |
| Nov 2000 | 18 | 8 | 82 | $*$ |  |
| March 2000 | 16 | 4 | 84 |  | $*$ |
| Internet User | 40 | 13 | 60 | 0 | $(\mathrm{n}=170$ |
| July 2002 | 43 | 9 | 57 | $*$ |  |
| Nov 2000 | 43 | 18 | 57 | $*$ | $(\mathrm{n}=388)$ |
| March 2000 | 35 | 10 | 65 | $*$ |  |

[^3]How do/did you get most of your news about the election campaigns in your state and district? From television, from newspapers, from radio or from magazines or from the Internet? (Multiple Response)

Q17b Did you get most of your news about the election campaigns from network TV news, from local TV news, or from cable news networks such as CNN or MSNBC?

| Total | Internet Users | NonInternet Users | Election News Consumer | Registered Voters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 62 | 72 | 50 | 66 | Television |
| 7 | 7 | 6 | 9 | 8 | Network |
| 35 | 32 | 39 | 15 | 34 | Local TV |
| 21 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 20 | Cable |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | All of them |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Other |
| 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | Don't know/Refused |
| 33 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 37 | Newspapers |
| 13 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 13 | Radio |
| 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Magazines |
| 7 | 11 | * | 31 | 6 | Internet |
| * | * | * | 1 | * | All of the above |
| 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | Mailings/Flyers |
| 1 | 1 | 2 | * | 1 | Friends/Family/Other acquaintances |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | Combination of several sources |
| 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | Other |
| 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | Don't know/Refused |
| $(\mathrm{n}=2,745)$ | $(\mathrm{n}=1,707$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=1,038$ ) | ( $\mathrm{n}=388$ ) | $(\mathrm{n}=2,048)$ |  |


| Trend Based on Total Population ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June | Feb | Jan | Sept | April | Feb | Sept | Jun | May | March | Feb |
|  | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | $\underline{2000}$ | 1996 | $\underline{1996}$ | 1996 | 1992 | 1992 | 1992 | 1992 | 1992 |
| Television | 65 | 73 | 75 | 75 | 81 | 85 | 83 | 84 | 86 | 83 | 80 |
| Network ${ }^{6}$ | 17 | 20 | 24 | 29 | 39 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Local | 24 | 28 | 25 | 31 | 34 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Cable | 25 | 30 | 31 | 25 | 23 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| (VOL.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DK/Ref. | * | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Newspapers | 27 | 33 | 31 | 44 | 48 | 56 | 49 | 55 | 51 | 48 | 49 |
| Radio | 11 | 15 | 12 | 14 | 21 | 21 | 13 | 18 | 17 | 14 | 18 |
| Magazines | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 4 |
| Internet | 5 | 7 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 2 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Other | 2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| DK/Ref. | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | * | 1 | * | 1 |

[^4]POL03 Have you gone/Did you ever go online to get news or information about the 2002 mid-term elections?

|  | Yes | No | Don't Know/ <br> Refused |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 13 | 87 | * | ( $\mathrm{n}=2745$ ) |
| Nov 2000 | 18 | 82 | * |  |
| Nov 1998 | 6 | 94 | * |  |
| Oct 1996 ${ }^{7}$ | N/A | N/A | N/A |  |
| Internet User | 22 | 78 | * | ( $\mathrm{n}=1707$ ) |
| Nov 2000 | 33 | 66 | 1 |  |
| Nov 1998 | 15 | 84 | 1 |  |
| Oct 1996 | 22 | 78 | 0 |  |
| Election News Consumer | 100 | - | - | $(\mathrm{n}=388)$ |
| Nov 2000 | 100 | - | - |  |
| Nov 1998 | 100 | - | - |  |
| Oct 1996 | 100 | - | - |  |

POL04 How often do you go online to get news about the elections... more than once a day, everyday, 3-5 days per week, 1-2 days per week, or less often?

|  | At Least Weekly | More Than Once A Day | Every Day | 3-5 Days A Week | 1-2 Days A Week | Less Often | Don't Know/ Refused | No/ Never |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 8 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 | * | 87 |
| Nov 2000 | 13 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | * | 82 |
| Nov 1998 | 4 | * | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | * | 94 |
| Oct 1996 | $N / A$ | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Internet User | 13 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 | * | 78 |
| Nov 2000 | 25 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 66 |
| Nov 1998 | 10 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 1 | 84 |
| Oct 1996 | 12 | * | 2 | 2 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 78 |
| Election News |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer | 59 | 5 | 14 | 18 | 22 | 41 | 0 | N/A |
| Nov 2000 | 75 | 12 | 18 | 18 | 27 | 25 | 0 | N/A |
| Nov 1998 | 65 | 6 | 20 | 15 | 24 | 35 | 0 | N/A |
| Oct 1996 | 53 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 34 | 47 | 0 | N/A |

[^5]POL05 Now, I'd like to ask about what types of campaigns and elections you go online to look for information about. First, do you go online to look for information about (INSERT)?

| Current |  |  |  | Nov 1998 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Internet User $\begin{gathered}\text { Election New } \\ \text { Consumer }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Total | Internet User | Election News Consumer |
|  |  |  | a. Races for U.S. Senate |  |  |  |
| 9 | 15 | 68 | Yes | -- | -- | -- |
| 4 | 7 | 31 | No | -- | -- | -- |
| * | * | 1 | Don't know/Refused | -- | -- | -- |
| b. Races for U.S. House ${ }^{8}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 | 14 | 64 | Yes | 3 | 9 | 59 |
| 5 | 8 | 35 | No | 97 | 91 | 40 |
| * | * | 1 | Don't know/Refused | * | * | 1 |
| c. Races for Governor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | 17 | 78 | Yes | -- | -- | -- |
| 3 | 5 | 21 | No | -- | -- | -- |
| * | * | 1 | Don't know/Refused | -- | -- | -- |
| d. Local races in your area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 | 12 | 56 | Yes | 3 | 9 | 56 |
| 6 | 10 | 44 | No | 97 | 91 | 43 |
| * | * | * | Don't know/Refused | * | * | 1 |
| e. Ballot measures or initiatives |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 | 10 | 44 | Yes | -- | -- | -- |
| 7 | 12 | 54 | No | -- | -- | -- |
| * | * | 2 | Don't know/Refused | -- | -- | -- |

[^6]POL06 Where do you go most often for news and information about the 2002 mid-term elections? (Multiple Response)

| Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | The news sites of commercial online services such as America Online | 5 | 2 | N/A |
| 7 | The Websites of major news organizations such as CNN or the New York Times | 8 | 3 | N/A |
| 2 | The websites of local news organizations in your area | 2 | 2 | N/A |
| 1 | Sites that specialize in politics | 1 | 1 | N/A |
| 1 | Websites set up by the candidates themselves | 1 | * | N/A |
| 1 | Issue-oriented websites | 1 | 1 | N/A |
| 2 | The websites of state or local governments | 1 | 1 | N/A |
| * | Television, radio, or newspapers | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| * | Some other source | 3 | 1 | N/A |
|  | Don't know/Refused | * | * | N/A |
| $(\mathrm{n}=2745)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Internet User |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ 1996 \end{gathered}$ |
| 4 | The news sites of commercial online services such as America Online | 9 | 4 | 8 |
| 11 | The Websites of major news organizations such as CNN or the New York Times | 16 | 8 | 11 |
| 4 | The websites of local news organizations in your area | 4 | 5 | N/A |
| 2 | Sites that specialize in politics | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| 2 | Websites set up by the candidates themselves | 2 | 1 | 5 |
| 2 | Issue-oriented websites | 2 | 2 | N/A |
| 3 | The websites of state or local governments | 2 | 3 | N/A |
| * | Television, radio, or newspapers | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| * | Some other source | 5 | 2 | 1 |
| $\begin{gathered} 1 \\ (\mathrm{n}=1707) \end{gathered}$ | Don't know/Refused | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Election News Consumer |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Nov } \\ 2000 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1998 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oct } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 19 | The news sites of commercial online services such as America Online | 27 | 26 | 38 |
| 52 | The Websites of major news organizations such as CNN or the New York Times | 47 | 50 | 50 |
| 18 | The websites of local news organizations in your area | 12 | 29 | N/A |
| 10 | Sites that specialize in politics | 7 | 16 | 15 |
| 11 | Websites set up by the candidates themselves | 7 | 7 | 25 |
| 9 | Issue-oriented websites | 4 | 14 | N/A |
| 12 | The websites of state or local governments | 6 | 20 | N/A |
| 1 | Television, radio, or newspapers | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 2 | Some other source | 15 | 10 | 7 |
| 3 | Don't know/Refused | 2 | 9 | 6 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=388$ ) |  |  |  |  |

POL08 When you go online to get information about the elections, do you ever do any of the following things? First, do you ever (INSERT)?

Based on those who go online to get news or info about the elections [ $\mathbf{N}=388$ ]
a. Participate in online discussions or 'chat' groups about the elections

| Current | 10 | 90 | 0 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nov 2000 | 8 | 92 | $*$ |
| Nov 1998 | 13 | 87 | $*$ |
| Oct 1996 | 31 | 69 | $*$ |

b. Register your own opinions by participating in an electronic poll

| Current | 39 | 61 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov 2000 | 35 | 65 | $*$ |
| Nov 1998 | 26 | 74 | $*$ |
| Oct 1996 | 34 | 66 | 0 |

c. Get information about a candidate's voting record

| Current | 45 | 55 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov 2000 | 33 | 67 | $*$ |
| Nov 1998 | 30 | 70 | $*$ |

h. Contribute money to a candidate running for public office through his or her web site

| Current | 5 | 95 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov 2000 | 5 | 95 | $*$ |

i. Look for more information about candidates' positions on the issues

| Current | 79 | 21 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Nov 2000 | 69 | 31 | $*$ |

POL02 Some people go online for campaign news because they are very interested in politics and enjoy following it. Others don't enjoy politics, but they keep up with it because they feel it's their duty to be well-informed. Which view comes closer to your own?

Based on those who go online to get news or info about the elections [ $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{3 8 8}$ ]

| Current |  | Nov 1998 | Oct 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 31 | Enjoy politics | 29 | 38 |
| 66 | Duty to be well-informed | 57 | 59 |
| 2 | Neither | 12 | 2 |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused | 2 | 1 |

POL09 Which of the following comes closest to describing WHY you go online to get news and information about the 2002 mid-term elections? (Multiple Response)

Based on those who go online to get news or info about the elections [ $\mathbf{N}=388$ ]

| Current |  | Nov 2000 | Oct 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | Because you can get information on the Web that is not available elsewhere | 12 | 26 |
| 57 | Because getting information online is more convenient for you | 56 | 45 |
| 8 | Because the Web offers news sources that reflect your own interests or values, OR... | 6 | 24 |
| 43 | Because you don't get all the news and information you want from traditional news sources such as the daily newspaper or the network TV news | 29 | 53 |
| 3 | Some other reason | 11 | 5 |
| 2 | Don't know/Refused | 1 | 4 |

POL09b Do you ever visit Web sites that provide information about specific issues or policies that interest you such as the environment, gun control, abortion, or health care reform?


POL13 Has/Did any of the information you have received online about the 2002 mid-term elections made/make you decide to vote for or against a particular candidate?

|  | Don't <br> Know/ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Yes | No | Refused |  |
| Total | 5 | 95 | * | $(\mathrm{n}=2745)$ |
| Internet User | 8 | 91 | 1 | ( $\mathrm{n}=1707$ ) |
| Election News Consumer | 35 | 62 | 3 | $(\mathrm{n}=388)$ |
| November $2000^{9}$ | 43 | 55 | 2 |  |
| November 1998 | 34 | 63 | 3 |  |
| October 1996 | 31 | 69 | 0 |  | information you have received online about the 1996 elections influenced your choice of candidates?" Field periods for the trend are: October 10-November 9, 2000; October 26-December 1, 1998; October 21-31, 1996.


[^0]:    * Election News Consumer - Based on those who go online to get news about the 2002 elections (POL04 1-5). [ $\mathrm{N}=388$ ]

[^1]:    1 April 2002 through April 1996 trends are based on Pew Research Center Biennial Media Consumption Surveys. The question was worded: "Now, on another subject... Did you get a chance to read a daily newspaper yesterday, or not?" June 1995 trend is based on the 1995 Times Mirror Center Technology Study.

[^2]:    2 April 2002 through April 1996 trends based on Pew Research Center Biennial Media Consumption Surveys. The question was worded: "Did you watch the news or a news program on television yesterday, or not?"
    3 November 1998 trends based on the Pew Research Center 1998 Technology Survey. N=2000 adults 18 years or older; N=1993 Internet user oversample; $\mathrm{N}=315$ election news consumers. Interview dates: October 26-December 1, 1998.

[^3]:    4 November 2000 trends based on the Pew Research Center 2000 Campaign and Internet Survey conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates. $\mathrm{N}=7426$ adults 18 years or older; $\mathrm{N}=4186$ Internet users; $\mathrm{N}=1435$ election news consumers. Interview dates: October 10-November 19, 2000.

[^4]:    5 The questions wording for the trend for February 1992 through June 2000 was, "How do/did you get most of your news about the Presidential election campaign?

    6 Multiple responses were accepted in the "television" follow-up question for the trend.

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ October 1996 trends based on the Pew Research Center 1996 Technology Survey. N=1003 Internet users; N=215 Election News Consumers. Interview dates: October 21-31, 1996.

[^6]:    8 In November 1998 items b and d were not asked as part of a list question. The questions were worded: "When you go/went online to get news and information about the 1998 elections, are/were you looking for information about [the congressional race in your district/local races in your area]?"

