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## Opinion of Clinton and Congress Improves <br> A PARTISAN PUBLIC AGENDA

As Inauguration Day approaches, Bill Clinton is getting his highest ever approval rating (59\%). Favorable opinion of Congress is also up sharply (56\%) as the GOP begins its second consecutive term of control for the first time in more than sixty years. Newt Gingrich is the only unpopular national leader in the picture (65\% unfavorable), according to the latest Pew Research Center survey.

Although the public is feeling better about its national leaders for the most part, Americans have a partisan view of the national agenda. Fixing Social Security is the only top priority issue that gets equal emphasis from Republicans, Democrats and Independents. Three-in-four Americans want action from Washington on this problem. Improving the educational system gets a comparably high rating from the public. Reducing crime, improving the job situation, taking steps to make Medicare financially sound, and reducing the budget deficit follow in the public's ranking of important issues.

Republicans give higher priority than Democrats to reducing the budget deficit. They also give higher priority than Democrats to dealing with the nation's moral crisis and cutting the capital gains tax. Democrats assign relatively higher rankings to education, jobs, crime and protecting Medicare. They also place much more emphasis than Republicans on dealing with the problems of the poor, protecting the environment, and working to reduce racial tension. Independents fall between members of the two parties on this latter set of issues.

The importance of fixing Social Security is also seen in the amount of public attention paid to news about recent proposals to reform the system.

| Priorities for President and Congress |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { All }}{\%}$ |  | $\frac{\text { Dem. }}{\%}$ |
| Social Security | 75 | 77 | 76 |
| Education | 75 | 62 | 79 |
| Crime | 70 | 64 | 70 |
| Job situation | 65 | 55 | 75 |
| Medicare | 64 | 60 | 70 |
| Budget deficit | 60 | 66 | 54 |
| Poor and needy | 57 | 39 | 70 |
| Health care | 56 | 40 | 69 |
| Environment | 54 | 40 | 62 |
| Morals | 52 | 57 | 50 |
| Racial tensions | 50 | 41 | 60 |
| Taxes | 42 | 43 | 41 |
| Campaign finance | 31 | 30 | 35 |
| Capital gains tax | 29 | 40 | 24 | Nearly two-thirds (64\%) reported closely following stories about the proposals to reform Social Security ( $29 \%$ said they paid very close attention). Only interest in the storms in the Pacific Northwest attracted more public attention this month. However, Social Security even out polled the bad weather for news interest among people 65 years of age and older.

## Albright Reaction

By more than three-to-one, Secretary of State designate Madeleine Albright is a big hit with the American public. Her 57\% favorable, $16 \%$ unfavorable rating is better than that of her fellow Cabinet officer, Treasury Secretary Robert Rubin ( $43 \%$ to $17 \%$ ). She also receives a better public evaluation than did her predecessor, Warren Christopher ( $34 \%$ to $21 \%$ ). ${ }^{1}$ Although she is the highest ranking female American official in history, Albright is no more popular among women than men ( $57 \% / 57 \%$ ). Also surprising is that although she has been a member of the Clinton Cabinet, she was rated favorably by a $54 \%$ majority of Republicans.

Clinton's second honeymoon with the American public is typical for second term presidents and comparable to Ronald Reagan's standing after reelection in January 1985. The President's ratings have improved most since midyear among people under 50 years of age. Hillary Clinton's public image has changed little over the past six months. Her favorability rating is lower than the President's ( $57 \%$ vs. $66 \%$, respectively), which is unusual for a First Lady.

| Approval Ratings <br> (1956-96) |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Approve }}{\%}$ |  |
|  | $\frac{\text { Disapprove }}{\%}$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| Clinton (Jan 1997) | 59 |  |
| Reagan (Jan 1985) | 62 |  |
| Nixon (Jan 1973) | 67 |  |
| Johnson (Jan 1965) | 71 |  |
| Eisenhower (Jan 1957) | 73 |  |
| * 1957-85 results from Gallup Poll | 15 |  |

Clinton's approval ratings are high despite the reemergence of the Paula Jones allegations and growing public concern about campaign contributions made to the Democratic National Committee (DNC) from Indonesian sources. Fully $70 \%$ of those polled said the campaign finance controversy is an important issue, and $63 \%$ said a special committee in Congress should be set up to investigate these charges -- up from $54 \%$ in November. ${ }^{2}$

By way of comparison, 79\% think that Newt Gingrich's admission that he gave false statements to the House Ethics Committee is an important issue. However, when asked to choose between the Democratic campaign finance irregularities or the charges against Gingrich, the public split on which was more serious -- $39 \%$ said the DNC contributions, $36 \%$ the Gingrich charges.

[^0]Opinion of Gingrich himself is highly partisan: 80\% of Democrats and 68\% of Independents have an unfavorable opinion of him, while $54 \%$ of Republicans hold a favorable view of the Speaker. Among those who have been following the Gingrich story very closely, nearly four-in-ten hold a very unfavorable view of the Speaker. This compares with $26 \%$ of the general public.

## Party Woes

The drumbeat of coverage on the Gingrich ethics story continues to weigh down approval ratings for the GOP Congressional leadership. Just 38\% approve of their policies and proposals, much as throughout the last year. But the leadership's disapproval rating has fallen steadily over the last year, from 54\% to 43\% now.

News of the DNC fund-raising scandal has not had any immediate impact on public perceptions of the Democratic Party. Ratings of the party are about where they were four years ago, when Clinton embarked on his first term. As with the Gingrich ethics story, however, those who have been paying close attention to news about the DNC problem express more negative opinions: $18 \%$ hold a very unfavorable view of the party, compared to $8 \%$ of the general public.

While men and women are equally likely to see the DNC story as important, there is a gender gap in perceptions of the Gingrich charges. Eighty-three percent of women said the issue of Gingrich's ethics is important, compared to $74 \%$ of men. Young people are less concerned than older ones about the DNC controversy; $62 \%$ of those under 30 said it is important compared to $77 \%$ of those over 50 . There is no such generation gap with regard to the charges against Gingrich.

Republicans more than Democrats view the DNC story as important, though a strong majority of Democrats (64\%) agreed the story is an important one. Similarly, Democrats more than Republicans view the Gingrich story as important. Even so, seven-in-ten Republicans said it is an important issue.

Almost one-fourth of Americans followed the stories about Gingrich's ethics charges very closely (23\%), a slightly larger number than paid attention to news about foreign campaign contributions to the Democratic Party (17\%). Republicans and Democrats followed the Speaker's ethics case in roughly equal proportion (24\% of Republicans and 29\% of Democrats said they are following very closely). Republicans were slightly more likely to have paid attention to the stories about money given to the DNC ( $22 \%$ followed it very closely, compared to $16 \%$ of Democrats). Among those who have been following both stories very closely, a plurality viewed the DNC's potential violations as more serious than the Speaker's (48\% vs. 30\%).

## News Interest Index

The disastrous floods in the West and Northwest were the most closely followed story of the month: about a third of Americans (34\%) said they followed these stories "very closely". This number rose to $62 \%$ in the West.

But the top Washington story was the proposed reform of the Social Security system rather than one of the scandals dogging each party. Almost one-in-three (29\%) Americans said they followed the Social Security reform story very closely. Current beneficiaries followed the story much more closely than younger people -- fully half of those over age 65 paid very close attention, compared to only $16 \%$ of those under 30 , and $22 \%$ of those aged 30 to $50-$ despite the fact that reform proposals are aimed at ensuring that the Social Security system is still viable when younger generations retire.

Clinton's current Cabinet selections and high level appointments failed to attract as much interest as his first term choices did. Two-thirds of the public (66\%) said they followed these appointments very closely or fairly closely in January 1993 compared to slightly less than half now (47\%).

About half of Americans followed closely stories about the new content-based television rating system which took effect on network television at the start of the year. Women followed the TV ratings story slightly more than men (51\% very or fairly closely vs. $42 \%$ of men), and parents more than non-parents ( $52 \%$ compared to $44 \%$ of non-parents). Parents with younger children (under age 8) were more likely than those with older kids to follow the TV ratings story closely.

One-in-five Americans (22\%) followed very closely the controversy over treating black English or Ebonics as a second language. African-Americans were more likely than whites to say they followed it very closely ( $31 \%$ compared to $21 \%$ ).

Least interest was shown in foreign news stories. The hostage crisis in Peru was followed very closely by only $14 \%$ of the public, renewed tensions between Israelis and Palestinians in Hebron by $12 \%$, the ongoing protests against Serbian President Milosevic in Belgrade by 7\%, and the debate over possible NATO expansion into Eastern Europe by 5\%.

## Trust in Government!!

With the era of big government waning, the public expresses a good deal of trust and confidence in the ability of state governments to carry out their responsibilities. Seven-in-ten Pew respondents said they have either a great deal (17\%) or a fair amount (53\%) of trust and confidence in their own state government, up significantly from 51\% in June of 1992 and similar to the high marks given state government ten years ago, amid Ronald Reagan's federalism initiatives.

Strong trust in state government is evident among most demographic groups, with a few important exceptions. Blacks expressed lower levels of trust than whites ( $51 \% \mathrm{vs} .72 \%$ ). Those with annual incomes under $\$ 20,000$-- many of whom may have benefited from the federal safety net -- were also less trusting ( $61 \%$ vs. $70 \%$ of all respondents). Predictably, Republicans, who tend to favor devolution, are more trusting of state government than are Democrats ( $78 \%$ vs. $66 \%$ ).

In general, trust in state government is more often based on things people have heard or read than on personal experiences ( $58 \%$ vs. 29\%). However, those who are less trusting of state government more often based their opinion on personal experience than did those who are more trusting. Almost four-in-ten of the respondents distrustful of government attributed their lack of trust to things they have personally experienced.

When asked which level of government they had the most trust and confidence in to handle various social programs and policy issues, the public

| Level of Trust in State Government Is Based on ... |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\frac{\text { Total }}{\%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Trust } \\ & \text { Gov't } \\ & \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Distrust } \\ \frac{\text { Gov't }}{\%} \end{gathered}$ |
| Things heard or read | 58 | 64 | 44 |
| Personal experience | 29 | 25 | 39 |
| Both | 11 | 10 | 14 |
| Don't know | $\frac{2}{100}$ | $\frac{1}{100}$ | $\frac{3}{100}$ | expressed clear preferences in some areas and ambivalence in others. Strong majorities expressed confidence in the federal government's ability to provide services to immigrants ( $62 \%$, vs. $19 \%$ state and $11 \%$ local) and protect civil rights ( $59 \%$, vs. $21 \%$ state and $13 \%$ local). The federal government was also seen as being marginally more effective in providing health care for the disabled, poor and elderly ( $44 \%$ vs. $36 \%$ state).

State governments were seen as better able to handle job training ( $45 \%$ vs. $20 \%$ federal), rules on the dissemination of welfare benefits ( $44 \%$ vs. $28 \%$ federal), and early education for low income children -- a responsibility now handled by the federal government through the Head Start program. The public has more trust and confidence in local government than in state and federal government to fight crime. More than four-in-ten chose local government as best able to handle this issue.

## Optimism About TV Ratings

Fully 75\% of Americans said there is too much violence on television programs today, and by a margin of nearly two-to-one they are more concerned about violence than sex on TV.

The public is optimistic that the new television ratings system will help parents decide which television shows their children should be allowed to watch. Twenty-seven percent said the new ratings will be very helpful, another $42 \%$ said somewhat helpful. However, only half of the public said they now understand how the ratings system works ( $21 \%$ understand very well, $30 \%$ fairly well). Four-in-ten adults have already seen the rating for a specific show, while more than half (54\%) have not yet noticed ratings on any of the shows they have been watching.

Parents were more likely than non-parents to report having seen the rating for a particular show ( $52 \%$ vs. $37 \%$, respectively). Parents of young children -- under the age of 8 -- more often reported having seen a rating than did parents of older kids. Women were as likely as men to have seen the ratings firsthand, but were more optimistic about the potential for the new system to help parents discriminate among TV programs (34\% very helpful vs. 20\% among men).

When asked how often they monitor their children's TV time, 44\% of parents said they always or usually watch television with their youngsters. Almost one-third (31\%) said they watch with their kids half the time, $16 \%$ said sometimes, and $8 \%$ said hardly ever or never. Women reported watching TV with their children at a much higher rate than men -- $54 \%$ watch always or usually compared to $34 \%$ of men. Not surprisingly, many more parents of children under 8 said they watch TV with their kids (54\%) than did parents with older kids (39\%).

While many parents said they often do not watch TV with their children, most said they do monitor which shows their children are watching when they are not present. Nearly three out of four parents (73\%) said when their children are watching TV without them, they always (18\%) or usually (55\%) know what their kids are watching. Again, parents of young children (under 8) reported keeping closer tabs on what their kids are watching when they are not present. One-in-four volunteered that they always know what their kids are watching, compared to $12 \%$ of parents with kids 8 and older.

About half of the parents surveyed (46\%) said their families have specific rules about the times of day their children can watch TV. Just over half (53\%) said they have no such rules.

Parents expressed high levels of concern over their children's exposure to violent content, sexual content and adult language on TV. Fifty-four percent expressed a great deal of concern about their children seeing violence and sex on TV, another $25 \%$ said they were somewhat concerned. A nearly equal percentage (51\%) voiced their concern about exposure to adult language.

## In Their Own Words

Respondents were asked to tell us what one word best described their reaction to Clinton's starting a second term in office and to name the most important news event of 1996.

| One Word Reactions To Clinton's Re-Election |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Frequency |
| 1. Good | 57 |
| 2. Disappointed | 51 |
| 3. OK | 36 |
| 4. Great | 31 |
| 5. Happy | 20 |
| 6. Hopeful | 20 |
| 7. Surprised | 20 |
| 8. Glad | 17 |
| 9. Fine | 15 |
| 10. Disgusted | 13 |
| 11. Satisfied | 11 |
| 12. Relieved | 11 |
| 13. Unbelievable | 11 |
| 14. No! | 8 |
| 15. Wonderful | 7 |
| 16. Yuck | 6 |
| 17. Expected | 5 |
| 18. Scary | 5 |
| 19. Ridiculous | 5 |
| 20. Unhappy | 5 |
| Number of interviews | (748) |
| *This table shows the nu offered each response percentages. | pondents who bers are not |


| The Public's Top Ten Stories of 1996 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Presidential campaign/election | $\underline{\%}$ |
| TWA plane crash | 16 |
| Bosnia | 14 |
| Oklahoma City bombing | 5 |
| O.J. Simpson case/trial | 4 |
| Breakdown of peace in Mid-East | 2 |
| Olympic bombing | 2 |
| Whitewater investigation | 2 |
| Summer Olympics in Atlanta | 2 |
| Welfare reform | 1 |
| Can't think of any | 37 |

## PERCENT FOLLOWING EACH NEWS STORY "VERY CLOSELY"

|  | **Floods <br> In Pacific <br> Northwest | Social <br> Security <br> Reform | Charges <br> Against Gingrich | Controversy Over Ebonics | (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 34 | 29 | 23 | 22 | (1503) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 35 | 28 | 26 | 22 | (754) |
| Female | 32 | 30 | 21 | 22 | (749) |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 34 | 28 | 24 | 21 | (1256) |
| *Hispanic | 32 | 26 | 24 | 30 | (102) |
| Black | 35 | 36 | 23 | 31 | (126) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 31 | 16 | 15 | 22 | (286) |
| 30-49 | 30 | 22 | 19 | 18 | (657) |
| 50+ | 40 | 44 | 34 | 28 | (541) |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad. | 33 | 28 | 30 | 30 | (448) |
| Other College | 33 | 27 | 25 | 26 | (387) |
| High School Grad | 33 | 28 | 19 | 18 | (528) |
| < H. S. Grad | 38 | 32 | 23 | 17 | (134) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 26 | 33 | 28 | 24 | (300) |
| Midwest | 23 | 27 | 20 | 15 | (360) |
| South | 30 | 28 | 22 | 22 | (525) |
| West | 62 | 28 | 25 | 29 | (318) |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 36 | 25 | 24 | 22 | (417) |
| Democrat | 35 | 36 | 29 | 27 | (499) |
| Independent | 32 | 24 | 17 | 18 | (504) |

Question: Now I will read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past month. As I read each item, tell me if you happened to follow this news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely.

* The designation, Hispanic, is unrelated to the white-black categorization.
** These items were only asked of half the sample; the ' N ' does not apply.


## PERCENT FOLLOWING EACH <br> NEWS STORY "VERY CLOSELY"

|  | DNC <br> Contributions | Clinton's <br> Cabinet <br> Choices | Hostage Crisis In Peru | New TV Rating System | (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 17 | 15 | 14 | 13 | (1503) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 20 | 14 | 18 | 11 | (754) |
| Female | 14 | 16 | 10 | 15 | (749) |
| Race |  |  |  |  |  |
| White | 17 | 14 | 14 | 12 | (1256) |
| *Hispanic | 14 | 15 | 24 | 21 | (102) |
| Black | 11 | 20 | 9 | 19 | (126) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 12 | (286) |
| 30-49 | 11 | 13 | 12 | 12 | (657) |
| 50+ | 27 | 22 | 17 | 15 | (541) |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad. | 20 | 19 | 15 | 9 | (448) |
| Other College | 16 | 15 | 16 | 13 | (387) |
| High School Grad | 14 | 11 | 11 | 13 | (528) |
| < H. S. Grad | 19 | 17 | 13 | 19 | (134) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |  |
| East | 19 | 17 | 11 | 14 | (300) |
| Midwest | 14 | 12 | 11 | 12 | (360) |
| South | 18 | 17 | 15 | 14 | (525) |
| West | 16 | 12 | 16 | 12 | (318) |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 22 | 15 | 15 | 13 | (417) |
| Democrat | 16 | 18 | 17 | 17 | (499) |
| Independent | 13 | 10 | 8 | 9 | (504) |

Question: Now I will read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past month. As I read each item, tell me if you happened to follow this news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely.

* The designation, Hispanic, is unrelated to the white-black categorization.
** These items were only asked of half the sample; the ' N ' does not apply.


# PERCENT FOLLOWING EACH NEWS STORY "VERY CLOSELY" 

|  | **Tensions <br> Between Israelis and Palestinians | **Protests <br> In <br> Belgrade | **NATO <br> Expansion | (N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 12 | 7 | 5 | (1503) |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 16 | 8 | 7 | (754) |
| Female | 10 | 5 | 3 | (749) |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| White | 12 | 7 | 5 | (1256) |
| *Hispanic | 16 | 1 | 5 | (102) |
| Black | 13 | 7 | 4 | (126) |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 11 | 4 | 4 | (286) |
| 30-49 | 11 | 6 | 4 | (657) |
| 50+ | 16 | 9 | 7 | (541) |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad. | 16 | 11 | 8 | (448) |
| Other College | 14 | 7 | 5 | (387) |
| High School Grad | 9 | 5 | 4 | (528) |
| < H. S. Grad | 13 | 4 | 5 | (134) |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| East | 18 | 7 | 6 | (300) |
| Midwest | 6 | 4 | 3 | (360) |
| South | 13 | 6 | 5 | (525) |
| West | 15 | 11 | 6 | (318) |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 13 | 5 | 4 | (417) |
| Democrat | 14 | 9 | 7 | (499) |
| Independent | 11 | 6 | 4 | (504) |

Question: Now I will read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past month. As I read each item, tell me if you happened to follow this news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely.

[^1]
## TABLES

## PRESIDENTIAL APPROVAL

Current vs. June 1996

|  | January 1997 |  | June 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approve | Disapprove | Approve | Disapprove |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 59 | 31 | 54 | 38 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 58 | 34 | 53 | 39 |
| Female | 60 | 29 | 55 | 36 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 62 | 31 | 55 | 36 |
| 30-49 | 61 | 29 | 53 | 39 |
| 50-64 | 54 | 35 | 54 | 38 |
| 65+ | 53 | 32 | 56 | 33 |
| 50+ | 54 | 34 | 55 | 36 |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |  |
| Men 18-29 | 58 | 35 | 55 | 38 |
| Women 18-29 | 68 | 25 | 56 | 34 |
| Men 30-49 | 64 | 30 | 53 | 40 |
| Women 30-49 | 59 | 29 | 53 | 39 |
| Men 50+ | 49 | 39 | 52 | 39 |
| Women 50+ | 57 | 30 | 56 | 33 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| White | 55 | 35 | 52 | 40 |
| Non-white | 81 | 10 | 68 | 20 |
| Black | 83 | 6 | 72 | 17 |
| Other/Mixed | 76 | 18 | 61 | 30 |
| Hispanic | 73 | 20 | 61 | 31 |
| Race and Sex |  |  |  |  |
| White Men | 54 | 38 | 51 | 42 |
| White Women | 56 | 32 | 52 | 39 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad. | 61 | 32 | 58 | 38 |
| Some College | 55 | 38 | 54 | 39 |
| High School Grad. | 59 | 30 | 51 | 38 |
| <H.S. Grad | 62 | 22 | 58 | 34 |

Question: Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as President? [IF DON'T KNOW ENTER AS DK. IF 'DEPENDS' PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as President? IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK]

|  | January 1997 |  | June 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Approve | Disapprove | Approve | Disapprove |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 59 | 31 | 54 | 38 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000+ | 54 | 40 | 53 | 41 |
| \$75,000+ | 50 | 43 | 48 | 48 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 58 | 36 | 56 | 35 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 61 | 29 | 49 | 44 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 60 | 30 | 62 | 30 |
| <\$20,000 | 63 | 26 | 60 | 31 |
| Religious Affiliation |  |  |  |  |
| Total White Protestant | 48 | 41 | 46 | 46 |
| White Prot. Evangelical | 43 | 48 | 36 | 56 |
| White Prot. Non-Evang. | 54 | 34 | 55 | 36 |
| White Catholic | 66 | 27 | 60 | 34 |
| Jews | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| East | 59 | 32 | 64 | 29 |
| Midwest | 59 | 29 | 55 | 35 |
| South | 56 | 33 | 49 | 43 |
| West | 62 | 31 | 53 | 39 |
| Community Size |  |  |  |  |
| Large City | 63 | 28 | 57 | 34 |
| Suburb | 66 | 28 | 56 | 35 |
| Small City/Town | 58 | 31 | 54 | 38 |
| Rural Area | 49 | 39 | 50 | 43 |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 31 | 61 | 24 | 68 |
| Democrat | 86 | 8 | 82 | 12 |
| Independent | 54 | 33 | 55 | 35 |
| Rep./Lean Rep. | 30 | 61 | 25 | 68 |
| Dem./Lean Dem. | 83 | 10 | 80 | 13 |

## CONGRESS FAVORABILITY

Current vs. June 1996

January 1997
June 1996

|  | January 1997 |  | June 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Favorable | Unfavorable |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 55 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 53 | 44 | 42 | 55 |
| Female | 58 | 36 | 47 | 46 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| Under 30 | 56 | 41 | 50 | 43 |
| 30-49 | 54 | 42 | 44 | 52 |
| 50-64 | 57 | 36 | 45 | 49 |
| 65+ | 55 | 39 | 38 | 55 |
| 50+ | 56 | 37 | 42 | 52 |
| Sex and Age |  |  |  |  |
| Men 18-29 | 57 | 41 | 46 | 49 |
| Women 18-29 | 54 | 40 | 55 | 37 |
| Men 30-49 | 50 | 48 | 39 | 58 |
| Women 30-49 | 59 | 36 | 49 | 47 |
| Men 50+ | 53 | 41 | 41 | 56 |
| Women 50+ | 59 | 34 | 42 | 48 |
| Race |  |  |  |  |
| White | 57 | 39 | 45 | 50 |
| Non-white | 50 | 45 | 43 | 51 |
| Black | 45 | 50 | 41 | 53 |
| Other/Mixed | 62 | 34 | 46 | 49 |
| Hispanic | 65 | 35 | 46 | 52 |
| Race and Sex |  |  |  |  |
| White Men | 54 | 44 | 42 | 55 |
| White Women | 60 | 34 | 48 | 45 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |
| College Grad. | 54 | 44 | 39 | 58 |
| Some College | 59 | 37 | 48 | 48 |
| High School Grad. | 55 | 40 | 47 | 48 |
| <H.S. Grad | 53 | 37 | 43 | 46 |

Question: Now I'd like your views on some people and things in the news. As I read from a list, please tell me which category best describes your overall opinion of who or what I name. (First) would you say that your overall opinion of Congress is very favorable, mostly favorable, mostly UNfavorable, or very unfavorable? [INTERVIEWERS: PROBE TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN "NEVER HEARD OF" AND "CAN'T RATE".]

|  | January 1997 |  | June 1996 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | Favorable | Unfavorable |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 55 | 40 | 45 | 50 |
| Family Income |  |  |  |  |
| \$50,000+ | 56 | 43 | 43 | 55 |
| \$75,000+ | 60 | 38 | 44 | 53 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 53 | 46 | 42 | 56 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 56 | 40 | 45 | 52 |
| \$20,000-\$29,999 | 58 | 38 | 44 | 47 |
| <\$20,000 | 53 | 40 | 47 | 46 |
| Religious Affiliation |  |  |  |  |
| Total White Protestant | 59 | 36 | 47 | 47 |
| White Prot. Evangelical | 61 | 33 | 51 | 41 |
| White Prot. Non-Evang. | 56 | 40 | 42 | 54 |
| White Catholic | 58 | 41 | 46 | 49 |
| Jews | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Region |  |  |  |  |
| East | 56 | 40 | 45 | 49 |
| Midwest | 59 | 37 | 43 | 51 |
| South | 52 | 42 | 48 | 46 |
| West | 56 | 40 | 41 | 56 |
| Community Size |  |  |  |  |
| Large City | 51 | 45 | 39 | 55 |
| Suburb | 58 | 39 | 43 | 54 |
| Small City/Town | 58 | 38 | 49 | 46 |
| Rural Area | 53 | 39 | 46 | 48 |
| Party ID |  |  |  |  |
| Republican | 70 | 26 | 61 | 35 |
| Democrat | 52 | 45 | 38 | 56 |
| Independent | 50 | 46 | 38 | 57 |
| Rep./Lean Rep. | 65 | 31 | 56 | 41 |
| Dem./Lean Dem. | 51 | 45 | 38 | 57 |

## SURVEY METHODOLOGY

## ABOUT THIS SURVEY

The survey results are based on telephone interviews conducted under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates among a nationwide sample of 1,503 adults, 18 years of age or older, during the period January 9-12, 1997. For results based on the total sample, one can say with $95 \%$ confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus 3 percentage points. For results based on either Form $1(\mathrm{~N}=755)$ or Form $2(\mathrm{~N}=748)$, the sampling error is plus or minus 4 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

## SURVEY METHODOLOGY IN DETAIL

The sample for this survey is a random digit sample of telephone numbers selected from telephone exchanges in the continental United States. The random digit aspect of the sample is used to avoid "listing" bias and provides representation of both listed and unlisted numbers (including not-yetlisted). The design of the sample ensures this representation by random generation of the last two digits of telephone numbers selected on the basis of their area code, telephone exchange, and bank number.

The telephone exchanges were selected with probabilities proportional to their size. The first eight digits of the sampled telephone numbers (area code, telephone exchange, bank number) were selected to be proportionally stratified by county and by telephone exchange within county. That is, the number of telephone numbers randomly sampled from within a given county is proportional to that county's share of telephone households in the U.S. Estimates of the number of telephone households within each county are derived from 1990 Census data on residential telephone incidence that have been updated with state-level information on new telephone installations and county-level projections of the number of households. Only working banks of telephone numbers are selected. A working bank is defined as 100 contiguous telephone numbers containing three or more residential listings.

The sample was released for interviewing in replicates. Using replicates to control the release of sample to the field ensures that the complete call procedures are followed for the entire sample.

At least four attempts were made to complete an interview at every sampled telephone number. The calls were staggered over times of day and days of the week to maximize the chances of making a contact with a potential respondent. All interview breakoffs and refusals were re-contacted at least once in order to attempt to convert them to completed interviews. In each contacted household, interviewers asked to speak with the "youngest male 18 or older who is at home". If there is no eligible man at home, interviewers asked to speak with "the oldest woman 18 or older who lives in the household". This systematic respondent selection technique has been shown empirically to produce samples that closely mirror the population in terms of age and gender.

Non-response in telephone interview surveys produces some known biases in survey-derived estimates because participation tends to vary for different subgroups of the population, and these subgroups are likely to vary also on questions of substantive interest. In order to compensate for these known biases, the sample data are weighted in analysis.

The demographic weighting parameters are derived from a special analysis of the most recently available Census Bureau's Current Population Survey (March 1994). This analysis produced population parameters for the demographic characteristics of households with adults 18 or older, which are then compared with the sample characteristics to construct sample weights. The analysis only included households in the continental United States that contain a telephone.

The weights are derived using an iterative technique that simultaneously balances the distributions of all weighting parameters.

## THE QUESTIONNAIRE

# PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE AND THE PRESS <br> JANUARY 1997 NEWS INTEREST INDEX <br> -- FINAL TOPLINE -- <br> January 9-12, 1997 <br> $\mathrm{N}=1,503$ 

Hello, I am $\qquad$ calling for Princeton Survey Research Associates in Princeton, New Jersey. We are conducting a telephone opinion survey for leading newspapers and TV stations around the country. I'd like to ask a few questions of the youngest male, 18 years of age or older, who is now at home. [IF NO MALE, ASK: May I please speak with the oldest female, 18 years of age or older, who is now at home?]
Q. 1 Do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as President? [IF DK ENTER AS DK. IF DEPENDS PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall do you approve or disapprove of the way Bill Clinton is handling his job as President? IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK]
Approve Disapprove Don't Know

| January, 1997 | 59 | 31 | $10=100$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| July, 1996 | 54 | 38 | $8=100$ |
| June, 1996 | 54 | 38 | $8=100$ |
| April, 1996 | 53 | 39 | $8=100$ |
| March, 1996 | 55 | 38 | $7=100$ |
| February, 1996 | 51 | 39 | $10=100$ |
| January, 1996 | 50 | 43 | $7=100$ |
| October, 1995 | 48 | 42 | $10=100$ |
| September, 1995 | 45 | 42 | $13=100$ |
| August, 1995 | 44 | 44 | $12=100$ |
| June, 1995 | 50 | 40 | $10=100$ |
| April, 1995 | 47 | 43 | $10=100$ |
| March, 1995 | 44 | 44 | $12=100$ |
| February, 1995 | 44 | 44 | $12=100$ |
| December, 1994 | 41 | 47 | $12=100$ |
| November, 1994 | 48 | 40 | $12=100$ |
| October, 1994 | 41 | 47 | $12=100$ |
| Early October, 1994 | 38 | 47 | 15=100 |
| September, 1994 | 41 | 52 | $7=100$ |
| July, 1994 | 45 | 46 | $9=100$ |
| June, 1994 | 42 | 44 | $14=100$ |
| May, 1994 | 46 | 42 | $12=100$ |
| March, 1994 | 45 | 42 | $13=100$ |
| January, 1994 | 51 | 35 | $14=100$ |
| Early January, 1994 | 48 | 35 | $17=100$ |
| December, 1993 | 48 | 36 | 16=100 |
| October, 1993 | 44 | 42 | $14=100$ |
| September, 1993 | 49 | 35 | 16=100 |
| Early September, 1993 | 43 | 43 | $14=100$ |
| August, 1993 | 39 | 46 | $15=100$ |
| May, 1993 | 39 | 43 | $18=100$ |
| Early May, 1993 | 45 | 37 | $18=100$ |
| April, 1993 | 49 | 29 | 22=100 |
| February, 1993 | 56 | 25 | $19=100$ |

ASK Q.1a OF FORM 1 ONLY: [ $N=755$ ]
Q.1aF1 Do you approve or disapprove of the policies and proposals of the Republican leaders in Congress? (IF "DON'T KNOW," ENTER AS CODE 9. IF "DEPENDS," PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall, do you approve or disapprove of the proposals and policies of the Republican leaders in Congress? IF STILL "DEPENDS," ENTER AS CODE 9.)

|  |  | July | June | April March | Feb | Jan | Oct | Sept | Aug | June | April March | Dec |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1996}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1995}$ | $\underline{1994^{3}}$ |
| 38 | Approve | 38 | 36 | 39 | 35 | 33 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 38 | 41 | 44 | 43 | 52 |
| 43 | Disapprove | 48 | 50 | 46 | 51 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 50 | 45 | 45 | 43 | 39 | 28 |
| $\frac{19}{100}$ | DK/Refused | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{15}$ | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{10}$ | $\underline{13}$ | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{17}$ | $\underline{14}$ | $\underline{13}$ | $\underline{18}$ | $\underline{20}$ |
|  |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

## ASK Q.1b OF FORM 2 ONLY: [N = 748]

Q.1bF2 Do you approve or disapprove of the job the Republican leaders in Congress are doing? [IF DK ENTER AS DK. IF DEPENDS PROBE ONCE WITH: Overall do you approve or disapprove of the job the Republican leaders in Congress are doing? IF STILL DEPENDS ENTER AS DK]

38 Approve
47 Disapprove
15 Don't know/Refused
100

ASK Q. 2 OF FORM 1 ONLY: [ $\mathbf{N}=755$ ]
Q.2F1 What do you think was the single most important news event that happened in the nation or in the world in 1996? (ACCEPT MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

53 DOMESTIC STORIES
16 Presidential campaign/Election
14 TWA Plane Crash
4 Oklahoma city bombing
3 O J Simpson Case/Trial
2 Olympic bombing
2 Ongoing Whitewater investigation
2 Summer Olympics in Atlanta
1 Welfare reform
1 Gingrich ethics violation/Investigation
1 Plane crashes (unspecified)
1 Congressional election
1 Natural disasters
1 Federal government shutdown
1 Unabomber arrest
1 Economy
6 Other
11 INTERNATIONAL STORIES
5 Sending troops to Bosnia/Ongoing conflict
2 Breakdown of Mid-East peace/Renewed conflict
1 Bombing of US Base in Saudi Arabia
1 Rabin assassination
3 Other
37 Don't know/Refused

ASK Q.2a OF FORM 2 ONLY: [ $\mathrm{N}=748$ ]
Q.2aF2 What one word best describes your REACTION to Bill Clinton's starting a second term in office? Tell me just the ONE best word that describes your reaction. (ACCEPT UP TO TWO RESPONSES)

## SEE PAGE 7 FOR "TOP 20" RESPONSES.

## ASK ALL:

ON A DIFFERENT SUBJECT...
Q. 3 All in all, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in this country today?

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ \underline{1996} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ 1996 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct } \\ \underline{1995} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1995 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ 1994 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Mar } \\ 1994 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Early Oct 1993 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sept } \\ 1993 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { June } \\ 1993 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ 1993 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ \underline{1992} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nov } \\ & 1991 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ 1990 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Feb } \\ 1989 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ \underline{1988} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jan } \\ \underline{1988} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | Satisfied | 29 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 24 | 22 | 20 | 22 | 39 | 28 | 34 | 41 | 45 | 41 | 39 |
| 58 | Dissatisfied | 67 | 70 | 73 | 74 | 73 | 71 | 73 | 75 | 71 | 50 | 68 | 61 | 54 | 50 | 54 | 55 |
| $\frac{4}{100}$ | No Opinion | $\frac{4}{100}$ | $\frac{2}{100}$ | $\frac{4}{100}$ | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\frac{3}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ | $\frac{4}{100}$ | $\frac{7}{100}$ | $\frac{11}{100}$ | $\frac{4}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ | $\frac{5}{100}$ | $\frac{6}{100}$ |

Q.3a Please tell me how much you agree or disagree with the following statement... Most people in this country are trustworthy. Would you say you completely agree, mostly agree, mostly DISagree, or completely disagree?

3 Completely agree
54 Mostly agree
33 Mostly disagree
8 Completely disagree
2 Don't know/Refused
100
Q. 4 Now I will read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past month. As I read each item, tell me if you happened to follow this news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely? [READ AND ROTATE LIST]

SPLIT FORM ITEMS AS INDICATED: FORM 1 [N = 755]; FORM 2 [N=748]

| Very | Fairly | Not too | Not at all | VOL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Closely | Closely | Closely | Closely | DK |

a.F1 Protests and demonstrations in Belgrade against Serbian President Milosevic
$7 \quad 14$
December, 1996
$10 \quad 16$

| 29 | 49 | $1=100$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | 49 | $1=100$ |

b. Charges of improper campaign contributions to the Democrats by Indonesian business interests December, 1996

| 17 | 29 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 22 | 26 |

26
$28 \quad *=100$
Dece

## $22 \quad 26$

22
30
*=100
c. Bill Clinton's cabinet choices and other high level appointments for his second term

| 15 | 32 | 30 | 23 | $*=100$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 24 | 42 | 22 | 11 | $1=100$ |

Q. 4 con't ...

SPLIT FORM ITEMS AS INDICATED

| Very | Fairly | Not too | Not at all | VOL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Closely | Closely | $\underline{\text { Closely }}$ | $\underline{\text { Closely }}$ | $\underline{\text { DK }}$ |

d. Charges that Newt Gingrich violated House ethics rules

23
35
e.F2 The hostage crisis at the Japanese Embassy in Peru
f.F1 Floods in the Pacific Northwest
g. The controversy over treating black English, or Ebonics, as a second language in school
$22 \quad 34 \quad 22 \quad 21 \quad 1=100$
h. The new ratings system for television programs 1
i.F2 Renewed tensions between Israelis and Palestinians over Hebron May, $1988^{4}$

| 12 | 23 | 29 | 35 | $1=100$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| 18 | 37 | 34 | 9 | $2=100$ |

j. The discussion and debate about expanding NATO into Eastern Europe

5
1

29
3 Security system
$35 \quad 22$
$131=100$

## ON ANOTHER SUBJECT...

Q. 5 Right now, which is more important for President Clinton to focus on... domestic policy or foreign policy?

| Dec | Oct |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{1994}$ | $\underline{1993}$ |


| 86 | Domestic policy | 85 | 76 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | Foreign policy | 7 | 13 |
| $*$ | Neither (VOL) | 2 | $*$ |
| 5 | Both (VOL) | 4 | 7 |
| $\frac{2}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\frac{2}{100}$ | $\frac{4}{100}$ | territories."

Q. 6 Now a few questions about priorities for President Clinton and the new Congress this year. As I read from a list tell me if you think the item that I read should be a priority. First... should (READ AND ROTATE) be a top priority, important but lower priority, not too important, or should it not be done?

|  |  | Top Priority | Important But lower Priority | Not too <br> Important | Should Not be Done | DK/ <br> Refused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a.F1 | Improving the job situation | 66 | 26 | 5 | 2 | $1=100$ |
|  | December, 1994 | 64 | 27 | 5 | 2 | $2=100$ |
| b.F2 | Reforming health care | 56 | 32 | 7 | 4 | $1=100$ |
|  | December, 1994 | 54 | 27 | 9 | 7 | $3=100$ |
| c.F1 | Cutting the capital gains tax | 29 | 38 | 17 | 8 | $8=100$ |
|  | December, 1994 | 27 | 38 | 16 | 7 | $12=100$ |
| d.F2 | Reducing crime | 70 | 25 | 3 | 2 | *=100 |
|  | December, 1994 | 78 | 17 | 2 | 1 | $2=100$ |
| e.F1 | Reducing the budget deficit | 60 | 30 | 5 | 2 | $3=100$ |
|  | December, 1994 | 65 | 26 | 5 | 1 | $3=100$ |
| f.F2 | Reducing federal income taxes for the middle class | 42 | 38 | 10 | 8 | $2=100$ |
|  | December, 1994 | 53 | 32 | 9 | 3 | $3=100$ |
| g.F1 | Reforming the campaign finance system | 31 | 37 | 23 | 5 | $4=100$ |
| h.F2 | Improving the educational system | 75 | 20 | 3 | 2 | *=100 |
| i.F1 | Taking steps to make the Social Security system financially sound | 75 | 20 | 2 | 2 | $1=100$ |
| j.F2 | Taking steps to make the Medicare system financially sound | 64 | 31 | 3 | 1 | $1=100$ |
| k.F1 | Working to reduce racial tensions | 50 | 34 | 9 | 5 | $2=100$ |
| 1.F2 | Dealing with the problems of poor and needy people | 57 | 35 | 6 | 2 | *=100 |
| m.F1 | Protecting the environment | 54 | 35 | 8 | 2 | $1=100$ |
| n.F2 | Dealing with the moral breakdown in the country | 52 | 29 | 10 | 6 | $3=100$ |

Q. 7 Now I'd like your views on some people and things in the news. As I read from a list, please tell me which category best describes your overall opinion of who or what I name. (First,) would you say your overall opinion of... (INSERT ITEM. ROTATE a-f; THEN ROTATE g-j) is very favorable, mostly favorable, mostly UNfavorable, or very unfavorable? (INTERVIEWERS: PROBE TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN "NEVER HEARD OF" AND "CAN'T RATE")
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { Favor- } \\ \text { able }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mostly } \\ \text { Favor- } \\ \text { able }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Mostly } \\ \text { Unfavor- } \\ \text { able }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Very } \\ \text { Unfavor- } \\ \text { able }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Never } \\ \text { Heard }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Of }\end{array} \\ \text { a. Rate }\end{array}\right]$

[^2]Q. 7 con't ...

|  |  | Very Favorable | Mostly Favorable | Mostly Unfavorable | Very Unfavorable | Never Heard Of | Can't <br> Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| d. | Al Gore | 18 | 47 | 21 | 8 | 1 | $5=100$ |
|  | July, 1994 | 18 | 49 | 19 | 7 | 2 | $5=100$ |
|  | August, 1992 | 22 | 44 | 15 | 5 | 2 | $12=100$ |
|  | July, 1992 ${ }^{6}$ | 15 | 32 | 14 | 5 | 6 | $28=100$ |
|  | September, 1987 | 6 | 23 | 11 | 3 | 23 | 34=100 |
| e. | Secretary of State designate, Madeleine Albright | 13 | 44 | 12 | 4 | 9 | $18=100$ |
|  | February, 1995 | 2 | 16 | 11 | 5 | 47 | $19=100$ |
| f. | Treasury Secretary, Robert Rubin | 5 | 38 | 14 | 3 | 18 | $22=100$ |
| g. | Congress | 6 | 50 | 32 | 8 | * | $4=100$ |
|  | June, 1996 | 6 | 39 | 38 | 12 | * | $5=100$ |
|  | April, 1996 | 6 | 39 | 37 | 13 | 0 | $5=100$ |
|  | January, 1996 | 4 | 38 | 38 | 16 | * | $4=100$ |
|  | October, 1995 | 4 | 38 | 42 | 13 | 0 | $3=100$ |
|  | August, 1995 | 5 | 40 | 34 | 13 | * | $7=100$ |
|  | June, 1995 | 8 | 45 | 31 | 11 | * | $5=100$ |
|  | February, 1995 | 10 | 44 | 27 | 10 | 0 | $9=100$ |
|  | July, 1994 | 7 | 46 | 34 | 9 | * | $4=100$ |
|  | May, 1993 | 8 | 35 | 35 | 13 | 0 | $9=100$ |
|  | November, 1991 | 7 | 44 | 34 | 9 | 0 | $6=100$ |
|  | May, 1990 | 6 | 53 | 25 | 9 | 1 | $6=100$ |
|  | May, 1988 | 8 | 56 | 23 | 5 | 0 | $8=100$ |
|  | January, 1988 | 6 | 58 | 25 | 4 | 0 | $7=100$ |
|  | May, 1987 | 10 | 64 | 16 | 4 | * | $6=100$ |
|  | January, 1987 | 7 | 52 | 23 | 8 | 0 | $10=100$ |
|  | June, 1985 | 9 | 58 | 21 | 5 | * | $7=100$ |
| h. | The Democratic Party | 13 | 47 | 28 | 7 | * | $5=100$ |
|  | October, 1995 | 9 | 40 | 37 | 11 | - | $3=100$ |
|  | July, 1994 | 13 | 49 | 27 | 7 | * | $4=100$ |
|  | May, 1993 | 14 | 43 | 25 | 9 | 0 | $9=100$ |
|  | July, 1992 | 17 | 44 | 24 | 9 | , | $6=100$ |
| i. | The Republican Party | 8 | 44 | 33 | 10 | * | $5=100$ |
|  | October, 1995 | 10 | 42 | 28 | 16 | * | $4=100$ |
|  | July, 1994 | 12 | 51 | 25 | 8 | * | $4=100$ |
|  | May, 1993 | 12 | 42 | 25 | 10 | 0 | $11=100$ |
|  | July, 1992 | 9 | 37 | 31 | 17 | * | $6=100$ |

[^3]Q. 7 con't ...


## ON ANOTHER SUBJECT...

Q. 12 Overall, how much trust and confidence do you have in your STATE government to do a good job in carrying out its responsibilities... a great deal, a fair amount, not very much, or none at all?

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & 1992 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | ------- Gallup ${ }^{7}$------- |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June $\underline{1987}$ | June $\underline{1976}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { April } \\ & 1974 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | May $\underline{1972}$ |
| 17 | A great deal |  | 5 | 11 | 13 | 16 | 15 |
| 53 | A fair amount | 46 | 62 | 59 | 59 | 48 |
| 23 | Not very much | 36 | 19 | 19 | 17 | 27 |
| 6 | None at all | 8 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 6 |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused -- GO TO Q. 14 | $\underline{5}$ | 4 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{5}$ | 4 |
| 100 |  | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |

Q. 13 Do you say that you have (INSERT RESPONSE FROM Q.12; FOR '4' NONE AT ALL, INSERT 'NO'

AND OMIT 'OF') of trust and confidence in your state government to do a good job in carrying out its responsibilities mainly because of what you've heard or read OR because of things you've personally experienced?

58 Heard/read

29 Personally experienced
11 Both (VOL)

2 Don't know/Refused
100

[^4]
## ASK ALL:

Q. 14 In which level of government -- federal, state or local -- do you have the most trust and confidence to handle each of the following problems most effectively... (READ AND ROTATE)?

|  |  | Federal | State | Local | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VOL } \\ & \text { All } \end{aligned}$ | VOL <br> None | DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Provide services to immigrants | 62 | 19 | 11 | 1 | 2 | $5=100$ |
|  | Gallup: May, 1990 | 60 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 7 | $11=100$ |
| b. | Provide job training | 20 | 45 | 30 | 1 | 2 | $2=100$ |
|  | Gallup: May, 1990 | 24 | 37 | 23 | 2 | 6 | $8=100$ |
| c. | Provide EARLY education to low income children | 25 | 39 | 32 | 1 | 1 | $2=100$ |
| d. | Provide health care for the disabled, poor and elderly | 44 | 36 | 15 | 1 | 2 | $2=100$ |
|  | Gallup: May, 1990 | 36 | 28 | 18 | 3 | 10 | 5=100 |
| e. | Fight crime | 24 | 26 | 42 | 4 | 2 | $2=100$ |
| f. | Establish rules on who can receive welfare or public |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | assistance for poor people | 28 | 44 | 23 | 1 | 1 | $3=100$ |
| g. | Protect civil rights | 59 | 21 | 13 | 2 | 2 | $3=100$ |

## NO QUESTION 15

## NOW A FEW QUESTIONS ABOUT TELEVISION...

Q. 16 What would you say bothers you more: the amount of violence on TV or the amount of sex on TV?

Feb
1993

| 43 | Violence | 37 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 24 | Sex | 30 |
| 24 | Both equally (VOL) | 25 |
| 8 | Neither (VOL) | -- |
| $\frac{1}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{8}$ |

Q. 17 How do you feel about the amount of violence portrayed on television programs today, not including news programs? Do you think there is too much violence, a reasonable amount, or very little violence?

|  |  | Feb | Harris |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\underline{1993}$ | $\underline{1971}$ |
| 75 | Too much violence | 72 | 71 |
| 21 | A reasonable amount | 25 | 24 |
| 2 | Very little violence | 2 | 3 |
| $\frac{2}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\frac{1}{100}$ | $\underline{100}$ |

Q. 18 As you may know, the television industry recently adopted a new ratings system for television programs. How well do you feel you understand this new ratings system... very well, fairly well, just somewhat well, or not very well at all?

21 Very well
30 Fairly well
20 Just somewhat well
27 Not very well at all
$\underline{2}$ Don't know/Refused
100
Q. 19 Since this new system has been in place, have you yourself seen the rating for a specific show while watching television, OR have you not noticed ratings on any of the shows you've been watching?

42 Yes, have seen ratings
54 No, haven't seen ratings
3 Don't watch TV/Don't have a TV (VOL)
1 Don't know/Refused
100
Q. 20 How helpful do you think the new ratings system will be in helping parents decide which television shows their children should be allowed to watch... will it be very helpful, somewhat helpful, not too helpful or not at all helpful?

## 27 Very helpful

42 Somewhat helpful
18 Not too helpful
$9 \quad$ Not at all helpful
$\frac{4}{100}$ Don't know/Refused
100
Q. 21 Are you the parent or guardian of any children under 18 now living in your household? ASK Q.21a-Q. 25 OF PARENTS ONLY (Q.21=1):

36 Yes -- GO TO Q.21a
How many of those children are between the ages of 14 and 17 ?
How many of those children are between the ages of 8 and 13 ?
How many of those children are between the ages of 5 and 7 ?
How many of those children are under the age of 5 ?
64 No -- GO TO Q. 26
0 Don't know/Refused -- GO TO Q. 26
100

## Q.22-25 BASED ON PARENTS: $\mathrm{N}=552$

Q. 22 When your (child watches/children watch) TV, how often do you watch WITH (him or her/them)... usually, about half the time, only sometimes, or hardly ever?

9 Always (VOL)
35 Usually
31 Half the time

16 Sometimes

8 Hardly ever/Never
1 Don't know/Refused
100
( $\mathrm{N}=552$ )
Q. 23 When your (child is watching/children are watching) TV and you're NOT with (him or her/them), about how often do you know WHAT (he or she is/they are) watching... usually, about half the time, only sometimes, or hardly ever?

| 18 | Always (VOL) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 55 | Usually |
| 13 | Half the time |
| 9 | Sometimes |
| 4 | Hardly ever/Never |
| $\frac{1}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused |
| $\mathbf{( N = 5 5 2 )}$ |  |

Q. 24 Does your family have specific rules about which times of day your (child/children) can watch TV and which times of day (he or she/they) can't?

46 Yes
53 No
$\frac{1}{100}$ Don't know/Refused
( $\mathrm{N}=552$ )
Q. 25 How concerned are you that your (child is/children are) being exposed to too much (INSERT ITEM; ROTATE) in the TV shows (he or she watches/they watch)... a great deal, somewhat, not too much, or not at all? $(N=552)$

|  | Great <br> Deal | Some- <br> $\underline{\text { what }}$ | Not too <br> much | Not at all <br> concerned | $\underline{\text { DK }}$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. | Violent content | 54 | 26 | 11 | 9 | $*=100$ |
| b. | Sexual content | 54 | 25 | 11 | 10 | $*=100$ |
| c. | Adult language | 51 | 27 | 13 | 9 | $*=100$ |

## ASK ALL:

## ON ANOTHER SUBJECT..

## ROTATE Q.26/27 AND Q. 28

Q. 26 As you may know, the Democratic National Campaign Committee received campaign contributions which came indirectly from foreign sources in Indonesia. Do you think this is an important issue or not important?

## W. Post

Dec 1996

| 70 | Important | 68 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 26 | Not important | 29 |
| $\frac{4}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{3}$ |
| 100 |  |  |

Q. 27 Do you think a special committee should be set up in Congress to investigate these charges, or not?

## Based on Voters

Nov 1996

| 63 | Yes | 54 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 33 | No | 42 |
| $\frac{4}{100}$ | Don't know/Refused | $\underline{4}$ |
| 100 |  |  |

Q. 28 As you may know, Speaker Newt Gingrich recently admitted that he violated House rules by giving false statements to the House Ethics Committee about his use of tax deductible contributions. Do you think this is an important issue or not important?

79 Important
18 Not important
3 Don't know/Refused 100
Q. 29 Which would you say is more important, the investigation into the Democratic campaign contributions from foreign sources OR the investigation into charges against Newt Gingrich?

39 DNC contributions
36 Gingrich activities
18 Both equally important (VOL)
$\frac{7}{100} \quad$ Don't know/Refused
100


[^0]:    $1 \quad$ Times Mirror Center nationwide survey February 1995.
    2 November percentage is based on voters.

[^1]:    * The designation, Hispanic, is unrelated to the white-black categorization.
    ** These items were only asked of half the sample; the ' N ' does not apply.

[^2]:    5
    October 1996 trend based on registered voters.

[^3]:    6 July 1992 trend based on 461 respondents asked on July 9, 1992 only.

[^4]:    7
    Question wording for June 1976, April 1974 and May 1972 was as follows: "Overall, how much trust and confidence do you have in the government of this state where you live when it comes to handling state problems: a great deal, a fair amount, not very much, or none at all?"

