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## As Sequester Deadline Looms, Little Support for Cutting Most Programs

As the March 1 deadline for a possible budget sequester approaches, a new national survey finds limited public support for reducing spending for a range of specific programs, including defense, entitlements, education and health care.

For 18 of 19 programs tested, majorities want either to increase spending or maintain it at current levels. The only exception is assistance for needy people around the world. Nonetheless, as many say that funding for aid to the needy overseas should either be increased (21\%), or kept the same (28\%), as decreased (48\%).

The survey by the Pew Research Center, conducted Feb. 13-18, 2013 among 1,504 adults, finds little change in attitudes about government spending since 2011. One notable exception: somewhat fewer support reducing military defense spending, which would bear a major share of the sequester cuts.

In the current poll, $24 \%$ say that if they were making up the federal government's budget this year they would decrease spending for military defense, down from 30\% two years ago. More than seven-in-ten either support

Public Rejects Cuts in Government Spending in Most Areas

Would you increase, decrease or keep spending the same for..

|  | Increase | Same | Decrease |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aid to world's needy |  | 21 | 28 | 48 |
| State Department | 14 | 46 | 34 |  |
| Unemployment aid | 24 | 41 | 32 |  |
| Military defense | 32 | 41 | 24 |  |
| Aid to needy in U.S. | 27 | 44 | 24 |  |
| Health care | 38 | 34 | 22 |  |
| Envergy | 36 | 38 | 21 |  |
| Scientific research | 37 | 40 | 20 |  |
| Agriculture | 34 | 42 | 20 |  |
| Anti-terrorism defenses | 32 | 45 | 19 |  |
| Roads and infrastructure | 38 | 43 | 17 |  |
| Medicare | 36 | 46 | 15 |  |
| Combating crime | 41 | 41 | 14 |  |
| Food and drug inspection | 33 | 50 | 14 |  |
| Natural disaster relief | 34 | 50 | 12 |  |
| Education | 60 | 29 | 10 |  |
| Social Security | 41 | 46 | 10 |  |
| Veterans' benefits | 53 | 38 | 6 |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Feb. 13-18, 2013. Q28 increasing defense spending (32\%) or maintaining it at current levels (41\%).

There continue to be sizable partisan differences in views of funding for government programs. For most, substantially larger shares of Republicans than Democrats support
decreased funding. Yet there are only two possible reductions that draw majority support from Republicans - foreign aid (70\%) and unemployment assistance (56\%). There is no program among the 19 included in the survey that even a plurality of Democrats wants to see decreased.

An earlier report on this survey showed that $70 \%$ think it is essential for the president and Congress to pass major legislation to reduce the federal budget deficit this year. That portion of the survey, conducted in collaboration with USA TODAY, also found that more Americans want the focus of deficit-reduction efforts to be mostly on spending reductions rather than tax increases.

Yet the survey also finds higher percentages support increases rather than decreases in spending for education, veterans' benefits, entitlements and other programs. Six-in-ten ( $60 \%$ ) say they would increase education funding, while $53 \%$ want funding for veterans' benefits and services to grow and $41 \%$ say the same about spending on Social Security.

Partisans Differ on Gov't Spending

In 16 of 19 areas, Republicans are more likely than Democrats to support cutting spending. Only in the areas of military and anti-terrorism spending are Democrats more supportive of cuts than Republicans. There are no partisan differences about decreasing funding for veterans' benefits.

The largest partisan gaps are over aid to needy people both in the U.S. and abroad. Seven-inten Republicans (70\%) say foreign aid should be decreased, compared with just a quarter (25\%) of Democrats. Similarly, while $56 \%$ of Republicans say spending on unemployment assistance should be decreased, just $13 \%$ of Democrats agree.

By large margins, Democrats also are less supportive of cuts to health care, environmental protection and scientific research. While 44\% of Republicans say federal funding for health care should be decreased, just $7 \%$ of Democrats do (in fact, a majority of Democrats - $58 \%$ - say federal spending on health care should be increased).

While Democrats are more likely than Republicans to favor cutting military spending, about as many Democrats say funding for the military should be increased as decreased ( $28 \%$ vs. $32 \%$ ), and about twice as many say anti-terrorism funding should be increased as decreased (36\% vs. 18\%)

Spending Cuts Divide Parties

| Would you increase, decrease or keep spending the same for... |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rep } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Dem <br> \% | Ind $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-D } \\ & \text { gap } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aid to world's needy | Decrease | 70 | 25 | 52 | +45 |
|  | Increase | 7 | 33 | 19 | -26 |
| Unemployment assistance | Decrease | 56 | 13 | 32 | +43 |
|  | Increase | 9 | 41 | 20 | -32 |
| Aid to needy in the U.S. | Decrease | 49 | 9 | 25 | +40 |
|  | Increase | 9 | 39 | 28 | -30 |
| Health care | Decrease | 44 | 7 | 23 | +37 |
|  | Increase | 16 | 58 | 34 | -42 |
| Environmental protection | Decrease | 40 | 8 | 21 | +32 |
|  | Increase | 12 | 52 | 31 | -40 |
| Scientific research | Decrease | 32 | 10 | 21 | +22 |
|  | Increase | 22 | 47 | 38 | -25 |
| Energy | Decrease | 30 | 12 | 23 | +18 |
|  | Increase | 25 | 46 | 36 | -21 |
| Medicare | Decrease | 21 | 7 | 16 | +14 |
|  | Increase | 24 | 52 | 31 | -28 |
| Natural disaster relief | Decrease | 21 | 7 | 13 | +14 |
|  | Increase | 24 | 40 | 34 | -16 |
| State <br> Department | Decrease | 41 | 25 | 36 | +16 |
|  | Increase | 15 | 12 | 15 | +3 |
| Social Security | Decrease | 17 | 3 | 12 | +14 |
|  | Increase | 35 | 49 | 37 | -14 |
| Food and drug inspection | Decrease | 23 | 8 | 15 | +15 |
|  | Increase | 18 | 46 | 32 | -28 |
| Agriculture | Decrease | 28 | 16 | 20 | +12 |
|  | Increase | 30 | 39 | 31 | -9 |
| Education | Decrease | 15 | 5 | 10 | +10 |
|  | Increase | 46 | 75 | 57 | -29 |
| Roads and infrastructure | Decrease | 21 | 14 | 16 | +7 |
|  | Increase | 28 | 39 | 43 | -11 |
| Combating crime | Decrease | 16 | 10 | 15 | +6 |
|  | Increase | 40 | 52 | 34 | -12 |
| Veterans' benefits | Decrease | 7 | 6 | 6 | +1 |
|  | Increase | 55 | 51 | 53 | +4 |
| Anti-terrorism defenses | Decrease | 10 | 18 | 26 | -8 |
|  | Increase | 36 | 36 | 27 | 0 |
| Military defense | Decrease | 11 | 32 | 26 | -23 |
|  | Increase | 48 | 28 | 27 | +20 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Feb 13-18, 2013. Q28. Percent saying "keep spending the same" not shown.

Conversely, while Republicans are more supportive than Democrats of cutting funding for Medicare, Social Security and food and drug inspection, these remain minority positions within the GOP. More Republicans want to increase, rather than decrease, funding for Social Security ( $35 \%$ vs. 17\%). And Republicans are as likely to say funding for Medicare should be increased as to say it should be decreased ( $24 \%$ vs. 21\%).

## Little Change in Opinions

Public attitudes about government spending are relatively unchanged from 2011; across 14 of the 16 issues where 2011 trends are available there is no significant shift in public opinion over the last few years.

In contrast to most other areas, there has been a drop in public support for increasing spending to provide economic assistance to needy people in the U.S.: $27 \%$ want this funding increased today, down from $42 \%$ in 2011. Although there remain significant partisan and demographic differences in support for spending on the needy in the U.S., the drop in support for increasing spending is seen across both party and socioeconomic lines.

Attitudes about military defense spending, which would be subject to the largest cuts under the budgets sequester, have also shifted slightly. There is now is somewhat less support for decreasing spending on

Spending Views Largely Unchanged since 2011

| Would you increase, decrease or keep spending the same for... |  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013 \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 11-13 } \\ \text { diff } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aid to world's needy | Decrease | 34 | 45 | 48 | +3 |
|  | Increase | 26 | 21 | 21 | 0 |
| State Department and American embassies | Decrease | 28 | -- | 34 | -- |
|  | Increase | 9 | -- | 14 | -- |
| Unemployment assistance | Decrease | 15 | 28 | 32 | +4 |
|  | Increase | 44 | 27 | 24 | -3 |
| Military defense | Decrease | 18 | 30 | 24 | -6 |
|  | Increase | 40 | 31 | 32 | +1 |
| Aid to needy people in the U.S. | Decrease | -- | 20 | 24 | +4 |
|  | Increase | -- | 42 | 27 | -15 |
| Health care | Decrease | 10 | 24 | 22 | -2 |
|  | Increase | 61 | 41 | 38 | -3 |
| Environmental protection | Decrease | 16 | 26 | 22 | -4 |
|  | Increase | 43 | 36 | 33 | -3 |
| Energy | Decrease | 15 | 23 | 21 | -2 |
|  | Increase | 41 | 36 | 36 | 0 |
| Scientific research | Decrease | 14 | 23 | 20 | -3 |
|  | Increase | 39 | 36 | 37 | +1 |
| Agriculture | Decrease | 12 | 23 | 20 | -3 |
|  | Increase | 35 | 32 | 34 | +2 |
| U.S. anti-terrorism defenses | Increase | 35 | 33 | 32 | -1 |
|  | Decrease | 17 | 21 | 19 | -2 |
| Rebuilding roads and bridges | Decrease | -- | 21 | 17 | -4 |
|  | Increase | -- | 38 | 38 | 0 |
| Medicare | Decrease | 6 | 12 | 15 | +3 |
|  | Increase | 53 | 40 | 36 | -4 |
| Combating crime | Decrease | 10 | 18 | 14 | -4 |
|  | Increase | 45 | 39 | 41 | +2 |
| Education | Decrease | 6 | 11 | 10 | -1 |
|  | Increase | 67 | 62 | 60 | -2 |
| Social Security | Decrease | -- | 12 | 10 | -2 |
|  | Increase | -- | 41 | 41 | 0 |
| Veterans' benefits and services | Decrease | 2 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
|  | Increase | 63 | 51 | 53 | +2 |

[^0]military defense ( $24 \%$ today, $30 \%$ in 2011).

The overall stability of public opinion over the last two years stands in contrast to the shift from 2009 to 2011, when there was a drop in support for increases in spending across many of these same areas (See Fewer Want Spending to Grow, But Most Cuts Remain Unpopular. February 10, 2011.)

## Long-Term Trends

While there has been little change in public views on government spending within the last two years, the longterm trend over the past quarter-century is, for the most part, away from spending growth.

When the question was first asked in 1987, a 64\% majority felt that Social Security spending should be increased; this stands at 41\% in the new survey. Similarly, support for increased federal spending on health care has fallen from $72 \%$ to $38 \%$ since 1987, and the share favoring more spending on

environmental protection has declined from $59 \%$ to $33 \%$.

While the trends are shorter, two other issues have seen similar trajectories. When first asked in 1994, $71 \%$ supported more federal spending on combating crime. This figure stands at $41 \%$ today. And even just over the past 12 years, the share saying education spending should be increased has fallen from $76 \%$ to $60 \%$.

## Views of Defense Spending

Public views on military defense spending have shifted substantially over the past quartercentury. In 1990, during George H.W. Bush's presidency, $40 \%$ wanted to decrease defense spending and just $18 \%$ favored increasing it. By contrast, in February 2002, following the Sept. 11 terrorist attacks, a $60 \%$ majority backed increased spending on military defense, with just $5 \%$ saying spending should be lowered.

Currently, there is no public consensus on defense spending: $32 \%$ say it should be increased, virtually unchanged from $31 \%$ two years ago. About a quarter (24\%) say it should be decreased, down from $30 \%$ in 2011. And a plurality (41\%) say defense spending should be kept the same.

After 9/11 Spike, Fewer Back Defense Spending Growth


PEW RESEARCH CENTER Feb. 13-18, 2013. Q28k. Keep spending same not shown.

Beyond the significant political divide over defense spending, there also are differences of opinion by age and education. Those younger than 30 are far more likely to support defense spending cuts (36\%) than are those 65 and older (13\%).

The divide also is stark along educational lines: college graduates are twice as likely to back defense spending cuts compared with those who have not attended college ( $38 \%$ vs. $18 \%$ ).

Age and Education Differences on Defense Spending

| Military defense <br> spending | In- <br> crease <br> $\%$ | De- <br> crease | Keep the <br> same | N |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 32 | 24 | 41 | 764 |
| Men | 32 | 26 | 41 | 376 |
| Women | 32 | 23 | 40 | 388 |
| $18-29$ | 31 | 36 | 22 | 116 |
| $30-49$ | 27 | 20 | 51 | 232 |
| $50-64$ | 38 | 28 | 33 | 205 |
| 65+ | 36 | 13 | 45 | 190 |
| College grad+ | 20 | 38 | 41 | 282 |
| Some college | 35 | 20 | 42 | 188 |
| HS or less | 38 | 18 | 40 | 287 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Feb. $13-18,2013$. | Q28k. |  |  |  |

## About the Survey

The analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted February 13-18, 2013 among a national sample of 1,504 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia ( 752 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 752 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 364 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see http://peoplepress.org/methodology/

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin and nativity and region to parameters from the 2011 Census Bureau's American Community Survey and population density to parameters from the Decennial Census. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2012 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size among respondents with a landline phone. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

| Group | Unweighted sample size | Plus or minus... |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample | 1,504 | 2.9 percentage points |
| Form 1 | 740 | 4.2 percentage points |
| Republicans | 164 | 8.9 percentage points |
| Democrats | 232 | 7.5 percentage points |
| Independents | 312 | 6.5 percentage points |
| Form 2 | 764 | 4.1 percentage points |
| Republicans | 202 | 8.0 percentage points |
| Democrats | 238 | 7.4 percentage points |
| Independents | 292 | 6.7 percentage points |

Note that the spending questions in this release (Q28) were each asked only of about half of respondents (one form). Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE \& THE PRESS FEBRUARY 2013 POLITICAL SURVEY <br> FINAL TOPLINE <br> February 13-18, 2013 <br> $\mathrm{N}=1,504$

QUESTIONS 1-4, 7-8, 10-12, 15-20 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED
NO QUESTIONS 5-6, 9, 13-14, 21, 24-27
QUESTIONS 22-23 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ASK ALL:

Next,
Q. 28 If you were making up the budget for the federal government this year, would you increase spending, decrease spending or keep spending the same for [INSERT FIRST ITEM, RANDOMIZE, OBSERVE FORM SPLITS]? What about for [NEXT ITEM]? [REPEAT AS NECESSARY, AT LEAST EVERY THIRD ITEM: Would you increase spending, decrease spending or keep spending the same for [ITEM]?] [INTERVIEWER NOTE: IF RESPONDENT ASKS ABOUT SEQUESTER/PENDING BUDGET CUTS, CLARIFY FROM CURRENT SPENDING LEVELS]


## Q. 28 CONTINUED...

|  |  | Increase spending | Decrease spending | Keep spending the same | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| g.F1 | Social Security |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 41 | 10 | 46 | 3 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 41 | 12 | 43 | 3 |
|  | May, 1997 | 44 | 7 | 46 | 3 |
|  | December, 1994 | 46 | 7 | 45 | 2 |
|  | May, 1990 | 63 | 3 | 32 | 2 |
|  | May, 1987 | 64 | 3 | 31 | 2 |
| h.F1 | Rebuilding highways, bridges and roads |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 38 | 17 | 43 | 2 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 38 | 21 | 37 | 3 |
|  | May, 1990 | 54 | 5 | 40 | 1 |
| i.F1 | Economic assistance to needy people in the U.S. Feb 13-18, 2013 | 27 | 24 | 44 | 4 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 42 | 20 | 34 | 3 |
| ASK FORM 2 ONLY [N=764]: |  |  |  |  |  |
| j.F2 | Scientific research |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 37 | 20 | 40 | 3 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 36 | 23 | 37 | 4 |
|  | Jun 18-21, 2009 | 39 | 14 | 40 | 7 |
|  | April, 2001 | 41 | 10 | 46 | 3 |
|  | May, 1997 | 45 | 14 | 38 | 3 |
|  | December, 1994 | 37 | 15 | 44 | 4 |
|  | May, 1990 | 51 | 9 | 37 | 3 |
|  | May, 1987 | 45 | 9 | 42 | 4 |
| k.F2 | Military defense |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 32 | 24 | 41 | 3 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 31 | 30 | 36 | 3 |
|  | Jun 18-21, 2009 | 40 | 18 | 37 | 5 |
|  | February, 2002 | 60 | 5 | 31 | 4 |
|  | April, 2001 | 47 | 11 | 40 | 2 |
|  | May, 1997 | 21 | 30 | 46 | 3 |
|  | December, 1994 | 31 | 23 | 44 | 2 |
|  | August, 1990 | 23 | 43 | 31 | 3 |
|  | May, 1990 | 18 | 40 | 39 | 3 |
|  | May, 1987 | 24 | 29 | 44 | 3 |
| I.F2 | Government assistance for the unemployed |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 24 | 32 | 41 | 3 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 27 | 28 | 41 | 4 |
|  | Jun 18-21, 2009 | 44 | 15 | 36 | 6 |
|  | February, 2002 | 40 | 14 | 41 | 5 |
|  | May, 1997 | 20 | 33 | 44 | 3 |
|  | December, 1994 | 25 | 23 | 49 | 3 |
|  | February, 1989 | 26 | 14 | 57 | 3 |
|  | May, 1987 | 41 | 15 | 41 | 3 |
| m.F2 | Agriculture |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 34 | 20 | 42 | 4 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 32 | 23 | 39 | 5 |
|  | Jun 18-21, 2009 | 35 | 12 | 41 | 13 |
|  | April, 2001 | 46 | 8 | 42 | 4 |
| n.F2 | Medicare |  |  |  |  |
|  | Feb 13-18, 2013 | 36 | 15 | 46 | 3 |
|  | Feb 2-7, 2011 | 40 | 12 | 43 | 5 |

## Q. 28 CONTINUED...

| , | Increase spending | Decrease spending | Keep spending the same | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jun 18-21, 2009 | 53 | 6 | 37 | 4 |
| February, 2002 | 63 | 3 | 31 | 3 |
| April, 2001 | 70 | 2 | 26 | 2 |
| May, 1997 | 44 | 8 | 44 | 4 |
| o.F2 Combating crime |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 41 | 14 | 41 | 4 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 39 | 18 | 40 | 3 |
| Jun 18-21, 2009 | 45 | 10 | 39 | 6 |
| April, 2001 | 55 | 5 | 37 | 3 |
| May, 1997 | 62 | 7 | 29 | 2 |
| December, 1994 | 71 | 4 | 23 | 2 |
| p.F2 Environmental protection |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 33 | 22 | 43 | 3 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 36 | 26 | 36 | 3 |
| Jun 18-21, 2009 | 43 | 16 | 34 | 6 |
| February, 2002 | 43 | 9 | 45 | 3 |
| April, 2001 | 48 | 9 | 40 | 3 |
| May, 1997 | 46 | 14 | 38 | 2 |
| December, 1994 | 40 | 17 | 40 | 3 |
| May, 1990 | 71 | 3 | 24 | 2 |
| May, 1987 | 59 | 4 | 34 | 3 |
| q.F2 Economic assistance to needy people around the world |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 21 | 48 | 28 | 3 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 21 | 45 | 29 | 4 |
| Jun 18-21, 2009 | 26 | 34 | 33 | 7 |
| r.F2 Education |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 60 | 10 | 29 | 2 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 62 | 11 | 25 | 2 |
| Jun 18-21, 2009 | 67 | 6 | 23 | 4 |
| February, 2002 | 73 | 3 | 22 | 2 |
| April, 2001 | 76 | 5 | 18 | 1 |
| s.F2 Food and drug inspection |  |  |  |  |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 33 | 14 | 50 | 2 |
| NO QUESTIONS 29-37, 43-47, 55-60 QUESTIONS 38-39, 48-54 HELD FOR QUESTIONS 40-42, 61-64 PREVIOUS |  |  |  |  |

ASK ALL:
PARTY In politics TODAY, do you consider yourself a Republican, Democrat, or independent?
ASK IF INDEP/NO PREF/OTHER/DK/REF (PARTY=3,4,5,9):
PARTYLN
As of today do you lean more to the Republican Party or more to the Democratic Party?

|  | Republican | Democrat | Independent | (VOL.) <br> No preference | (VOL.) Other party | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Lean } \\ & \text { Rep } \end{aligned}$ | Lean Dem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Feb. 13-18, 2013 | 22 | 32 | 41 | 2 | * | 2 | 15 | 19 |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 25 | 32 | 38 | 2 | * | 2 | 15 | 16 |
| Dec 17-19, 2012 | 21 | 32 | 38 | 4 | * | 4 | 15 | 14 |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 23 | 33 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 19 |
| Oct 31-Nov 3, 2012 | 26 | 34 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 13 | 16 |
| Oct 24-28, 2012 | 28 | 33 | 33 | 4 | * | 2 | 12 | 16 |
| Oct 4-7, 2012 | 27 | 31 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 15 |
| Sep 12-16, 2012 | 24 | 35 | 36 | 2 | * | 2 | 14 | 16 |
| Jul 16-26, 2012 | 22 | 33 | 38 | 4 | * | 3 | 14 | 15 |
| Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 | 24 | 33 | 37 | 3 | * | 3 | 15 | 17 |
| Jun 7-17, 2012 | 24 | 33 | 39 | 2 | * | 2 | 17 | 17 |
| May 9-Jun 3, 2012 | 24 | 32 | 36 | 4 | * | 4 | 13 | 14 |
| Apr 4-15, 2012 | 24 | 31 | 39 | 3 | * | 2 | 15 | 15 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 24 | 34 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 16 | 17 |
| Feb 8-12, 2012 | 26 | 32 | 36 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 17 |
| Yearly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2012 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 36.4 | 3.1 | . 5 | 2.7 | 14.4 | 16.1 |
| 2011 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 37.4 | 3.1 | . 4 | 2.5 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| 2010 | 25.2 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 3.6 | . 4 | 2.8 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
| 2009 | 23.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 3.4 | . 4 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 25.7 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 3.6 | . 3 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| 2007 | 25.3 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 4.3 | . 4 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 17.0 |
| 2006 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 30.9 | 4.4 | . 3 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 15.1 |
| 2005 | 29.3 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 4.5 | . 3 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| 2004 | 30.0 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 3.8 | . 4 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 30.3 | 31.5 | 30.5 | 4.8 | . 5 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 |
| 2002 | 30.4 | 31.4 | 29.8 | 5.0 | . 7 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 29.0 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 5.2 | . 6 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| 2001 Post-Sept 11 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 5.2 | . 6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 9.4 |
| 2001 Pre-Sept 11 | 27.3 | 34.4 | 30.9 | 5.1 | . 6 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 13.5 |
| 2000 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 5.5 | . 5 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 |
| 1999 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 3.9 | . 5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 1998 | 27.9 | 33.7 | 31.1 | 4.6 | . 4 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 13.1 |
| 1997 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 32.0 | 4.0 | . 4 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 14.1 |
| 1996 | 28.9 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 3.0 | . 4 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 14.9 |
| 1995 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 33.7 | 2.4 | . 6 | 1.3 | 15.1 | 13.5 |
| 1994 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 1.3 | -- | 3.6 | 13.7 | 12.2 |
| 1993 | 27.4 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 14.9 |
| 1992 | 27.6 | 33.7 | 34.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 2.5 | 12.6 | 16.5 |
| 1991 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 33.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 14.7 | 10.8 |
| 1990 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 29.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 12.4 | 11.3 |
| 1989 | 33 | 33 | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1987 | 26 | 35 | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

ASK REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANERS ONLY (PARTY=1 OR PARTYLN=1) [N=610]:
TEAPARTY3 From what you know, do you agree or disagree with the Tea Party movement, or don't you have an opinion either way?

| Feb $13-18$ |  | Jan $9-13$ |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| $\frac{2013}{36}$ | Agree | $\underline{2013}$ |
| 9 | Disagree | 35 |
| 52 | No opinion either way | 10 |
| 1 | Haven't heard of (VOL.) | 51 |
| 3 | Refused (VOL.) | 2 |
|  |  | 2 |


[^0]:    PEW RESEARCH CENTER. Feb 13-18, 2013. Q28. Keep spending same not shown.

