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## As U.S. Energy Production Grows, Public Policy Views Show Little Change <br> Majority Prioritizes Developing Alternative Energy Sources

The public is gradually becoming aware of America's energy boom. Currently, $54 \%$ say domestic energy production has been increasing in recent years, up from $48 \%$ in September 2013. Meanwhile, the recent slide in gas prices is registering widely: An overwhelming $89 \%$ say that that pump prices have fallen in the past month.

Despite the growth of domestic energy production, public attitudes about energy policies have changed only modestly in recent years. In broad terms, developing alternative energy is viewed as a more important priority than expanding the exploration and production of oil, coal and natural gas. By two-to-one ( $60 \%$ to $30 \%$ ), more prioritize the development of alternative energy sources than expanded extraction of energy from traditional sources.

## Public Prioritizes Development of Alternative Energy Sources

More important energy priority for country ... (\%)
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Survey conducted Dec. 3-7, 2014.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Yet when asked about specific policies to address the energy supply, a majority of Americans continue to support allowing more offshore oil and gas drilling in U.S. waters (56\%) and more mining and drilling on federally-owned land (58\%). These opinions are largely unchanged from recent years.

At the same time, $81 \%$ favor requiring better fuel efficiency for cars, trucks and SUVs. The share supporting improved fuel efficiency has risen eight points since September 2013.

The latest national survey by the Pew Research Center, conducted Dec. 3-7 among 1,507 adults, finds that the public remains wary of the increased use of nuclear power. By a $53 \%-41 \%$ margin, more oppose than favor the government promoting the increased use of nuclear power.

Views of Policies for Addressing U.S. Energy Supply


Survey conducted Dec. 3-7, 2014. Don't know responses not shown.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Opposition to nuclear power has been at about $50 \%$ or above since March 2011, following the nuclear disaster in Fukushima, Japan.

In November, the Pew Research Center found that the public continues to favor construction of the Keystone XL pipeline, though by a smaller margin than a year earlier. About six-in-ten (59\%) favor building the pipeline while $31 \%$ are opposed.

The same survey found that more Americans oppose (47\%) than favor (41\%) the increased use of fracking to extract oil and natural gas from underground rock formations. The balance of opinion on fracking has tilted more negative; in March 2013, more favored (48\%) than opposed (38\%) the increased use of fracking.

## Perceptions of U.S. Energy Production

Overall, $54 \%$ say that from what they've read and heard, the amount of energy produced in the U.S. has been increasing in recent years; just $10 \%$ say it has been decreasing, while $27 \%$ say it's been staying about the same. Last fall, somewhat fewer (48\%) said they thought energy production in the U.S. was up. College graduates are among the most likely to say the amount of energy produced in the U.S. has been increasing ( $67 \%$ ); those with no college experience are less likely to hold this view (44\%).

Furthermore, the drop in gas prices around the country has registered widely: Fully $89 \%$ say that gas prices have gone down either a lot (50\%) or a little (39\%) over the past month; just 8\% say they have gone up at a little or a lot.

Large majorities of college graduates (91\%) and those with no college experience (86\%) say gas prices have fallen, but college graduates are more likely to say they have declined a lot (56\% vs. 43\%).

## Public Sees Growing U.S. Energy Output

Amount of energy produced in U.S. has been ...


Survey conducted Dec. 3-7, 2014.
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## Demographic Divides in Views of Energy Priority

While the public prioritizes developing alternative sources of energy over expanding production of fossil fuels by a $60 \%-30 \%$ margin, attitudes on this question vary widely across demographic groups.

Adults under 30 express broad support for prioritizing alternative energy development over expanding traditional sources ( $74 \%-20 \%$ ). By contrast, those 65 and older are roughly divided over whether developing sources such as wind, solar and hydrogen is the more important priority (48\%) or whether expanding production of oil, coal and natural gas should be given more priority (41\%).

By a $53 \%-36 \%$ margin, more Republicans prioritize the production of oil, coal and natural gas over the development of alternative sources of energy. By contrast, $72 \%$ of Democrats and $66 \%$ of independents say developing alternative energy sources should be the country's more important priority.

Across regions, support for prioritizing alternative energy development is lowest in the South (52\%). At least six-in-ten in the Midwest (62\%), Northeast (66\%) and West (67\%) say it's more important to develop alternative sources such as wind, solar and hydrogen technology than to expand the exploration and production of oil, coal and natural gas.

## Young People Far More Likely to Prioritize Developing Alternative Energy

More important energy priority for country...

|  | Developing <br> alternative <br> sources <br> $\%$ | Expanding <br> fossil fuels <br> $\%$ | Other/ <br> DK <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | 60 | 30 | $10=100$ |
| Men | 58 | 33 | $9=100$ |
| Women | 63 | 27 | $10=100$ |
| White | 60 | 32 | $8=100$ |
| Black | 55 | 30 | $15=100$ |
| Hispanic | 61 | 27 | $12=100$ |
| 18-29 | 74 | 20 | $5=100$ |
| 30-49 | 64 | 26 | $10=100$ |
| 50-64 | 53 | 35 | $11=100$ |
| 65+ | 48 | 41 | $11=100$ |
| Post grad | 69 | 24 | $7=100$ |
| College grad | 63 | 29 | $8=100$ |
| Some college | 63 | 28 | $8=100$ |
| HS or less | 55 | 33 | $12=100$ |
| Family income |  |  |  |
| \$75,000 or more | 59 | 31 | $10=100$ |
| \$30,000-\$75,000 | 61 | 33 | $5=100$ |
| Less than \$30,000 | 64 | 25 | $11=100$ |
| Republican | 36 | 53 | $11=100$ |
| Democrat | 72 | 19 | $8=100$ |
| Independent | 66 | 24 | $9=100$ |
| Northeast | 66 | 26 | $8=100$ |
| Midwest | 62 | 31 | $7=100$ |
| South | 52 | 36 | $12=100$ |
| West | 67 | 23 | $10=100$ |

Survey conducted Dec. 3-7, 2014.
Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.
Whites and blacks include only those who are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Wide Party Divides on Energy Policies

There also are wide differences between Republicans and Democrats in their support for specific U.S. energy policies.

About eight-in-ten Republicans say they are in favor of allowing more mining and drilling on federally owned land (80\%) and allowing more offshore oil and gas drilling in U.S. waters (77\%). By contrast, support is far lower among Democrats: just $43 \%$ back more mining and drilling on federal lands, while $41 \%$ support expanding offshore drilling.

The increased use of nuclear power is not especially popular with either party, though significantly more Republicans (50\%) than Democrats (28\%) back this policy.

## Republicans Support More Offshore Drilling, Mining on Federal Land

|  | Total | Rep | Dem | Ind | R-D <br> Diff |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| More important energy <br> priority for the country ... <br> Developing alternative <br> sources | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Expanding oil, coal and <br> natural gas | 30 | 36 | 72 | 66 | D+36 |
| \% who favor ... | 53 | 19 | 24 | R+34 |  |
| Requiring better fuel <br> efficiency for cars, trucks | 81 | 66 | 91 | 83 | $\mathrm{D}+25$ |
| Allowing more mining and <br> drilling on U.S. federal land | 58 | 80 | 43 | 57 | $\mathrm{R}+37$ |
| Allowing more oil and gas <br> drilling in U.S. waters | 56 | 77 | 41 | 55 | $\mathrm{R}+36$ |
| Promoting increased use <br> of nuclear power | 41 | 50 | 28 | 47 | $\mathrm{R}+22$ | | Survey conducted Dec. 3-7, 2014. |
| :--- |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

One area of general agreement is on better fuel efficiency standards for cars, trucks and SUVs. Fully $91 \%$ of Democrats support this policy; Republicans are 25 points less likely to back stricter fuel efficiency standards, but a $66 \%$ majority join Democrats in saying they favor this policy.

## Age Differences on Expanded Mining and Drilling

Consistent with the high priority younger adults place on developing alternative sources of energy, they are less supportive of expanded mining and drilling in the U.S. than are older Americans.

When it comes to allowing more mining and drilling on federally-owned land, those ages 5064 and 65 or older back this policy by about two-to-one ( $66 \%-30 \%$ and $62 \%-30 \%$, respectively). Younger adults are more divided. Among those 18-29, $52 \%$ favor this policy, while $45 \%$ are opposed; the balance of opinion is similar among those ages 30-49 (52\% favor, $41 \%$ oppose).

## Younger Adults Less Supportive of Drilling Offshore and on Federal Lands

|  | $\mathbf{1 8 - 2 9}$ <br> $\%$ | $\mathbf{3 0 - 4 9}$ <br> $\%$ | 50-64 <br> $\%$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ <br> $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% who favor ... | 86 | 81 | 79 | 80 |
| Requiring better fuel <br> efficiency for cars, trucks | 86 |  |  |  |
| Allowing more mining and <br> drilling on U.S. federal land | 52 | 52 | 66 | 62 |
| Allowing more oil and gas <br> drilling in U.S. waters | 42 | 54 | 66 | 62 |
| Promoting increased use <br> of nuclear power | 36 | 46 | 36 | 45 |
| Survey conducted Dec. 3-7, 2014. |  |  |  |  |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

More than six-in-ten of those ages 50-64 (66\%) and 65 or older (62\%) favor more offshore oil and gas drilling in U.S. waters. By contrast, support falls to $54 \%$ among those ages 30-49 and drops to $42 \%$ among those ages 18-29.

Age divides are less prominent when it comes to fuel efficiency standards for cars and trucks, and promoting the increased use of nuclear power. Broad majorities of all age groups support requiring better fuel efficiency for cars, trucks and SUVs. On nuclear power, fewer than half across all age groups say they favor promoting its increased use in the U.S.

## About the Survey

The analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted December 3-7, 2014 among a national sample of 1,507 adults, 18 years of age or older, living in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia ( 605 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 902 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 513 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see http://peoplepress.org/methodology/

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin and nativity and region to parameters from the 2012 Census Bureau's American Community Survey and population density to parameters from the Decennial Census. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status (landline only, cell phone only, or both landline and cell phone), based on extrapolations from the 2013 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size among respondents with a landline phone. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting.

The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

| Group | Unweighted <br> sample size <br> 1,507 | Plus or minus ... <br> Total sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.9 percentage points |
| Republican | 408 | 5.6 percentage points |
| Democrat | 445 | 5.4 percentage points |
| Independent | 574 | 4.7 percentage points |

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.
© Pew Research Center, 2014

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER DECEMBER 2014 POLITICAL SURVEY FINAL TOPLINE <br> DECEMBER 3-7, 2014 $\mathbf{N}=\mathbf{1 , 5 0 7}$

QUESTIONS 1-2, 5-7, 12, 17 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED
NO QUESTIONS 3-4, 8-11, 13-16

## ASK ALL:

Q. 18 Right now, which ONE of the following do you think should be the more important priority for addressing America's energy supply? [READ AND RANDOMIZE]?

|  | Developing alternative <br> sources such as, wind, <br> solar and hydrogen <br> technology | Expanding <br> exploration and <br> production of oil, <br> coal and natural gas | (VOL.) <br> Both should be <br> given equal <br> priority | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 3-7,2014 | 60 | 30 | 5 | 4 |
| Feb 12-26,2014 | 65 | 28 | 5 | 2 |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 | 58 | 34 | 6 | 3 |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 (U) | 54 | 34 | 7 | 4 |
| Oct 24-28, 2012 | 47 | 39 | 9 | 4 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 52 | 39 | 5 | 4 |
| Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 | 63 | 29 | 6 | 2 |

ASK ALL:
Q. 19 From what you've read and heard, has the amount of energy produced in the United States been increasing, decreasing, or staying about the same in recent years?

| Dec 3-7  <br> $\underline{2014}$  <br> 54 Increasing | Sep 4-8 <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 10 | Decreasing | 48 |
| 27 | Staying about the same | 12 |
| 9 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 10 |

QUESTIONS 20-21, 24, 30-31, 36-38 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED
NO QUESTIONS 22-23, 25-29, 32-35, 39
ASK ALL:
Now thinking about some issues...
Q. 40 From what you have seen and heard, have gasoline prices [READ; READ CATEGORIES IN

REVERSE ORDER FOR HALF THE SAMPLE] over the past month?

| Dec 3-7 <br> $\frac{2014}{4}$ | May 24-27 <br> $\frac{2012}{2}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 4 | Gone up a lot | 22 |
| 39 | Gone up a little | 17 |
| 50 | Gone down a little | 44 |
| 1 | Gone down a lot | 7 |
| 2 | Stayed about the same (VOL.) | 3 |
|  | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 7 |

NO QUESTIONS 41-44, 47-52, 56-60
QUESTIONS 45-46, 53-55 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

## ASK ALL:

Next,
Q. 61 As I read some possible government policies to address America's energy supply, tell me whether you would favor or oppose each. First, would you favor or oppose the government [INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE]? Would you favor or oppose the government [INSERT NEXT ITEM]?
(VOL.)
Favor Oppose DK/Ref
a. Allowing more offshore oil and gas drilling in U.S. waters

| Dec 3-7, 2014 | 56 | 40 | 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 | 58 | 40 | 2 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 65 | 31 | 4 |
| Nov 3-6, 2011 | 58 | 35 | 7 |
| Mar 17-20, 2011 | 57 | 37 | 6 |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 51 | 41 | 7 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 44 | 52 | 4 |
| May 6-9, 2010 | 54 | 38 | 8 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 63 | 31 | 6 |
| Apr 14-21, 2009 | 68 | 27 | 5 |
| September, 2008 | 67 | 28 | 5 |

## NO ITEM b.

c. Promoting the increased use of nuclear power

| Dec 3-7, 2014 | 41 | 53 | 6 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 | 38 | 58 | 4 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 44 | 49 | 7 |
| Nov 3-6, 2011 | 39 | 53 | 8 |
| Mar 17-20, 2011 | 39 | 52 | 8 |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 45 | 44 | 11 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 47 | 47 | 6 |
| May 6-9, 2010 | 45 | 44 | 11 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 52 | 41 | 7 |
| Apr 14-21, 2009 | 45 | 48 | 7 |
| September, 2008 | 50 | 43 | 7 |
| Late February, 2008 | 44 | 48 | 8 |
| February, 2006 | 44 | 49 | 7 |
| Mid-September, 2005 | 39 | 53 | 8 |

d. Allowing more mining and drilling on federally
owned land
Dec 3-7, 2014

| 58 | 37 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 58 | 34 | 7 |

e. Requiring better fuel efficiency for cars, trucks and SUVs

| Dec 3-7, 2014 | 81 | 15 | 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 | 73 | 25 | 3 |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 78 | 19 | 3 |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 79 | 17 | 4 |
| September, 2008 | 88 | 10 | 2 |
| February, 2008 | 90 | 8 | 2 |
| February, 2006 | 86 | 12 | 2 |
| Mid-September, 2005 | 86 | 12 | 2 |

NO QUESTIONS 62-65, 67-69, 71-73, 79-84
QUESTIONS 66-66a, 70, 74-78, 85-89 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ASK ALL:
PARTY In politics TODAY, do you consider yourself a Republican, Democrat, or independent?
ASK IF INDEP/NO PREF/OTHER/DK/REF (PARTY=3,4,5,9):
PARTYLN
As of today do you lean more to the Republican Party or more to the Democratic Party?

|  | Republican | Democrat | Independent | (VOL.) <br> No preference | (VOL.) Other party | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref | Lean Rep | Lean Dem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 3-7, 2014 | 24 | 31 | 39 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 17 |
| Nov 6-9, 2014 | 27 | 32 | 36 | 2 | * | 1 | 15 | 16 |
| Oct 15-20, 2014 | 24 | 33 | 38 | 4 | * | 1 | 13 | 17 |
| Sep 2-9, 2014 | 24 | 33 | 38 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 15 |
| Aug 20-24, 2014 | 24 | 31 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 16 |
| Jul 8-14, 2014 | 25 | 34 | 37 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 15 |
| Apr 23-27, 2014 | 24 | 30 | 41 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 17 |
| Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014 | 422 | 31 | 41 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 17 |
| Feb 14-23, 2014 | 22 | 32 | 39 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 14 | 17 |
| Jan 15-19, 2014 | 21 | 31 | 41 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 18 | 16 |
| Dec 3-8, 2013 | 24 | 34 | 37 | 3 | * | 2 | 17 | 15 |
| Yearly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013 | 23.9 | 32.1 | 38.3 | 2.9 | . 5 | 2.2 | 16.0 | 16.0 |
| 2012 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 36.4 | 3.1 | . 5 | 2.7 | 14.4 | 16.1 |
| 2011 | 24.3 | 32.3 | 37.4 | 3.1 | . 4 | 2.5 | 15.7 | 15.6 |
| 2010 | 25.2 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 3.6 | . 4 | 2.8 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
| 2009 | 23.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 3.4 | . 4 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 25.7 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 3.6 | . 3 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| 2007 | 25.3 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 4.3 | . 4 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 17.0 |
| 2006 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 30.9 | 4.4 | . 3 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 15.1 |
| 2005 | 29.3 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 4.5 | . 3 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| 2004 | 30.0 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 3.8 | . 4 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 30.3 | 31.5 | 30.5 | 4.8 | . 5 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 |
| 2002 | 30.4 | 31.4 | 29.8 | 5.0 | . 7 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 29.0 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 5.2 | . 6 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| 2001 Post-Sept 11 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 5.2 | . 6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 9.4 |
| 2001 Pre-Sept 11 | 27.3 | 34.4 | 30.9 | 5.1 | . 6 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 13.5 |
| 2000 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 5.5 | . 5 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 |
| 1999 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 3.9 | . 5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 1998 | 27.9 | 33.7 | 31.1 | 4.6 | . 4 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 13.1 |
| 1997 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 32.0 | 4.0 | . 4 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 14.1 |
| 1996 | 28.9 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 3.0 | . 4 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 14.9 |
| 1995 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 33.7 | 2.4 | . 6 | 1.3 | 15.1 | 13.5 |
| 1994 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 1.3 | -- | 3.6 | 13.7 | 12.2 |
| 1993 | 27.4 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 14.9 |
| 1992 | 27.6 | 33.7 | 34.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 2.5 | 12.6 | 16.5 |
| 1991 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 33.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 14.7 | 10.8 |
| 1990 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 29.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 12.4 | 11.3 |
| 1989 | 33 | 33 | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1987 | 26 | 35 | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

ASK REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANERS ONLY (PARTY=1 OR PARTYLN=1):
TEAPARTY3 From what you know, do you agree or disagree with the Tea Party movement, or don't you have an opinion either way?

BASED ON REPUBLICANS AND REPUBLICAN LEANERS [N=660]:

|  | Agree | Disagree | No opinion either way | (VOL.) Haven't heard of | (VOL.) <br> Refused | Not heard of/ DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dec 3-7, 2014 | 34 | 9 | 55 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Nov 6-9, 2014 | 31 | 10 | 57 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Oct 15-20, 2014 | 32 | 8 | 56 | 2 | 2 | -- |
| Sep 2-9, 2014 | 38 | 10 | 50 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Aug 20-24, 2014 | 34 | 10 | 53 | * | 2 | -- |
| Jul 8-14, 2014 | 35 | 12 | 50 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Apr 23-27, 2014 | 33 | 11 | 54 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jan 23-Mar 16, 2014 | 37 | 11 | 50 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Feb 14-23, 2014 | 36 | 9 | 54 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jan 15-19, 2014 | 35 | 12 | 52 | 1 | * | -- |
| Dec 3-8, 2013 | 32 | 9 | 57 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Oct 30-Nov 6, 2013 | 40 | 9 | 48 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Oct 9-13, 2013 | 41 | 11 | 45 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Sep 4-8, 2013 | 35 | 9 | 54 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jul 17-21, 2013 | 37 | 10 | 50 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Jun 12-16, 2013 | 44 | 9 | 46 | 1 | 2 | -- |
| May 23-26, 2013 | 41 | 7 | 48 | 1 | 3 | -- |
| May 1-5, 2013 | 28 | 8 | 61 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Mar 13-17, 2013 | 43 | 7 | 47 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Feb 13-18, 2013 | 36 | 9 | 52 | 1 | 3 | -- |
| Feb 14-17, 2013 | 43 | 9 | 45 | 1 | 2 | -- |
| Jan 9-13, 2013 | 35 | 10 | 51 | 2 | 2 | -- |
| Dec 5-9, 2012 | 37 | 11 | 51 | 1 | * | -- |
| Oct 31-Nov 3, 2012 (RVs) | 40 | 8 | 49 | 1 | 2 | -- |
| Oct 4-7, 2012 | 38 | 9 | 50 | 1 | 3 | -- |
| Sep 12-16, 2013 | 39 | 7 | 52 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jun 28-Jul 9, 2012 | 40 | 9 | 47 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Jun 7-17, 2012 | 42 | 8 | 48 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| May 9-Jun 3, 2012 | 36 | 9 | 53 | 1 | 2 | -- |
| Apr 4-15, 2012 | 42 | 8 | 48 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Mar 7-11, 2012 | 38 | 10 | 49 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Feb 8-12, 2012 | 40 | 7 | 51 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jan 11-16, 2012 | 42 | 8 | 47 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jan 4-8, 2012 | 37 | 8 | 52 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Dec 7-11, 2011 | 40 | 9 | 48 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| Nov 9-14, 2011 | 41 | 9 | 49 | * | 1 | -- |
| Nov 9-14, 2011 | 41 | 9 | 49 | * | 1 | -- |
| Sep 22-Oct 4, 2011 | 37 | 11 | 51 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Aug 17-21, 2011 | 43 | 7 | 49 | * | 1 | -- |
| Jul 20-24, 2011 | 40 | 7 | 51 | * | 1 | -- |
| Jun 15-19, 2011 | 42 | 9 | 47 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| May 25-30, 2011 | 37 | 7 | 52 | 1 | 3 | -- |
| Mar 30-Apr 3, 2011 | 45 | 9 | 46 | * | 1 | -- |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 37 | 7 | 54 | 1 | * | -- |
| Feb 22-Mar 1, 2011 | 41 | 9 | 48 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Feb 2-7, $2011{ }^{1}$ | 43 | 8 | 47 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Jan 5-9, 2011 | 45 | 6 | 47 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| Dec 1-5, 2010 | 48 | 5 | 45 | 1 | 1 | -- |

[^0]
## TEAPARTY3 CONTINUED...

Nov 4-7, 2010
Oct 27-30, 2010 (RVs)
Oct 13-18, 2010 ( $R$ Vs)
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 (RVs)
Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010
Jun 16-20, 2010
May 20-23, 2010
Mar 11-21, 2010

| Agree | $\frac{\text { Disagree }}{}$No opinion <br> Nither way | (VOL.) <br> Haven't <br> heard of | (VOL.) <br> (Vefused | Not <br> heard of/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 58 | 5 | 42 | 1 | $\frac{1}{\text { DK }}$ |

Key to Pew Research trends noted in the topline:


[^0]:    1 In the February 2-7, 2011, survey and before, question read "...do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with the Tea Party movement..." In October 2010 and earlier, question was asked only of those who had heard or read a lot or a little about the Tea Party. In May 2010 through October 2010, it was described as: "the Tea Party movement that has been involved in campaigns and protests in the U.S. over the past year." In March 2010 it was described as "the Tea Party protests that have taken place in the U.S. over the past year."

