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## Rising Prices Close in on Jobs as Top Economic Worry Republicans Are Losing Ground on the Deficit, But Obama's Not Gaining

As the budget debate moves into a crucial phase, far fewer Americans say that Republicans in Congress have the better approach to the budget deficit than did so in November, shortly after the GOP's sweeping election victories. The GOP has lost ground on the deficit among political independents and, surprisingly, among key elements of the Republican base, including Tea Party supporters.

However, the public is no more supportive of Barack Obama's approach to the budget deficit than it was in November. Rather, there has been a sharp rise in the percentage saying there is not much difference between Obama's approach and that of congressional Republicans $52 \%$ say that now, up from just $33 \%$ in November.

The shift in opinion has been particularly dramatic among Republicans, Republican-leaning independents and

| More See "Not Much Difference" |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Between Obama, GOP on Deficit |  |  |  |
| Who has better | Nov | Mar |  |
| approach to the | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | Change |
| budget deficit? | $\%$ | $\%$ |  |
| Barack Obama | 24 | 20 | -4 |
| Reps in Congress | 35 | 21 | -14 |
| Not much difference | 33 | 52 | +19 |
| Don't know | $\underline{8}$ | $\underline{7}$ |  |
|  | 100 | 100 |  |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. $8-14$, | 2011 . Figures may not |  |  |
| add to 100\% because of rounding. |  |  |  |

More See "Not Much Difference" Between Obama, GOP on Deficit

EEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding. Tea Party supporters. Shortly after the November election, $76 \%$ of Tea Party supporters said Republicans in Congress had a better approach to the budget deficit while just $16 \%$ said there was not much difference between their approach and Obama's. Today, $52 \%$ of Tea Party supporters say the GOP has a better approach and $39 \%$ say there is not much difference in how the two sides approach the deficit.

The latest nationwide survey by the Pew Research Center for the People \& the Press, conducted March 8-14 among 1,525 adults, finds that the public's economic worries also have changed substantially over the past few months.

While the job situation is the top economic concern, the percentage citing rising prices as their biggest economic worry
has nearly doubled from $15 \%$ in December to $28 \%$ today.

More Cite Inflation, Deficit as Top Economic Concerns; Fewer Cite Job Situation
And at $24 \%$, the number saying the federal budget deficit is their top concern also has risen, from $19 \%$ in December and February.

Roughly a third (34\%) say the job situation is their biggest economic concern, but this is down substantially from $44 \%$ a month ago and $47 \%$ as recently as December.

While deficit concerns are growing, there is broad
reduce the budget deficit. Fully $67 \%$ oppose raising taxes and nearly as many (65\%) oppose making changes in Social Security and Medicare.

Of four possible options for cutting the deficit, only one - lowering domestic spending - draws majority support. Roughly six-in-ten (61\%) are in favor of cutting domestic spending while just $30 \%$ are opposed. The public is divided over lowering military spending ( $49 \%$ favor vs. $47 \%$ oppose) to reduce the deficit.

## Deficit Reduction Starts with Domestic Cutbacks



As Congress considers a new stopgap spending bill, nearly half of Americans (49\%) say that the debate over the deficit and spending has been generally rude and disrespectful while just $27 \%$ say the debate has been polite and respectful. In contrast to attitudes about the deficit and government spending, which are divided along partisan lines, there is agreement about the tone of the debate: $48 \%$ of Republicans and Democrats, and $57 \%$ of independents, say the debate has been rude and disrespectful.

## Neither Side Has Edge on Deficit

Following last fall's midterm elections, more Americans said that the Republicans in Congress than President Obama had a better approach to the budget deficit ( $35 \%$ to $24 \%$ ). In the new survey, just $21 \%$ say that Republicans have a better approach to the budget deficit, down 14 points from November. About the same percentage (20\%) says Obama has a better approach, which is little changed since then (24\%).

Over this period, the percentage saying there is not much difference between the two sides has jumped from $33 \%$ to $52 \%$.

Currently, $52 \%$ of Republicans say that their party has a better approach

Fewer Republicans, Tea Party Supporters Say GOP Has Better Approach to Deficit

| Who has better approach to the budget deficit? | Nov 2010 |  | Mar 2011 |  | Change in Reps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Reps in Congress | Not much diff | Reps in Congress | Not much diff |  |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |  |
| Total | 35 | 33 | 21 | 52 | -14 |
| Republican | 69 | 21 | 52 | 41 | -17 |
| Democrat | 7 | 33 | 5 | 47 | -2 |
| Independent | 37 | 40 | 17 | 62 | -20 |
| Among inds |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lean Rep | 61 | 30 | 35 | 56 | -26 |
| Lean Dem | 16 | 45 | 3 | 63 | -13 |
| Tea Party |  |  |  |  |  |
| Agree | 76 | 16 | 52 | 39 | -24 |
| Disagree | 16 | 31 | 8 | 43 | -8 |
| No opinion | 22 | 43 | 16 | 62 | -6 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. Qb73. |  |  |  |  |  | to the deficit than Obama, but $41 \%$ say there is not much difference. In November, $69 \%$ said the GOP had a better approach and $21 \%$ said there was not much difference.

The proportion of Tea Party supporters who say Republicans in Congress have a better approach than Obama has fallen 24 points - from $76 \%$ to $52 \%$ - since November.

Independents also are far less supportive of the Republicans' approach to the budget deficit. In November, $37 \%$ favored the GOP's approach, while fewer than half as many ( $17 \%$ ) preferred Obama's; $40 \%$ said there was not much difference. In the new survey, $17 \%$ of independents say Republicans have a better approach, $13 \%$ prefer Obama's approach while $62 \%$ say there is not much difference. Much of the change has come among Republican-leaning independents. Today just 35\% of Republican-leaning independents say the GOP has a better approach to the deficit, down from $61 \%$ in November.

## Mixed Views of Impact of Spending Cuts on Jobs

A modest plurality (41\%) says that if the federal government makes major spending cuts to reduce the deficit, these reductions will not have much of an effect on the job situation. Among those who see the cuts have an impact, nearly twice as many say they will hurt (34\%) rather than help (18\%) the job situation.

Democrats and independents are about twice as likely to say that major cuts will hurt rather than help the job situation; $35 \%$ of Democrats and $45 \%$ of independents say spending cuts will not have much of an effect either way.

About as many Republicans say deep spending cuts will hurt (27\%) as help (25\%) the job situation; a plurality (41\%) sees them having a negligible impact. Tea Party supporters are among the only groups in which slightly more see spending cuts helping (32\%) rather than hurting (23\%) the job situation; $39 \%$ say they will not have much an effect either way.

## Approaches to Deficit Reduction

Of four broad approaches to tackling the federal budget deficit, only reductions in domestic spending are broadly supported. By two-to-one ( $61 \%$ vs. $30 \%$ ) more favor than oppose lowering domestic spending as a way to reduce the budget deficit. The public is divided about evenly ( $49 \%$ favor, $47 \%$ oppose) when it comes to lowering defense and military spending, and opposition outweighs support by wide margins when it comes to raising taxes ( $67 \%$ oppose) or making changes to Social Security or Medicare ( $65 \%$ oppose).

## More Say Spending Cuts Will Hurt Rather than Help Job Situation

| Impact of major | Help | Hur | Not have much effect | Other/ DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| job situation... | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 18 | 34 | 41 | $7=100$ |
| Republican | 25 | 27 | 41 | $6=100$ |
| Democrat | 18 | 39 | 35 | $8=100$ |
| Independent | 15 | 34 | 45 | $6=100$ |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB74. Figures may not add to $100 \%$ because of rounding.

More Support For Cuts in Defense Spending than in '05

|  | Mar <br> Favor each as way to <br> reduce budget deficit ... | Mar <br> Ma051 | Change |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lowering domestic | 54 | 61 | +7 |
| spending | 35 | 49 | +14 |
| Lowering defense <br> spending | 31 | 30 | -1 |
| Raising taxes <br> Changes to Social | -- | 30 | -- |
| Security/Medicare | PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB72a-d. |  |  |

There is considerably more support for lowering defense and military spending now than there was in March 2005. In the new survey $49 \%$ favor lowering defense spending; just $35 \%$ favored defense spending cuts in 2005 . The public also is slightly more supportive of cuts in domestic spending ( $61 \%$ today, $54 \%$ then).

While there are significant partisan divisions on all of these deficit reduction approaches, partisan views differ most when it comes to defense spending. By a $57 \%$ to $40 \%$ margin Democrats favor lowering defense and military spending to deal with the deficit. By a $65 \%$ to $33 \%$ margin Republicans are opposed.

On domestic spending, majorities across party lines favor reductions, though the sentiment is broader among Republicans ( $71 \%$ favor) than among Democrats (54\%). And when it comes to raising taxes or changing entitlements, majorities of Democrats, Republicans and independents are opposed.

Independents tend to agree with

| Bipartisan Opposition to Tax Increases, Entitlement Changes |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ways to reduce the budget deficit... |  | Total \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rep } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dem } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Ind |
| Lowering domestic spending | Favor | 61 | 71 | 54 | 0 |
|  | Oppose | 30 | 22 | 35 | 31 |
| Lowering defense spending | Favor | 49 | 33 | 57 | 52 |
|  | Oppose | 47 | 65 | 40 | 45 |
| Raising taxes | Favor | 30 | 20 | 37 | 30 |
|  | Oppose | 67 | 76 | 61 | 67 |
| Changes to Social Security/Medicare | Favor | 30 | 36 | 22 | 35 |
|  | Oppose | 65 | 59 | 75 | 61 |
| N |  |  | 382 | 503 | 570 |
| PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB72a-d. |  |  |  |  |  | Democrats in favoring cuts to defense and military spending, while only Republicans are opposed. But independent views are closer to Republicans when it comes to changing entitlements $-36 \%$ of Republicans and $35 \%$ of independents favor entitlement changes, compared with only 22\% of Democrats.

On the controversial deficit cutting approaches - defense cuts, taxes and entitlements - college graduates are substantially more supportive of action than those without college degrees. A $61 \%$ majority of college graduates favors lowering defense and military spending, while more oppose than support such cuts among those without college degrees. And while tax hikes and entitlement changes are not popular in any group, each approach is supported by roughly four-in-ten college graduates, compared with fewer than three-in-ten people without college degrees.

Not surprisingly, the idea of changing entitlements is particularly sensitive to older Americans. Fully $75 \%$ of people 65 and older oppose changing Social Security and Medicare as a way to reduce the budget deficit, and $75 \%$ of those 50 to 64 agree. But younger people are less opposed to entitlement changes. In particular, among adults younger than 30 , barely half ( $51 \%$ ) oppose changing Social Security and Medicare, while 44\% express support.

## College Graduates Support More Deficit Reduction Options

| Ways to reduce the budget deficit... |  | Coll grad | Some coll | HS or Less |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \% | \% | \% |
| Lowering domestic spending | Favor | 63 | 57 | 61 |
|  | Oppose | 31 | 35 | 27 |
| Lowering defense spending | Favor | 61 | 44 | 44 |
|  | Oppose | 38 | 53 | 51 |
| Raising taxes | Favor | 42 | 29 | 23 |
|  | Oppose | 55 | 67 | 74 |
| Changes to Social Security/Medicare | Favor | 40 | 27 | 26 |
|  | Oppose | 57 | 69 | 69 |
| N |  | 553 | 390 | 578 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB72a-d.

## Entitlements On the Table for Younger Americans?

| Changes in Social | $\mathbf{1 8 -}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 -}$ | $\mathbf{5 0 -}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Security and Medicare | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | $\mathbf{6 5 +}$ |
| as a way to reduce the | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $\%$ | $\%$ | $\%$ |
| budget deficit? | 44 | 32 | 23 | 20 |
| Favor | 51 | 63 | 75 | 75 |
| Oppose | $\underline{5}$ | $\underline{5}$ | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{4}$ |
| Don't know | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
|  | 212 | 426 | 467 | 405 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB72a-d.

For more on the public's attitudes regarding government spending and proposals for reducing the budget deficit, see:

## Fewer Want Spending to Grow, But Most Cuts Remain Unpopular, Feb. 10,

 2011. This report showed that while the public generally favors cuts in domestic spending, there is little support for cuts in specific programs. Nonetheless, the public is less inclined to favor increased spending for many programs than in the past.Deficit Solutions Meet With Public Skepticism, Dec. 9, 2010. The public disapproves of most specific proposals aimed at reducing the budget deficit. Despite the broad reluctance to see changes in entitlement programs, a solid majority approves of making more of high earners' income subject to Social Security tax.

## A Shift in Top Economic Concerns

Over the course of the last three months, the number of Americans who cite rising prices as their biggest economic worry has nearly doubled from $15 \%$ in December to $28 \%$ today. And at $24 \%$, the number saying the federal budget deficit is their top concern has also risen over just the past month.

The job situation remains the most widely cited economic concern $-34 \%$ say it worries them more than anything else but this is down substantially from $44 \%$ a month ago and $47 \%$


PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB16. as recently as
December.

The focus on prices has risen across party lines, as $32 \%$ of Democrats, $28 \%$ of independents, and $22 \%$ of Republicans now say that rising prices represent their top
economic worry today. And the share citing jobs as their number one concern is down across party lines, particularly among Republicans (26\%) and independents (33\%). The job situation remains the broadest concern among Democrats (41\%), though this, too, is down from $56 \%$ in December.

Republicans and Democrats differ most in their level of concern about the federal budget deficit. Among Republicans, the deficit is the top economic concern, at $37 \%$. By comparison, just $15 \%$ of Democrats cite the budget deficit as their top economic worry. Independents' concerns are divided, with $25 \%$ citing the deficit, $28 \%$ rising prices, and $33 \%$ the job situation as their top economic worry.

Nearly half (46\%) of Americans who agree with the Tea Party cite the deficit as their top economic concern, more than double the number among those who disagree with (20\%) or have no opinion about (18\%) the Tea Party.

|  | Job situation | Rising prices | Budget deficit | Markets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% | \% | \% | \% |
| Total | 34 | 28 | 24 | 10 |
| Men | 33 | 23 | 29 | 10 |
| Women | 35 | 31 | 19 | 11 |
| 18-29 | 41 | 27 | 21 | 7 |
| 30-49 | 34 | 28 | 22 | 13 |
| 50-64 | 35 | 27 | 23 | 11 |
| 65+ | 24 | 28 | 34 | 8 |
| White | 33 | 27 | 26 | 11 |
| Black | 40 | 32 | 15 | 9 |
| Hispanic | 33 | 33 | 18 | 10 |
| College grad+ | 33 | 18 | 33 | 13 |
| Some college | 35 | 27 | 23 | 12 |
| HS or less | 34 | 34 | 19 | 8 |
| HH income |  |  |  |  |
| \$75k or more | 30 | 19 | 34 | 15 |
| \$30k-\$74,999 | 33 | 29 | 25 | 10 |
| Less than \$30k | 38 | 34 | 15 | 7 |
| Tea Party |  |  |  |  |
| Agree with | 22 | 20 | 46 | 8 |
| Disagree with | 40 | 24 | 20 | 13 |
| No opinion | 36 | 32 | 18 | 10 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Mar. 8-14, 2011. QB16.
Bold numbers show cells that are significantly higher than in comparison group (i.e. more men than women).
Aside from partisanship, deficit concerns are
broadest among more educated and higher income Americans, as well as among whites and among men. By contrast, inflation concerns are broadest among those with less education and lower incomes, as well as among women.

Economic worries also differ by age. Among adults under age 65, a $36 \%$ plurality cites the job situation as their top concern, compared with $24 \%$ of Americans age 65 and older. Seniors, by contrast, are more likely to cite the budget deficit as their top concern than those who are under 65 ( $34 \%$ vs. $22 \%$ ).

## National Satisfaction Still Low

Despite changing
perspectives on which economic problem is the greatest concern, the public's overall assessment of national conditions has changed little. Just 22\% of Americans say they are satisfied with the state of the nation, while $73 \%$ are dissatisfied.

This is significantly better than the historic lows in the immediate wake of the 2008 market crash; in October 2008 just $11 \%$ of Americans were satisfied while 86\% were dissatisfied. Yet the current mood is on par with the lowest measures over the course of Obama's term in office, and down substantially from the $34 \%$ who were satisfied with national conditions in May of 2009.

To the extent that the public's mood has soured over the past two years, the shift has occurred mainly among Democrats. In May 2009, $44 \%$ of Democrats were satisfied with national conditions. That dropped to $35 \%$ by March 2010, and stands at just $25 \%$ today. About
the same percentage of independents (24\%) expresses positive views of national conditions, while Republicans are less upbeat (14\%).

The partisan gap in national satisfaction today is comparable to previous time periods. As a rule, satisfaction is higher among members of the president's party. Since 1990, Democrats were significantly more satisfied with national conditions than Republicans during both the Clinton and Obama administration, while Republicans were significantly more satisfied under both Bush administrations. Looking at yearly averages, the partisan gap reached a peak in 2004, when $65 \%$ of Republicans were satisfied, compared with just $17 \%$ of Democrats. So far in 2011, the partisan divide is much smaller: an average of $32 \%$ of Democrats and $14 \%$ of Republicans have expressed satisfaction during the first three months of this year.

## About the Surveys

Most of the analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted March 8-14, 2011 among a national sample of 1,525 adults 18 years of age or older living in the continental United States ( 1,005 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 520 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 242 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older. For detailed information about our survey methodology, see http://peoplepress.org/methodology/

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin, region, and population density to parameters from the March 2010 Census Bureau's Current Population Survey. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status and relative usage of landline and cell phones (for those with both), based on extrapolations from the 2010 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size within the landline sample. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

| Group | Sample Size | Plus or minus ... |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample | 1,525 | 3.0 percentage points |
| Republicans | 382 | 6.5 percentage points |
| Democrats | 503 | 5.5 percentage points |
| Independents | 570 | 5.0 percentage points |
| Tea party movement: |  |  |
| Agree with movement | 324 | 7.0 percentage points |
| Disagree | 420 | 6.0 percentage points |
| No opinion | 743 | 4.5 percentage points |
| $18-29$ | 212 | 8.5 percentage points |
| $30-49$ | 426 | 6.0 percentage points |
| $50-64$ | 467 | 5.5 percentage points |
| $65+$ | 405 | 6.0 percentage points |

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.
Some of the analysis in this report is based on telephone interviews conducted March 10-13, 2011 among a national sample of 1,001 adults 18 years of age or older living in the continental United States (670 respondents were interviewed on a landline telephone, and 331 were interviewed on a cell phone, including 154 who had no landline telephone). The survey was conducted by
interviewers at Princeton Data Source under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. A combination of landline and cell phone random digit dial samples were used; both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International. Interviews were conducted in English. Respondents in the landline sample were selected by randomly asking for the youngest adult male or female who is now at home. Interviews in the cell sample were conducted with the person who answered the phone, if that person was an adult 18 years of age or older.

The combined landline and cell phone sample are weighted using an iterative technique that matches gender, age, education, race, Hispanic origin, region, and population density to parameters from the March 2010 Census Bureau's Current Population Survey. The sample also is weighted to match current patterns of telephone status based on extrapolations from the 2010 National Health Interview Survey. The weighting procedure also accounts for the fact that respondents with both landline and cell phones have a greater probability of being included in the combined sample and adjusts for household size within the landline sample. Sampling errors and statistical tests of significance take into account the effect of weighting. The following table shows the sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

| Group | Sample Size | Plus or minus $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total sample | 1001 | 4.0 percentage points |

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.
In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.
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The Pew Research Center for the People \& the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world.

The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an important information resource for political leaders, journalists, scholars, and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are made available free of charge.
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# PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE \& THE PRESS 2011 MARCH POLITICAL TYPOLOGY SURVEY A <br> <br> FINAL TOPLINE <br> <br> FINAL TOPLINE <br> Survey B: March 8-14, 2011 N=1525 

## NO QUESTION 1

ASK ALL SURVEY B:
Q.B2 All in all, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in this country today?

|  | Satis- | Dis- | (VOL.) |  | fied | satisfied | DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | fied | satisfied | DK/Ref | Late November, 2005 | 34 | 59 | 7 |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 22 | 73 | 5 | Early October, 2005 | 29 | 65 | 6 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 26 | 68 | 5 | July, 2005 | 35 | 58 | 7 |
| Jan 5-9, 2011 | 23 | 71 | 6 | Late May, 2005* | 39 | 57 | 4 |
| Dec 1-5, 2010 | 21 | 72 | 7 | February, 2005 | 38 | 56 | 6 |
| Nov 4-7, 2010 | 23 | 69 | 8 | January, 2005 | 40 | 54 | 6 |
| Sep 23-26, 2010 | 30 | 63 | 7 | December, 2004 | 39 | 54 | 7 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 25 | 71 | 5 | Mid-October, 2004 | 36 | 58 | 6 |
| Jun 24-27, 2010 | 27 | 64 | 9 | July, 2004 | 38 | 55 | 7 |
| May 13-16, 2010 | 28 | 64 | 7 | May, 2004 | 33 | 61 | 6 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 29 | 66 | 5 | Late February, 2004* | 39 | 55 | 6 |
| Apr 1-5, 2010 | 31 | 63 | 6 | Early January, 2004 | 45 | 48 | 7 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 25 | 69 | 5 | December, 2003 | 44 | 47 | 9 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 23 | 71 | 7 | October, 2003 | 38 | 56 | 6 |
| Feb 3-9, 2010 | 23 | 71 | 6 | August, 2003 | 40 | 53 | 7 |
| Jan 6-10, 2010 | 27 | 69 | 4 | April 8, 2003 | 50 | 41 | 9 |
| Oct 28-Nov 8, 2009 | 25 | 67 | 7 | January, 2003 | 44 | 50 | 6 |
| Sep 30-Oct 4, 2009 | 25 | 67 | 7 | November, 2002 | 41 | 48 | 11 |
| Sep 10-15, 20091 | 30 | 64 | 7 | September, 2002 | 41 | 55 | 4 |
| Aug 20-27, 2009 | 28 | 65 | 7 | Late August, 2002 | 47 | 44 | 9 |
| Aug 11-17, 2009 | 28 | 65 | 7 | May, 2002 | 44 | 44 | 12 |
| Jul 22-26, 2009 | 28 | 66 | 6 | March, 2002 | 50 | 40 | 10 |
| Jun 10-14, 2009 | 30 | 64 | 5 | Late September, 2001 | 57 | 34 | 9 |
| Apr 28-May 12, 2009 | 34 | 58 | 8 | Early September, 2001 | 41 | 53 | 6 |
| Apr 14-21, 2009 | 23 | 70 | 7 | June, 2001 | 43 | 52 | 5 |
| Jan 7-11, 2009 | 20 | 73 | 7 | March, 2001 | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| December, 2008 | 13 | 83 | 4 | February, 2001 | 46 | 43 | 11 |
| Early October, 2008 | 11 | 86 | 3 | January, 2001 | 55 | 41 | 4 |
| Mid-September, 2008 | 25 | 69 | 6 | October, 2000 (RVs) | 54 | 39 | 7 |
| August, 2008 | 21 | 74 | 5 | September, 2000 | 51 | 41 | 8 |
| July, 2008 | 19 | 74 | 7 | June, 2000 | 47 | 45 | 8 |
| June, 2008 | 19 | 76 | 5 | April, 2000 | 48 | 43 | 9 |
| Late May, 2008 | 18 | 76 | 6 | August, 1999 | 56 | 39 | 5 |
| March, 2008 | 22 | 72 | 6 | January, 1999 | 53 | 41 | 6 |
| Early February, 2008 | 24 | 70 | 6 | November, 1998 | 46 | 44 | 10 |
| Late December, 2007 | 27 | 66 | 7 | Early September, 1998 | 54 | 42 | 4 |
| October, 2007 | 28 | 66 | 6 | Late August, 1998 | 55 | 41 | 4 |
| February, 2007 | 30 | 61 | 9 | Early August, 1998 | 50 | 44 | 6 |
| Mid-January, 2007 | 32 | 61 | 7 | February, 1998 | 59 | 37 | 4 |
| Early January, 2007 | 30 | 63 | 7 | January, 1998 | 46 | 50 | 4 |
| December, 2006 | 28 | 65 | 7 | September, 1997 | 45 | 49 | 6 |
| Mid-November, 2006 | 28 | 64 | 8 | August, 1997 | 49 | 46 | 5 |
| Early October, 2006 | 30 | 63 | 7 | January, 1997 | 38 | 58 | 4 |
| July, 2006 | 30 | 65 | 5 | July, 1996 | 29 | 67 | 4 |
| May, 2006* | 29 | 65 | 6 | March, 1996 | 28 | 70 | 2 |
| March, 2006 | 32 | 63 | 5 | October, 1995 | 23 | 73 | 4 |
| January, 2006 | 34 | 61 | 5 | June, 1995 | 25 | 73 | 2 |
|  | Satis- | Dis- | (VOL.) | April, 1995 | 23 | 74 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  | July, 1994 | 24 | 73 | 3 |
| ${ }^{\text {I }}$ S September 10-15, 2009 and o | urveys note | d with an asterisk, | the question | March, 1994 | 24 | 71 | 5 |

[^0] our country today?"

## Q.B2 CONTINUED ...

|  | Satis- | Dis- <br> fied | (VOL.) <br> satisfied | DK/Ref |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October, 1993 | $\frac{22}{22}$ |  | 73 | 5 |
| September, 1993 | 20 | 75 | 5 |  |
| May, 1993 | 22 | 71 | 7 |  |
| January, 1993 | 39 | 50 | 11 |  |
| January, 1992 | 28 | 68 | 4 |  |


|  | Satis- | Dis- <br> fied | (VOL.) <br> satisfied | DK/Ref |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| November, 1991 | $\frac{34}{}$ |  | 61 | 5 |
| Gallup: Late Feb, 1991 | 66 | 31 | 3 |  |
| August, 1990 | 47 | 48 | 5 |  |
| May, 1990 | 41 | 54 | 5 |  |
| January, 1989 | 45 | 50 | 5 |  |
| September, 1988 (RVS) | 50 | 45 | 5 |  |

## NO QUESTIONS 3-13

## Q.B14-Q.B15 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ASK ALL SURVEY B:

Q.B16 Which of the following national economic issues worries you most? [READ AND RANDOMIZE]

|  |  | Aug 25- |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar 8-14 | Feb 2-7 Dec 1-5 | Sep 6 | Jun 3-6 Mar 10-14 |  |  |  |
| $\frac{2011}{34}$ | The job situation | $\underline{2011}$ | $\frac{2010}{47}$ | $\frac{2010}{49}$ | $\frac{2010}{41}$ | $\frac{2010}{45}$ |
| 28 | Rising prices | 23 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 |
| 24 | The federal budget deficit | 19 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 22 |
| 10 | Problems in the financial and housing markets | 10 | 14 | 12 | 13 | 11 |
| 3 | Other (VOL.) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| $*$ | None/Not worried about any (VOL.) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | $*$ |
| 1 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 |

NO QUESTIONS 18-19, 23-32, 39-46, 48-67, 69-70

## Q.17, Q.B20-Q.B22, Q.33-Q.B38, Q.B47, Q.B68 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ASK ALL SURVEY B:

Q.B71 Considering what the president and Congress need to deal with, do you think reducing the budget deficit should be a top priority, important but lower priority, not too important, or does it not need to be addressed this year?

| Mar 8-14 |  | Oct | Mar |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underline{2011}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |
| 53 | Top priority | 42 | 39 |
| 33 | Important but lower priority | 38 | 46 |
| 3 | Not too important | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | Does not need to be addressed this year | 10 | 5 |
| 4 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) | 4 | 4 |

ASK ALL SURVEY B:
Q.B72 Would you favor or oppose [INSERT ITEM, RANDOMIZE] as a way to reduce the budget deficit?

|  | Favor | Oppose | (VOL.) DK/Ref |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Raising taxes |  |  |  |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 30 | 67 | 3 |
| October, 2005 | 26 | 70 | 4 |
| March, 2005 | 31 | 66 | 3 |
| b. Lowering defense and military spending |  |  |  |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 49 | 47 | 4 |
| October, 2005 | 36 | 58 | 6 |
| March, 2005 | 35 | 60 | 5 |
| c. Lowering domestic spending |  |  |  |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 61 | 30 | 9 |
| October, 2005 | 47 | 41 | 12 |
| March, 2005 | 54 | 35 | 11 |
| d. Changes to Social Security and Medicare Mar 8-14, 2011 | 30 | 65 | 4 |

## ASK ALL SURVEY B:

Q.B73 Who has the better approach to the budget deficit: [RANDOMIZE: Barack Obama/the Republicans in Congress], or is there not much difference?

Mar 8-14, 2011
Nov 4-7, 2010 ${ }^{2}$
TREND FOR COMPARISON:

> NBC/WSJ: Dec 1996
> $N B C / W S J:$ Jan 1996
> $N B C / W S J:$ Oct 1995
> $N B C / W S J:$ Sep 1995
> $N B C / W S J:$ Jul 1995
> $N B C / W S J:$ Jan 1995
> $N B C / W S J:$ Nov 1994 (RVs)

| Barack | Republicans | Not much | (VOL.) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Obama | in Congress | difference | DK/Ref |
| 20 | 21 | 52 | 7 |
| 24 | 35 | 33 | 8 |
| President | Republicans | Not much | (VOL.) |
| Clinton | in Congress | difference | DK/Ref |
| 29 | 31 | 34 | 6 |
| 28 | 34 | 29 | 9 |
| 25 | 40 | 27 | 8 |
| 30 | 35 | 25 | 10 |
| 27 | 39 | 24 | 10 |
| 25 | 37 | 29 | 9 |
| 23 | 46 | 27 | 4 |

## ASK ALL SURVEY B:

Q.B74 If the government makes major cuts in federal spending this year in an effort to reduce the budget deficit, do you think these cuts will [RANDOMIZE: help the job situation/hurt the job situation], or not have much of an effect either way?

| Mar 8-14 |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| $\frac{2011}{18}$ | Help |
| 34 | Hurt |
| 41 | Not much of an effect either way |
| 1 | Mixed effect (VOL.) |
| 6 | Don't know/Refused (VOL.) |

## NO QUESTIONS 75-89

## Q.B90-Q.B92 HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

[^1]
## ASK ALL:

PARTY
In politics TODAY, do you consider yourself a Republican, Democrat, or independent?
ASK IF INDEP/NO PREF/OTHER/DK/REF (PARTY=3,4,5,9):
PARTYLN
As of today do you lean more to the Republican Party or more to the Democratic Party?

|  | Republican | Democrat | Independent | (VOL.) No preference | (VOL.) <br> Other <br> party | (VOL.) <br> DK/Ref | Lean Rep | Lean Dem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mar 8-14, 2011 | 24 | 33 | 38 | 3 | * | 2 | 17 | 15 |
| Feb 2-7, 2011 | 24 | 31 | 39 | 3 | * | 2 | 16 | 16 |
| Jan 5-9, 2011 | 27 | 32 | 35 | 4 | * | 2 | 15 | 14 |
| Dec 1-5, 2010 | 25 | 33 | 34 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 13 | 14 |
| Nov 4-7, 2010 | 26 | 30 | 37 | 4 | * | 2 | 17 | 13 |
| Oct 27-30, 2010 | 25 | 34 | 31 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 11 |
| Oct 13-18, 2010 | 25 | 31 | 36 | 4 | * | 3 | 16 | 13 |
| Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 | 24 | 32 | 39 | 2 | * | 2 | 15 | 17 |
| Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010 | 26 | 33 | 34 | 4 | * | 3 | 14 | 14 |
| Jun 16-20, 2010 | 27 | 34 | 34 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 15 | 15 |
| Apr 21-26, 2010 | 26 | 33 | 36 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 13 |
| Mar 11-21, 2010 | 28 | 34 | 32 | 3 | * | 3 | 13 | 12 |
| Mar 10-14, 2010 | 22 | 33 | 37 | 6 | * | 3 | 14 | 13 |
| Yearly Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2010 | 25.2 | 32.7 | 35.2 | 3.6 | . 4 | 2.8 | 14.5 | 14.1 |
| 2009 | 23.9 | 34.4 | 35.1 | 3.4 | . 4 | 2.8 | 13.1 | 15.7 |
| 2008 | 25.7 | 36.0 | 31.5 | 3.6 | . 3 | 3.0 | 10.6 | 15.2 |
| 2007 | 25.3 | 32.9 | 34.1 | 4.3 | . 4 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 17.0 |
| 2006 | 27.8 | 33.1 | 30.9 | 4.4 | . 3 | 3.4 | 10.5 | 15.1 |
| 2005 | 29.3 | 32.8 | 30.2 | 4.5 | . 3 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 14.9 |
| 2004 | 30.0 | 33.5 | 29.5 | 3.8 | . 4 | 3.0 | 11.7 | 13.4 |
| 2003 | 30.3 | 31.5 | 30.5 | 4.8 | . 5 | 2.5 | 12.0 | 12.6 |
| 2002 | 30.4 | 31.4 | 29.8 | 5.0 | . 7 | 2.7 | 12.4 | 11.6 |
| 2001 | 29.0 | 33.2 | 29.5 | 5.2 | . 6 | 2.6 | 11.9 | 11.6 |
| 2001 Post-Sept 11 | 30.9 | 31.8 | 27.9 | 5.2 | . 6 | 3.6 | 11.7 | 9.4 |
| 2001 Pre-Sept 11 | 27.3 | 34.4 | 30.9 | 5.1 | . 6 | 1.7 | 12.1 | 13.5 |
| 2000 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 29.1 | 5.5 | . 5 | 3.6 | 11.6 | 11.7 |
| 1999 | 26.6 | 33.5 | 33.7 | 3.9 | . 5 | 1.9 | 13.0 | 14.5 |
| 1998 | 27.9 | 33.7 | 31.1 | 4.6 | . 4 | 2.3 | 11.6 | 13.1 |
| 1997 | 28.0 | 33.4 | 32.0 | 4.0 | . 4 | 2.3 | 12.2 | 14.1 |
| 1996 | 28.9 | 33.9 | 31.8 | 3.0 | . 4 | 2.0 | 12.1 | 14.9 |
| 1995 | 31.6 | 30.0 | 33.7 | 2.4 | . 6 | 1.3 | 15.1 | 13.5 |
| 1994 | 30.1 | 31.5 | 33.5 | 1.3 | -- | 3.6 | 13.7 | 12.2 |
| 1993 | 27.4 | 33.6 | 34.2 | 4.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.5 | 14.9 |
| 1992 | 27.6 | 33.7 | 34.7 | 1.5 | 0 | 2.5 | 12.6 | 16.5 |
| 1991 | 30.9 | 31.4 | 33.2 | 0 | 1.4 | 3.0 | 14.7 | 10.8 |
| 1990 | 30.9 | 33.2 | 29.3 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 3.4 | 12.4 | 11.3 |
| 1989 | 33 | 33 | 34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 1987 | 26 | 35 | 39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

## ASK ALL:

TEAPARTY2
From what you know, do you agree or disagree with the Tea Party movement, or don't you have an opinion either way?

Mar 8-14, 2011
Feb 2-7, $2011^{3}$

| $\frac{\text { Agree }}{19}$ | $\frac{\text { Disagree }}{25}$ | No opinion <br> either way |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 22 | 53 |


| (VOL.) <br> Haven't <br> heard of | Not <br> (VOL.) <br> Refused | heard of/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 |  | $\underline{D K}$ |
| 2 | -- |  |

[^2]
## TEAPARTY2 CONTINUED...

Jan 5-9, 2011
Dec 1-5, 2010
Nov 4-7, 2010
Oct 27-30, 2010 ( $R$ Vs)
Oct 13-18, 2010 ( $R V \mathrm{~s}$ )
Aug 25-Sep 6, 2010 (RVs)
Jul 21-Aug 5, 2010
Jun 16-20, 2010
May 20-23, 2010
Mar 11-21, 2010

| Agree | Disagree | No opinion either way | (VOL.) <br> Haven't heard of | (VOL.) <br> Refused | Not heard of/ DK |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 | 22 | 50 | 2 | 1 | -- |
| 22 | 26 | 49 | 2 | 2 | -- |
| 27 | 22 | 49 | 1 | 1 | -- |
| 29 | 25 | 32 | -- | 1 | 13 |
| 28 | 24 | 30 | -- | 1 | 16 |
| 29 | 26 | 32 | -- | 1 | 13 |
| 22 | 18 | 37 | -- | 1 | 21 |
| 24 | 18 | 30 | -- | * | 27 |
| 25 | 18 | 31 | -- | 1 | 25 |
| 24 | 14 | 29 | -- | 1 | 31 |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER NEWS INTEREST INDEX <br> MARCH 10-13, 2011 OMNIBUS <br> FINAL TOPLINE <br> $\mathbf{N = 1 , 0 0 1}$

## PEW.1-PEW. 3 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ASK ALL:
PEW. 4 As you may know, there has been a lot of discussion in Washington recently over the federal budget deficit and government spending. From what you've seen and heard, has the tone of the debate over the federal budget deficit been [READ AND RANDOMIZE OPTIONS]

27 Generally polite and respectful [OR]
$\underline{2009}$
49 Generally rude and disrespectful
31
24 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)
53

PEW.5-PEW. 8 PREVIOUSLY RELEASED


[^0]:    $1 \quad$ In September 10-15, 2009 and other surveys noted with an asterisk, the question
    was worded "Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the way things are going in

[^1]:    2 In November 2010 the question asked about "President Obama" and was asked as part of a list.

[^2]:    3 In the February 2-7, 2011 survey and before, question read "...do you strongly agree, agree, disagree or strongly disagree with the Tea Party movement..."In October 2010 and earlier, question was asked only of those who had heard or read a lot or a little about the Tea Party. In May through October, it was described as: "the Tea Party movement that has

