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## How we did this

Pew Research Center conducted this study to understand how Americans are viewing proposals aimed at addressing policing in the aftermath of the widely covered deaths of several Black people in police custody, as well as widespread protests against racism and excessive use of force by police. For this analysis, we surveyed 4,708 U.S. adults in June 2020. Everyone who took part is a member of Pew Research Center's American Trends Panel (ATP), an online survey panel that is recruited through national, random sampling of residential addresses. This way nearly all U.S. adults have a chance of selection. The survey is weighted to be representative of the U.S. adult population by gender, race, ethnicity, partisan affiliation, education and other categories. Read more about the ATP's methodology.

Here are the questions used for the report, along with responses, and its methodology.

## Majority of Public Favors Giving Civilians the Power to Sue Police Officers for Misconduct

Little public support for cuts in spending on local policing
With legislation to address racism and the use of excessive force by law enforcement stalled in Congress, there is broad public support in the United States for permitting citizens to sue police officers in order to hold them accountable for misconduct or using excessive force.

## Two-thirds of Americans say civilians need to have the power to sue police officers for using excessive force

The legal doctrine of "qualified immunity" generally protects officers from being held personally liable in lawsuits unless they commit clear violations of law. A proposal to limit qualified immunity has emerged as a stumbling block in the congressional debate over policing.

Two-thirds of Americans (66\%) say that civilians need to have the power to sue police officers to hold them accountable for misconduct and excessive use of force, even if that makes the officers' jobs more difficult. Just $32 \%$ say that, in order for police officers to do their jobs effectively, they need to be shielded from such lawsuits.


Notes: No answer responses not shown. White and Black adults include those who report being only one race and are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race. See topline for full question wording.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
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About eight-in-ten Black adults (86\%) favor permitting citizens to sue police officers to hold them accountable for misconduct, as do $75 \%$ of Hispanic adults and $60 \%$ of white adults. There also are sizable partisan differences in views of qualified immunity, reflecting the divisions over the issue in Congress. A majority of Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents (84\%) say citizens
need the power to sue police officers for the use of excessive force and misconduct, compared with $45 \%$ of Republicans and Republican leaners.

The national survey, conducted June 16-22 among 4,708 adults using Pew Research Center's American Trends Panel, finds that the public's evaluations of police performance in several key areas have declined since the Center last explored attitudes among police officers and the public in 2016.

Declining shares of Americans give police forces positive ratings for using force
appropriately, treating racial groups equally and holding officers accountable appropriately, treating racial groups equally and holding officers accountable
$\%$ who say police around the country are doing an $\qquad$ job of ...


Note: No answer responses not shown.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

A 58\% majority of Americans say police around the country do an excellent or good job of protecting people from crime, which is little changed from the share who said this four years ago (62\%). However, there have been double-digit declines in the shares who say police forces do an excellent or good job of using the right amount of force for each situation (from $45 \%$ in 2016 to $35 \%$ today), treating racial and ethnic groups equally ( $47 \%$ to $34 \%$ ) and holding officers accountable when misconduct occurs ( $44 \%$ to $31 \%$ ).

The declines on all three measures have been comparable among Black and white adults. Democrats are far less positive about police performance than they were in 2016, while the change among Republicans has been less pronounced.

For example, just 10\% of Democrats say police around the country do an excellent or good job in treating racial and ethnic groups equally, down from $27 \%$ in 2016. Nearly two-thirds of Republicans (64\%) have a positive view of how police around the country do in treating racial and ethnic groups equally, which is a modest decline from four years ago ( $71 \%$ ).

The survey finds little support for reducing spending on policing. Just $25 \%$ of Americans say spending on policing in their area should be decreased, with only $12 \%$ saying it should be decreased a lot; another $14 \%$ say it should be reduced a little.

A $73 \%$ majority say that spending on their local police should stay about the same as it is now (42\%) or be increased from its current level (31\%). While Black adults are more likely than whites to favor cuts in police budgets, fewer than half of Black adults ( $42 \%$ ) say spending on policing in their areas should be reduced. That is double the share of white adults who favor cutting funding for their local police (21\%).

There also are sizable age differences in views of funding for policing. Among both Black and white adults, those under age 50 are far more likely to support decreased funding for police in their areas than are those 50 and older.


Note: No answer responses not shown.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The survey finds that Americans overwhelmingly favor requiring police to be trained in nonviolent alternatives to deadly force; $92 \%$ support this proposal, including $71 \%$ who strongly favor it.

Several other policing proposals draw broad support as well: $90 \%$ of the public favors a federal government database to track officers accused of misconduct. Threequarters support giving civilian oversight boards the power to investigate and discipline officers accused of misconduct, and similar shares favor requiring officers to live in the places they police and outlawing police use of chokeholds or strangleholds.

While majorities of both parties and of Black, white and Hispanic adults favor each of these proposals, there are substantial differences in intensity of support on most of them. For example, while large shares of Black ( $87 \%$ ) and white adults ( $71 \%$ ) favor outlawing police use of chokeholds or strangleholds, nearly three-quarters of Black adults (74\%) strongly favor this proposal, compared with fewer than half of white adults (44\%).

## Wide racial, partisan gaps in views of police performance

Americans are divided along partisan and racial lines in their evaluations of police. Across four measures of police performance, white adults are consistently more positive about the performance of police around the country than Black adults, and Republicans are much more positive than Democrats. While the partisan divide in positive evaluations of the police on most of these dimensions is nearly as wide among whites as it is overall, Black Democrats are more likely than their white counterparts to say that the police perform poorly.

## Black adults are highly critical of police performance in several areas, including protecting people from crime; far fewer white adults express negative views

\% who say police around the country are doing a

$\qquad$ job of ...


Note: White and Black adults include those who report being only one race and are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Overall, a majority of Americans (58\%) say that police around the country are doing an excellent or good job of protecting people from crimes - a view held by $78 \%$ of Republicans and Republican leaners but 43\% of Democrats and Democratic leaners. Two-thirds of white adults (67\%) say the police are doing a good or excellent job of protecting people, while just $28 \%$ of Black adults say the same. Half of Hispanic adults say police do an excellent or good job of protecting people.

There are racial and ethnic divides among Democrats in these views: While just $27 \%$ of Black Democrats say police do an excellent or good job protecting people from crime (and fully $72 \%$ say they do a poor job of this), about half of white Democrats (49\%) and 42\% of Hispanic Democrats say the same.

Public ratings of the police in three other areas - using the right amount of force for each situation, treating racial and ethnic groups equally and holding officers accountable when misconduct occurs - are considerably more negative, with more than six-in-ten Americans rating police performance in these domains as only fair or poor. Black Americans are especially likely to rate police negatively in each of these areas.

However, majorities of Republicans say that police are doing an excellent or good job of using the right amount of force for each situation (61\%) and treating racial and ethnic groups equally (64\%). About half of Republicans (51\%) say that police around the country are doing an excellent or good job of holding officers accountable when misconduct occurs. Fewer than two-in-ten Democrats rate police positively in these areas.

While only about one-in-ten white Democrats and Black Democrats rate police performance in these three areas positively, Black Democrats are significantly more likely than white Democrats to say police are doing a poor job in each of these areas. For example, three-quarters of Black Democrats say that police are doing a poor job of using the right amount of force for each situation, compared with $46 \%$ of white Democrats who say this.

Hispanic Democrats are more positive in their evaluations of police performance in these domains than both white and Black Democrats; still, majorities rate police performance in these areas as only fair or poor.

## Overall ratings of the police have declined since 2016

Americans' ratings of police performance are lower than they were four years ago, and while substantial racial and partisan divides remain, these declines are largely seen among both white and Black adults.

The share of white Americans who say police are doing an excellent or good job of holding officers accountable for misconduct has fallen from half in 2016 to about one-third today (34\%). The share of Black Americans who say this has also declined, from $21 \%$ to $12 \%$.

The shares of white and Black adults who say police around the country are doing an excellent or good job of using the right amount of force have declined by identical amounts 10 percentage points each - since 2016. The shares saying police are doing an excellent or good job of treating racial and ethnic groups equally have also declined by identical amounts (11 points each).

There has been no significant change since 2016 in the shares of white and Black Americans saying that police are doing an excellent or good job of protecting people from crime.


Note: White and Black adults include those who report being only one race and are not Hispanic.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

While overall public evaluations of police performance have become more negative since 2016, declines among Democrats have generally been steeper than among Republicans.

Republicans today are about as likely to say that police around the country do an excellent or good job of protecting people from crime as they were four years ago ( $78 \%$ today vs. $74 \%$ in 2016). Among Democrats, about four-in-ten (43\%) say that police do an excellent or good job of protecting people, down from about half (53\%) in 2016.

Republicans are only slightly less likely to say police do an excellent or good job of treating racial and ethnic groups equally or using the right amount of force for each situation than they were previously, but Democrats' views on police performance in these areas have shifted downward more substantially. The share of Democrats who say police use appropriate force has decreased from $28 \%$ to $14 \%$. And just one-in-ten Democrats now say that police do an excellent or good job of treating racial and ethnic groups equally, compared with about one-quarter (27\%) who said this four years ago.

Republicans have changed the most in their views of police accountability. While nearly two-thirds (64\%) said that police did an excellent or good job of holding officers accountable when misconduct occurs in 2016, only about half (51\%) now say this. The share of Democrats who say police do an excellent or good job of holding officers accountable has decreased by a similar amount, from $27 \%$ to $13 \%$.

## Partisan gap on several evaluations of police performance wider than in 2016

$\%$ who say police around the country are doing an excellent/good job of ...


Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Broad public support for several policing reform proposals

There is majority support among the public - and in both parties - for five policy proposals about policing included in the survey. However, there are still sizable partisan differences in these views.

Eight-in-ten or more
Democrats either strongly or somewhat favor each of the five proposals, while there is more variation among Republicans. Even on policies where there is overwhelming bipartisan support - such as requiring police to be trained in nonviolent alternatives to deadly force, favored by nine-in-ten or more in both parties Democrats are more likely than Republicans to strongly support such a policy ( $84 \%$ vs. 55\%).

An overwhelming majority of Democrats (89\%) say they favor giving civilian oversight boards power to investigate and discipline officers accused of misconduct, with $62 \%$ saying they strongly favor this. A narrower majority (58\%) of Republicans say they either strongly or somewhat favor oversight boards ( $19 \%$ strongly favor). There is a similar pattern of opinion about making it a crime for police to use chokeholds or strangleholds ( $88 \%$ of Democrats and $57 \%$ of Republicans favor this).

## Democrats more likely than Republicans to say they favor several police reform proposals

\% who say they strongly/somewhat favor each of the following ...
Give civilian oversight
boards power to investigate
and discipline officers
accused of inappropriate use
of force or other misconduct


Make it a crime for police to use chokeholds or strangleholds


| Require officers to live <br> in the places they police | Total | 35 | 39 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep/Lean Rep | 27 | 41 | $\mathbf{6 8}$ |  |
|  | Dem/Lean Dem | 43 | 38 |  | $\mathbf{8 0}$ |


| Create a federal government database to track officers accused of misconduct | Total | 62 | 27 | 90 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rep/Lean Rep | 44 | 41 | 85 |
|  | Dem/Lean Dem | 77 | 16 | 94 |
| Require police to be trained in nonviolent alternatives to deadly force | Total | 71 | 22 | 92 |
|  | Rep/Lean Rep | 55 | 36 | 91 |
|  | Dem/Lean Dem | 84 | 1 | 09 |

Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Overwhelming majorities of both Republicans ( $85 \%$ ) and Democrats (94\%) favor creating a federal government database to track officers accused of misconduct. However, while about threequarters of Democrats ( $77 \%$ ) strongly favor this proposal, fewer than half of Republicans say the same (44\%).

Large majorities of Republicans (91\%) and Democrats (94\%) also favor requiring police to be trained in nonviolent alternatives to deadly force. Among Democrats, $84 \%$ say they strongly favor this policy, while slightly more than half of Republicans (55\%) say the same.

While majorities of white (71\%), Black (82\%) and Hispanic (81\%) Americans favor giving civilian boards power to investigate and discipline officers, Black and Hispanic Americans are more likely than white Americans to favor this - and to do so strongly.

Nearly nine-in-ten Black adults (87\%) favor making it a crime for police to use chokeholds or strangleholds, including 74\% who do so strongly. This proposed policy is supported by $71 \%$ of white adults and $75 \%$ of Hispanic adults.

Seven-in-ten or more white (74\%), Black (79\%) and Hispanic (72\%) adults say they favor requiring officers to live in the places they police, with Black Americans somewhat more likely than Hispanic and white Americans to strongly favor this.

And while the creation of a federal government database to track officers accused of misconduct is supported by wide majorities across racial and ethnic groups, Black adults are more likely than white adults to strongly favor this proposal.

Overwhelming majorities across racial and ethnic groups say they favor requiring police to be trained in nonviolent alternatives to deadly force, with at least seven-in-ten saying they strongly favor this.

## Just a quarter of the public says spending on police should be decreased

About four-in-ten Americans (42\%) say spending on policing in their area should stay about the same, while $31 \%$ say it should be increased and $25 \%$ say it should be decreased.

Support for decreasing spending on policing is higher among younger adults, Black adults, and Democrats and Democratic leaners, though even in these groups fewer than half say spending should be decreased.

Adults under 30 are much more likely than others to say that police spending should be decreased: $45 \%$ of those ages 18 to 29 say this, while $28 \%$ of those 30 to 49 and only $15 \%$ of those 50 and older say the same. Those over the age of 50 are more likely than younger Americans to say spending should be increased ( $37 \%$ say this, compared with $29 \%$ of $30-$ to 49-year-olds and $22 \%$ of those under 30).

About four-in-ten Black adults (42\%) say spending on police in their area should decrease, including $22 \%$ who say spending should be decreased a lot. One-third of Black adults say spending should stay the same, while $22 \%$ say it should

Black adults and young people most likely to say spending on police should be decreased


Notes: No answer responses not shown. White and Black adults include those who report
being only one race and are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race.
Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

In contrast, about two-in-ten white adults (21\%) and a similar share of Hispanic adults (24\%) say police spending in their area should be decreased, while larger shares in both groups ( $33 \%$ and $37 \%$, respectively) say spending should be increased.

Among Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents, $41 \%$ say spending on the police should be decreased, while just $8 \%$ of Republicans say the same. By comparison, $45 \%$ of Republicans and just $19 \%$ of Democrats that spending on the police should be increased ( $46 \%$ of Republicans and $38 \%$ of Democrats say spending should stay the same).

Among Democrats there are sizable age and ideological differences on this question.

White and Black Democrats are nearly equally likely to say that spending should be decreased ( $43 \%$ and $42 \%$ respectively); Hispanic Democrats are somewhat less likely to say this (32\%). And while 34\% of Hispanic Democrats say funding should be increased, that compares with $23 \%$ of Black Democrats and just 14\% of white Democrats.

Younger Democrats are far more likely to say that spending on the police should be decreased. Similar majorities of white (57\%) and Black (53\%) Democrats under the age of 50 say that spending should be decreased, with nearly a third saying it should be decreased a lot (30\% and $32 \%$, respectively). By comparison, only about three-

## Among Democrats, support for cutting police spending higher among liberals, younger adults

\% of Dem/Lean Dem who say spending on policing in your area should be ...


Notes: No answer responses not shown. White and Black adults include those who report being only one race and are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER in-ten white and Black Democrats ages 50 and older ( $28 \%$ and $29 \%$, respectively) say police spending should be decreased.

Liberal Democrats are much more likely to say that police spending should be decreased than conservative and moderate Democrats ( $57 \%$ vs. $27 \%$ ). Democrats who say they are "very" liberal
are particularly likely to hold this view - $68 \%$ say funding for police should be decreased, compared with $52 \%$ among those who say they are liberal (but not very liberal).

## Democrats and younger Republicans back ending qualified immunity

Among Republicans, there are sizable divides by age when it comes to whether civilians should be able to sue the police: $61 \%$ of Republicans ages 18 to 29 say civilians need to have the power to sue police officers in order to hold them accountable, compared with about half of those 30 to $64(47 \%)$ and just $31 \%$ of those 65 and older.

While at least three-quarters of Democrats in all age groups say that civilians should be able to sue the police, younger Democrats are more likely to say this: $87 \%$ of Democrats ages 18 to 29 say civilians need the power to sue the police, while slightly fewer Democrats 65 and older say the same (79\%).

Eight-in-ten or more white (84\%), Black (89\%) and Hispanic (82\%) Democrats say that civilians should have the power to sue police. White Democrats are much more likely than white Republicans to say this ( $84 \%$ vs. $43 \%$ ).


Note: White and Black adults include those who report being only one race and are not Hispanic; Hispanics are of any race. Source: Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020.
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## Methodology

## The American Trends Panel survey methodology

The American Trends Panel (ATP), created by Pew Research Center, is a nationally representative panel of randomly selected U.S. adults. Panelists participate via self-administered web surveys. Panelists who do not have internet access at home are provided with a tablet and wireless internet connection. The panel is being managed by Ipsos.

Data in this report is drawn from the panel wave conducted June 16 to June 22, 2020. A total of 4,708 panelists responded out of 6,080 who were sampled, for a response rate of $77 \%$. This does not include one panelist who was removed from the data due to extremely high rates of refusal or straightlining. The cumulative response rate accounting for nonresponse to the recruitment surveys and attrition is $4.3 \%$. The break-off rate among panelists who logged on to the survey and completed at least one item is

American Trends Panel recruitment surveys

| Recruitment dates | Mode <br> Landline/ | Invited | Joined | Active <br> panelists <br> remaining |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. 23 to March 16, 2014 | cell RDD | 9,809 | 5,338 | 2,307 |
| Aug. 27 to Oct. 4, 2015 | Landline/ <br> cell RDD | 6,004 | 2,976 | 1,335 |
|  | Landline/ |  |  |  |
| April 25 to June 4, 2017 | cell RDD | 3,905 | 1,628 | 684 |
| Aug. 8 to Oct. 31, 2018 | ABS/web | 9,396 | 8,778 | 6,407 |
| Aug. 19 to Nov. 30, 2019 | ABS/web | 5,900 | 4,720 | 4,682 |
|  | Total | $\mathbf{3 5 , 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 , 4 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 , 4 1 5}$ |

Note: Approximately once per year, panelists who have not participated in multiple consecutive waves or who did not complete an annual profiling survey are removed from the panel. Panelists also become inactive if they ask to be removed from the panel.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER $1.4 \%$. The margin of sampling error for the full sample of 4,708 respondents is plus or minus 1.8 percentage points.

This study featured a stratified random sample from the ATP. The sample was allocated according to the following strata, in order: tablet households, Mexican-born Hispanics, U.S.-born Hispanics, other foreign-born Hispanics, Cuban-born Hispanics, non-internet, high school education or less, not registered to vote, nonvolunteers, people ages 18 to 34, non-Hispanic Blacks, and all other categories not already falling into any of the above.

The ATP was created in 2014, with the first cohort of panelists invited to join the panel at the end of a large, national, landline and cellphone random-digit-dial survey that was conducted in both English and Spanish. Two additional recruitments were conducted using the same method in 2015
and 2017, respectively. Across these three surveys, a total of 19,718 adults were invited to join the ATP, of which 9,942 agreed to participate.

In August 2018, the ATP switched from telephone to address-based recruitment. Invitations were sent to a random, address-based sample (ABS) of households selected from the U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence File. In each household, the adult with the next birthday was asked to go online to complete a survey, at the end of which they were invited to join the panel. For a random half-sample of invitations, households without internet access were instructed to return a postcard. These households were contacted by telephone and sent a tablet if they agreed to participate. A total of 9,396 were invited to join the panel, and 8,778 agreed to join the panel and completed an initial profile survey. The same recruitment procedure was carried out on August 19, 2019, from which a total of 5,900 were invited to join the panel and 4,720 agreed to join the panel and completed an initial profile survey. Of the 23,440 individuals who have ever joined the ATP, 15,415 remained active panelists and continued to receive survey invitations at the time this survey was conducted.

The U.S. Postal Service's Delivery Sequence File has been estimated to cover as much as $98 \%$ of the population, although some studies suggest that the coverage could be in the low $90 \%$ range. ${ }^{1}$ The American Trends Panel never uses breakout routers or chains that direct respondents to additional surveys.

[^1]
## Weighting

The ATP data was weighted in a multistep process that begins with a base weight incorporating the respondents' original selection probability. The next step in the weighting uses an iterative technique that aligns the sample to population benchmarks on the dimensions listed in the accompanying table.

Sampling errors and test of statistical significance take into account the effect of weighting. Interviews are conducted in both English and Spanish.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

| Weighting dimensions |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Variable | Benchmark source |
| Gender | 2018 American |
| Age | Community Survey |
| Education |  |
| Race/Hispanic origin |  |
| Born inside vs. outside the U.S. among Asians |  |
| Country of birth among Hispanics |  |
| Years lived in the United States |  |
| Home internet access |  |
| Region x Metropolitan status | 2019 CPS March Supplement |
| Volunteerism | 2017 CPS Volunteering \& Civic Life Supplement |
| Voter registration | 2018 CPS Voting and Registration Supplement |
| Party affiliation | Average of the three most recent Pew Research Center telephone surveys. |

Note: Estimates from the ACS are based on non-institutionalized adults. Voter
registration is calculated using procedures
from Hur, Achen (2013) and rescaled to
include the total U.S. adult population.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The following table shows the unweighted sample sizes and the error attributable to sampling that would be expected at the $95 \%$ level of confidence for different groups in the survey:

Survey of U.S. adults conducted June 16-22, 2020

| Group | Unweighted <br> sample size <br> 4,708 | Weighted \% | Plus or minus ... <br> Total sample |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rep/Lean Rep | 1,994 | 44 | 2.7 percentage points |

Sample sizes and sampling errors for other subgroups are available upon request.
© Pew Research Center, 2020
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## ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

## ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ASK ALL:

POLICE3F2 How would you rate the job police around the country are doing when it comes to each of the following?
a. Holding officers accountable when misconduct occurs

| June 16-22, 2020 | 9 | 22 | 27 | 42 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aug 16-Sept 12 | 2016 | 12 | 32 | 26 | 30 |

b. Using the right amount of force for each situation

$$
\text { June 16-22, } 2020
$$

Aug 16-Sept 12, 2016

| 8 | 27 | 28 | 36 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 | 35 | 32 | 22 | 1 |

c. Treating racial and ethnic groups equally

| June 16-22, 2020 | 9 | 25 | 26 | 39 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Aug 16-Sept 12, } 2016 & 11 & 36 & 26 & 27 & 1\end{array}$
d. Protecting people from crime

June 16-22, $2020 \quad 1$
Aug 16-Sept 12, 2016
16
$43 \quad 26 \quad 15 \quad 1$
$\begin{array}{llll}46 & 27 & 10 & 1\end{array}$

## ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS PREVIOUSLY RELEASED

ASK ALL:
POLICE_FUND Thinking about police departments in your area, do you think that spending on policing should be...

June 16-22,
$\underline{2020}$
11
20
42
14
12
1

Increased a lot Increased a little Stay about the same Decreased a little Decreased a lot No answer

## ASK ALL:

Please indicate whether you would favor or oppose each of the following proposals about policing in this country. [RANDOMIZE ITEMS]

|  | Strongly <br> favor | Somewhat <br> favor | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | $\underline{\text { No }}$ <br> answer |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Require that police officers live in the cities or <br> towns they police <br> June $16-22,2020$ | 35 | 39 | 18 | 7 | 1 |

POLICE_POLICIES CONTINUED...
b. Make it a crime for police to use chokeholds or strangleholds

June 16-22, 2020
c. Give civilian oversight boards the power to investigate and discipline police officers accused of inappropriate use of force or other misconduct

June 16-22, 2020
d. Create a federal government database to track police officers who have been accused of misconduct
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { June 16-22, } 2020 & 62 & 27 & 7 & 3 & 1\end{array}$
e. Require police to be trained in nonviolent alternatives to deadly force

June 16-22, 2020

| Strongly <br> favor | Somewhat <br> favor | Somewhat <br> oppose | Strongly <br> oppose | $\underline{\text { No }}$ <br> answer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 49 | 25 | 17 | 8 | 1 |

43
32
15
9

3

1

1

POLICEQ_IMMUNITY Which statement comes closer to your own views - even if neither is exactly right?
[RANDOMIZE]
June 16-22,
$\underline{2020}$
32 In order to do their jobs effectively, police officers need to be protected against lawsuits that may be brought by civilians who accuse them of excessive force or misconduct
66 Even if it might make police officers' jobs more difficult, civilians need to have the power to sue police officers in order to hold officers accountable for excessive use of force or misconduct No answer

## ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS HELD FOR FUTURE RELEASE

## ASK ALL:

PARTY In politics today, do you consider yourself a:
ASK IF INDEP/SOMETHING ELSE (PARTY=3 or 4) OR MISSING:
PARTYLN As of today do you lean more to... ${ }^{1}$


[^2]
[^0]:    (C) Pew Research Center 2020

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ AAPOR Task Force on Address-based Sampling. 2016. "AAPOR Report: Address-based Sampling."

[^2]:    1 Party and Partyln asked in a prior survey

