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## Terminology

The terms "Latino" and "Hispanic" are used interchangeably in this report.
"U.S. born" refers to individuals who are U.S. citizens at birth, including people born in the United States, Puerto Rico or other U.S. territories, as well as those born elsewhere to parents who were U.S. citizens.
"Fast-growing" counties are those counties where the Hispanic population has grown at a rate above the median growth rate for that time period and had at least 1,000 Hispanics in the latter year. For example, from 2007 to 2014 the median Hispanic growth rate for counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 was $26.54 \%$. Counties that had growth rates of more than $26.54 \%$ and had more than 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 are considered to be fast-growing counties in this time period. "Slow-growing" counties are counties with Hispanic growth rates that fall at or below the median growth rate and had at least 1,000 Hispanics in the latter year. "Negative-growth" counties are those counties where the Hispanic population declined, but still had at least 1,000 Hispanics in the latter year.

Northeast, Midwest, South and West refer to census regions that divide the United States into groups for analyses. The Northeast region is comprised of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Pennsylvania and Vermont. The Midwest region: Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota and Wisconsin. The South region: Alabama, Arkansas, Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Maryland, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma/Indian Territory, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia and West Virginia. The West region: Arizona, Alaska, California, Colorado, Hawaii, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wyoming.

The New England census division comprises Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island and Vermont. The Middle Atlantic division: New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania. The East North Central division: Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin. The West North Central division: Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota and South Dakota. The South Atlantic division: Delaware, District of Columbia, Florida, Georgia, Maryland, North Carolina, South Carolina, Virginia and West Virginia. The East South Central division: Alabama, Kentucky, Mississippi and Tennessee. The West South Central division: Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma/Indian Territory and Texas. The Mountain division: Arizona, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming. The Pacific division: Alaska, California, Hawaii, Oregon and Washington.

Non-metropolitan counties include those which are within micropolitan statistical areas and outside of the core-based statistical areas (CBSA).

# U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession 

## South still leads nation overall, but three counties in North Dakota top list offastest-growing

The nation's Latino population has long been characterized by its rapid growth and by its wide dispersion to parts of the country that traditionally have had few Latinos. But a new Pew Research Center analysis of U.S. Census Bureau data finds that the growth and dispersion of the U.S. Latino population has slowed since 2007, when the Great Recession started, immigration from Latin America cooled and Latino fertility rates declined sharply.

Between 2007 and 2014, the U.S. Hispanic population grew annually on average by $2.8 \%$ (its pace of growth has been an even slower $2.4 \%$ between 2010 and 2014). This was down from a $4.4 \%$ growth rate between 2000 and 2007 and down from $5.8 \%$ annually in the 1990s. As a result, the Hispanic population, once the nation's fastest growing, has now slipped behind Asians (whose population grew at an average annual rate of $3.4 \%$ from 2007 to 2014) in its growth rate.

This slowdown has been driven by two, large demographic trends affecting the Hispanic community. Immigration, which in the 1980s and 1990s was the principal driver of Hispanic population growth, began to slow in the mid-2000s. And, in the case of Mexico, immigration has now reversed back toward Mexico since 2009. As a result, the main driver of Hispanic population growth shifted to U.S. births. But here too, change

## U.S. Hispanic population growth slows sharply since 2007 <br> Average annual growth rate <br> 

[^0]PEW RESEARCH CENTER is underway: Throughout much of the early 2000s birth rates of Hispanic women ages 15 to 44 were about 95 births per 1,000 women, reaching a peak of 98.3 in 2006. However, since the onset of the Great Recession, their birth rates have declined, steadily falling to 72.1 births per 1,000 Hispanic women ages 15 to 44 in 2014.

General population growth and economic opportunities in places that traditionally had few Latinos led to the dispersal of the Latino population across the U.S. beginning in the 1990s, just as

Latino population growth was accelerating. ${ }^{1}$ In the 1990s, North Carolina led in Latino population growth as new immigrant arrivals and their families moved there to pursue job opportunities in agriculture and manufacturing. In the 2000s, counties in Georgia saw some of the fastest growth nationally in their Latino populations. By 2014, a record 1,579 counties (about half of all U.S. counties) had at least 1,000 Latinos, up from just 833 in 1990. Overall, these 1,579 counties in 2014 contained $99 \%$ of the U.S. Latino population.

Yet the new analysis shows that Hispanic population dispersion, while continuing, has also slowed since 2007. For example, the share of U.S. counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics rose more rapidly before the onset of the Great Recession than after: Between 2000 and 2007, there was an 8-percentage-point gain in the share of U.S. counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics, rising to $46 \%$ from $38 \%$ in 2000 . Yet while half of U.S. counties met this criterion in 2014, the share that did so was up only 4 percentage points since 2007 , when $46 \%$ of all counties did. Other measures of dispersion show a similar slowing trend (see Chapter 1 for more details).

The slowdown in Latino settlement to traditionally non-Latino areas reflects changes in the demographics of Latinos, but also that the counties with few Latinos today are generally smaller counties overall. The 1,562 counties with fewer than 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 are largely located in non-metropolitan areas of the country and have a median population of about 13,000 people. In the coming years, while some Latinos may move there, it is possible that the slowdown in dispersion will continue.

## Hispanic population dispersion slows since onset of the Great Recession <br> $\%$ of counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in each year <br> 

[^1]These two trends - rapid population growth and geographic dispersion - have led to a number of Latino-driven demographic changes nationwide since 1990. As of 2012, 17 states had kindergarten student populations that were at least 20\% Latino, up from just eight states in 2000. And the growing and dispersing Latino population has led to rising electoral influence of Latino voters in

[^2]recent elections as the number eligible to vote has grown in many battleground states such as Colorado, Nevada, Virginia and North Carolina, even though Latino voters are largely concentrated in non-battleground states like California and Texas. Yet the slowdown in Latino population growth and dispersion may slow these trends as well in the coming years.

Nearly all Latinos lived in half of the nation's more than 3,000 counties in 2014
The number of Latinos residing in counties with at least 1,000 Latinos


Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## South continues to lead in Hispanic population growth, but three fastest growing counties are in North Dakota

Regional growth patterns have changed some following the start of the Great Recession. While counties in the South continued to account for the largest share of the nation's Hispanic population growth ( $43 \%$ between 2007 and 2014, a small decline from $44 \%$ between 2000 and 2007), counties in the Northeast - particularly those in New Jersey, New York and Pennsylvania - accounted for a larger share of national Hispanic population growth since 2007 than in 2000-2007 ( $14 \%$ vs. $11 \%$, respectively). ${ }^{2}$ There was a small decline for all of the other regions of the U.S. during these periods.

Counties in Southern states have long dominated the list of the fast-growing Hispanic counties. This was especially true from 2000 to 2007, when eight of the 10 fastest growing counties were in the South.


Note: Based on 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the U.S. Census Bureau population estimates and the 1990 decennial census (SF-1). "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

However, since the start of the Great Recession counties in North Dakota have topped the list. Williams County, Stark County and Ward County have all seen their Hispanic populations more than double from 2007 to 2014 (though from a small base). In fact, North Dakota's statewide Hispanic population nearly doubled to 18,000 in this same time period, making it the state with the highest Hispanic growth rate (though it ranks 49th among the 50 states and the District of Columbia by Hispanic population). ${ }^{3}$ Other counties outside the South that are among

[^3]the 10 fastest-growing include Luzerne County in Pennsylvania, Beadle County in South Dakota, Duchesne County in Utah and Burleigh County in North Dakota. Overall, just three of the top 10 fastest growing counties by Hispanic population since 2007 were in the South.

More generally, the median growth rate of Latino populations in counties across the nation from 2007 to 2014 was about $27 \%$. Counties that exceeded this average - fastgrowing Latino counties were largely metropolitan, were located in the South and had relatively small Latino populations. Because of the relatively small size of the Latino population in these counties, they account for just $37 \%$ of the nation's Latino population growth.

Accompanying this report are interactive county maps documenting the Hispanic population in the nation's counties in 1980, 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2014; an interactive state map and demographic and economic profiles of the Hispanic population in each of the 50 states and the District of Columbia (see Chapter 4 for an overview of the Hispanic population in the states); and an interactive map and demographic and economic profiles of the 60 metropolitan areas with the largest Hispanic populations (see Chapter 5 for an overview of the Hispanic population in metropolitan areas). The metropolitan area interactive also has a table showing the largest population and shares for the 11 largest Hispanic origin groups: Mexicans, Puerto Ricans, Salvadorans, Cubans, Dominicans, Guatemalans, Colombians, Hondurans, Spaniards, Ecuadorans and Peruvians.

## Fast-growing Latino counties between 2007 and 2014 were largely located in the South and in metropolitan areas



[^4]Other key findings from the report include:

## National population growth

- Despite slowing population growth, Latinos still accounted for more than half (54\%) of the nation's population growth between 2000 and 2014.


## Latinos in the counties

- Latinos account for more than half of the population growth in $41 \%$ of U.S. counties with at least 1,000 Latinos in 2014. About a third of these counties were located along the Southwest border and about half are in non-metropolitan areas.
- The nation's Hispanic population is not growing everywhere. Between 2007 and 2014, the Hispanic population declined in 38 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014, most of which were located in Colorado, New Mexico and Texas.
- Among the nation's counties with at least 1,000 Latinos in 2014, 23 counties are majority foreign born among Latinos.


## States

- The five states with the largest Hispanic populations are California ( 15 million), Texas (10.4 million), Florida ( 4.8 million), New York ( 3.7 million) and Illinois ( 2.2 million). Together, these states hold $65 \%$ of all Hispanics.
- But New Mexico leads the states for the share of the state population that is Hispanic (48\%), followed by California and Texas ( $39 \%$ each). Arizona and Nevada round out the top five states for the share of the population that is Hispanic with $31 \%$ and $28 \%$, respectively.
- In most states, U.S.-born Hispanics outnumber foreign-born Hispanics. However, there are two exceptions: In the District of Columbia $53 \%$ of Hispanics were foreign born in 2014, and in Maryland half of Hispanics were foreign born. Most of the top 10 states ranked by the foreignborn share among Hispanics are located in the South.


## Metropolitan areas

- In 2014, more than half of U.S. Hispanics resided in the 15 largest metropolitan areas by Hispanic population. Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim, CA topped the list with 6 million Hispanics - more than the Hispanic population in all but two states, California and Texas.
- Behind the Los Angeles metropolitan area are New York-Newark-Jersey City, NY-NJ-PA (4.8 million), Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach, FL (2.6 million), Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land, TX (2.3 million), Riverside-San Bernardino-Ontario, CA (2.2 million) and Chicago-Naperville-Elgin, IL-IN-WI (2.1 million).
- Among the 15 largest metropolitan areas by Hispanic population, only two are majority foreign born. In Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach, FL, 61\% of the area's 2.6 million Hispanics are immigrants. Following that is the Washington-Arlington-Alexandria, DC-VA-MD-WV metropolitan area, where $53 \%$ of Hispanics are immigrants.
- The geographic settlement of Hispanics is closely linked with Hispanic origin. Hispanics of Mexican origin are the largest Hispanic origin group in many Southwest border metropolitan areas, but along the East Coast there is more diversity. Cubans are the largest Hispanic origin group in the Miami metropolitan area, while Puerto Ricans are the largest origin group in the New York, Orlando, Philadelphia and Hartford, CT metro areas. Meanwhile, Salvadorans are the largest origin group in the Washington, D.C., area.


## 1. U.S. Hispanic population dispersion before and after the onset of the Great Recession

The first 14 years of the new century have been marked by the continued growth and dispersion of the U.S. Latino population outside of counties with historically large Latino populations. But since the onset of the Great Recession in 2007, both have slowed ${ }^{4}$ as immigration from Latin America and Latino fertility rates declined.

This chapter explores Hispanic population dispersion trends at the county level through several different measures. The analysis finds that no matter how measured, Hispanic population dispersion is slowing as Hispanic population growth cools.

## Growth in the number of counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics

About a quarter (27\%) of the nation's more than 3,100 counties had at least 1,000 Hispanics in 1990. That share grew to nearly four-in-ten (38\%) in 2000 as the Hispanic population grew rapidly during the 1990s. And by 2007 , the share of counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics grew by 8 percentage points to $46 \%$.

However, national Hispanic population growth began to cool after the start of the Great Recession as Hispanic immigration to the U.S. slowed and Hispanic fertility rates declined. As a result, between 2007 and 2014,

## Half of U.S. counties in 2014 had at least 1,000 Hispanics, up from 46\% in 2007 and 38\% in 2000

Number of counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics


Note: The Great Recession began in December 2007 and ended in June 2009.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^5]the share of counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics grew by just 4 percentage points, to $50 \%$ of the nation's counties.

Since 1990, Latinos have increasingly settled in counties outside the largest U.S. metropolitan areas such as Los Angeles, Houston, New York City or Miami, all of which also have some of the country's largest Latino populations. In 2014, nearly half ( $44 \%$ ) of counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics were nonmetropolitan - up from $34 \%$ in 1990.

## A declining share of Latinos live in counties that had more than 50,000 Latinos in 1990

Another way to examine the dispersion of the nation's Latino population is to examine the share that lives in counties with historically large Latino populations. Since 1990, this share has declined, slowing after the onset of the Great Recession in 2007.

In 1990, roughly three-quarters (74\%) of Latinos lived in counties with 50,000 Latinos or more ( 65 counties in 1990). The share of Latinos that lived in the same 65 counties fell to $69 \%$ in 2000 and 64\% in 2007. By 2014, the share of Latinos living in counties with historically large Latino populations declined another 2 percentage points to $62 \%$.

Meanwhile, the share of Latinos who lived in the 612 counties with 1,000 to 9,999 Latinos in 1990 has nearly doubled. While these counties had relatively large populations overall, they had few

## Since 1990, the Latino population has dispersed to counties with historically fewer Hispanics

\% of Latinos residing in counties where Latino population was $\qquad$ in 1990


Note: Based on 1,578 counties with at least 1,000 Latinos in 2014 and that existed in each year studied. Totals for each year may not add to $100 \%$ due to rounding. The Great Recession began in
December 2007 and ended in June 2009.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates and the 1990 decennial census (SF-1). "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Hispanics in 1990. ${ }^{5}$ In 2014, $14 \%$ of Latinos lived in these counties - up from $13 \%$ in 2007, $11 \%$ in 2000 and $9 \%$ in 1990.

## Since 2007, Latino growth is less concentrated in counties where the Latino population has grown by at least $\mathbf{1 0 , 0 0 0}$

Between 2007 and 2014, there were 170 counties where the Hispanic population grew by at least 10,000 - down from 202 counties from 2000 to 2007 and 192 from 1990 to 2000. These counties began with relatively large Hispanic populations. In fact, with few exceptions, the 170 counties where the Hispanic population increased by 10,000 or more were also among the top 200 counties with the largest Hispanic populations in 2007.

Latino population growth has become less concentrated in counties with historically large Latino populations and whose Latino population grew by at least 10,000 . This dispersion of Latino population growth was more rapid between 2000 and 2007 than in 2007 to 2014, mirroring the slowdown in dispersion measured in other ways.

Fully $82 \%$ of U.S. Latino population growth between 1990 and 2000 was in counties where the Latino population grew by at least 10,000. This share fell by 4 percentage points to $78 \%$ between 2000 and 2007. And between 2007 and 2014, this share reached $76 \%$ - only 2 points less than the prior period.

Increasingly, a greater share of the growth of

Declining share of growth occurs in
counties that have experienced an
increase of 10,000 Latinos or more
\% of U.S. Latino population growth occurring within counties where Latino population increased by ...


Note: Based on 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Latinos in 2014. Hispanics residing in counties with declining Hispanic populations are not shown. The Great Recession began in December 2007 and ended in June 2009.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates and the 1990 decennial census (SF-1).
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

PEW RESEARCH CENTER Latino population is occurring in counties

[^6]where the Latino population increase has been between 1,000 and 9,999 people. Between 1990 and $2000,15 \%$ of the Latino population growth occurred in these types of counties, compared with $19 \%$ between 2000 and 2007 and 20\% between 2007 and 2014.

Hispanics are more evenly dispersed across U.S. counties in 2014 than previously, but their dispersion has slowed since 2007

One broad overall measure of dispersion is the dissimilarity index. This measure ranges in value from o to 100 and provides a single summary metric that measures dispersion. It does this by showing what percentage of Hispanics would need to move in order to have the proportion of Hispanics in each county equal the proportion of Hispanics in the nation's population. A dissimilarity index value of zero would indicate that Hispanics are evenly distributed across U.S. countries, while an index of 100 would indicate complete segregation of the U.S. Hispanic population from non-Hispanics.

In 1990, the dissimilarity index for the U.S. Hispanic population was 57 - meaning that $57 \%$ of Hispanics would need to move to ensure Hispanics were evenly distributed across U.S. counties. By 2000, the Hispanic population was more dispersed throughout U.S. counties as the index fell to 52.

From 2000 to 2007, the dissimilarity index fell again, to 48 in 2007, indicating the continued dispersion of the U.S. Hispanic population across the nation's counties. The index also declined from 2007 to 2014, but only by 2 points, reaching 46 in 2014. This means in 2014, $46 \%$ of Hispanics would need to move to have the same proportion of Hispanics in each county as the proportion of Hispanics in the national population. Yet while this measure indicates that Hispanics continued to move to counties where there were fewer Hispanics after the onset of the Great Recession, dispersion was slower than it had been from 2000 to 2007.

Since 2007, the nation's Hispanic population has dispersed at a slower rate

Dissimilarity index for non-Hispanics to Hispanics


[^7]
## Northeastern counties account for a growing share of Hispanic population growth since 2007

Though Hispanic population growth has been largely centered in the Southern and Western regions of the U.S., since 2007 counties in the Northeast have made up a rising share of this growth. As the Northeast made up a larger portion of the nation's Hispanic population growth between 2007 and 2014, there was a slight decline for all of the other regions of the U.S. compared with the seven years prior.

Between 2007 and 2014, $14 \%$ of the nation's Hispanic population growth occurred in Northeast counties - up from 11\% between 2000 and 2007. Between 1990 and 2000, 12\% of Hispanic growth occurred in the Northeast. Counties located in the Middle Atlantic division accounted for a larger portion of this rising share than counties located in New England. In fact, $11 \%$ of Hispanic population growth between 2007 and 2014 was in counties in the Middle Atlantic division, compared with 4\% in the New England division. ${ }^{6}$

Counties in the South have accounted for a larger portion of the nation's Hispanic population growth since the 1990s. Between 1990 and 2000, $37 \%$ of the Hispanic population growth occurred in southern counties - a share that rose to $44 \%$ between 2000 and 2007 before declining slightly to $43 \%$ between 2007 and 2014. While the South Atlantic division followed this pattern, the West South Central division accounted for a growing share of Hispanic growth throughout all three time periods - reaching $22 \%$ between 2007 and 2014. The East South Central division accounted for 2 percent or less of Hispanic population growth. However, counties in the West South Central region made up an

Hispanic population growth in the Northeast makes up larger portion of growth since the Great Recession
\% of Hispanic population growth that occurred in counties located in the ...

|  | $\mathbf{1 9 9 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7} \mathbf{- 2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Midwest | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| East North Central | 8 | 7 | 6 |
| West North Central | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Northeast | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 4}$ |
| Middle Atlantic | 9 | 8 | 11 |
| New England | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| South | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |
| East South Central | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| South Atlantic | 16 | 21 | 19 |
| West South Central | 19 | 20 | 22 |
| West | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |
| Mountain | 12 | 12 | 9 |
| Pacific | 29 | 24 | 24 |

Note: Based on 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014.

Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates and the 1990 decennial census (SF-1). "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

PEW RESEARCH CENTER
${ }^{6}$ Northeast, Midwest, South and West refer to census regions that divide the U.S. into groups for analyses. Likewise, census divisions divide the country into nine different areas for group analyses. See terminology for a list of the states included in each of the regions and divisions.
increasing share of the nation's Hispanic population growth throughout each of the time periods. Between 2007 and 2014, counties in the West South Central area (22\%) and South Atlantic (19\%) made up most of the South's share of Hispanic population growth.

By contrast, the share of the nation's Hispanic population growth that happened in the West declined for each period. Between 2000 and 2007, Hispanic population growth in counties in the West accounted for $35 \%$ of national Hispanic population growth - a decline of about 5 percentage points from $41 \%$ during the decade prior. ${ }^{7}$ Counties in the Pacific division of the West largely drove this decline: Between 2000 and 2007, the Pacific division accounted for $24 \%$ of national Hispanic population growth, compared with $29 \%$ in the decade prior. The West's share of Hispanic population growth was 2 percentage points lower - or $34 \%$ - for the period between 2007 and 2014. This was largely driven by counties in the Mountain division, whose share of Hispanic growth declined to $9 \%$ for the period between 2007 and 2014 - down from $12 \%$ for the period between 2000 and 2007.

Counties in the Midwest made up a slightly declining share of Hispanic population growth since 2000, but this was largely driven by small declines in the East North Central division, which includes counties in Illinois, Indiana, Michigan, Ohio and Wisconsin. Between 1990 and 2000, and again between 2000 and 2007, counties in the Midwest accounted for $10 \%$ of the Hispanic population growth. This share declined to $9 \%$ during the period between 2007 and 2014.

[^8]
## 2. Fast-growing and slow-growing Hispanic counties

Since the 1990s, a growing share of the country's Latino population has lived in fast-growing Latino counties. These are counties that have Latino population growth rates that exceed the national county median growth rate for the Latino population (about $27 \%$ from 2007 to 2014, for example) and have at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. Overall, these counties have several characteristics in common - most are in the South, are part of metropolitan areas and have largely U.S.-born Latino populations. This chapter explores the trends and characteristics of fast-growing and slow-growing Latino counties.

Fast-growing Latino counties for 2007 to 2014 largely located in Southern states


[^9]
## North Dakota counties lead in Hispanic population growth since 2007

The top three counties with the highest Hispanic population growth rates between 2007 and 2014 were located in North Dakota. The Hispanic population in each of these three counties (Williams, Stark and Ward) more than doubled since 2007, though in each case, these populations started from a small base. These counties also experienced general population growth as the oil production boom gained momentum after the Great Recession. (See Appendix C for a table presenting the top 30 fast-growing Hispanic counties for 2007 to 2014.)

The 10 fastest-growing county Hispanic populations since the onset of the Great Recession (among those that had at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014) are dispersed across the country and include Luzerne County in Pennsylvania, Beadle County in South Dakota and Duchesne County in Utah. Only three counties in the top 10 were in Southern states.

By contrast, counties in Southern states dominated the list of fastest-growing Hispanic counties prior to the Great Recession. From 2000 to 2007, eight of the 10 fastest-growing Hispanic counties were in the Southern states of Georgia (home to three such counties), Mississippi (one),

## Non-Southern counties dominate 2007 to 2014 list of 10 fastest-growing Hispanic populations; top 10 for 2000 to 2007 largely located in the South

|  | \% growth in Hispanic population | 2014 Hispanic population |  | \% growth in Hispanic population | 2007 Hispanic population |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Williams County, North Dakota | 367 | 1,671 | Stewart County, Georgia | 1,254 | 1,070 |
| Stark County, North Dakota | 294 | 1,495 | Telfair County, Georgia | 737 | 1,800 |
| Ward County, North Dakota | 117 | 3,544 | Adams County, Mississippi | 501 | 1,641 |
| Russell County, Alabama | 92 | 3,007 | Trempealeau County, Wisconsin | 395 | 1,187 |
| Bryan County, Georgia | 91 | 2,148 | Frederick County, Virginia | 388 | 4,903 |
| Luzerne County, Pennsylvania | 91 | 28,925 | Culpeper County, Virginia | 361 | 3,959 |
| St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana | 89 | 4,249 | Paulding County, Georgia | 334 | 6,074 |
| Beadle County, South Dakota | 85 | 1,763 | Luzerne County, Pennsylvania | 308 | 15,167 |
| Duchesne County, Utah | 85 | 1,642 | Sevier County, Tennessee | 292 | 3,466 |
| Burleigh County, North Dakota | 82 | 1,707 | Macon County, North Carolina | 273 | 1,692 |

[^10]North Carolina (one), Tennessee (one) or Virginia (two). Two exceptions were Trempealeau County, Wisconsin, and Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, where the number of Hispanics more than quadrupled. Stewart County, Georgia, topped the list for the highest growth rate of Hispanics between 2000 and 2007. By 2007, Stewart County had only 1,070 Hispanics, nearly 14 times the number that lived there in 2000. ${ }^{8}$ (See Appendix C for a table presenting the top 30 fast-growing Hispanic counties for 2000 to 2007.)

Though counties in the South no longer dominate the list of the top 10 fast-growing Latino county populations, more than half ( $54 \%$ ) of fast-growing counties by Latino population are in the South. From 2007 to 2014, fast-growing counties in the South accounted for the majority ( $64 \%$ ) of the Latino growth in fast-growing counties. Northeastern fast-growing Latino counties accounted for $14 \%$, Western fast-growing Latino counties accounted for $12 \%$ and Midwestern fast-growing counties accounted for $10 \%$ of this growth.

## How many fast-growing Hispanic counties since 2007?

There were 789 counties where the growth rate of Hispanics exceeded the national county median growth rate between 2007 and 2014 and had at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. Together they make up about $50 \%$ of all counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. These fast-growing counties are smaller in their Hispanic populations than other counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics. In 2014, the average Hispanic population size of the 789 fast-growing Hispanic counties was 17,000. By contrast, the average Hispanic population among all counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in

## Hispanic population growth in fast-growing counties, by region

|  |  | Increase 2007 to 2014 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | Population | Share (\%) |
| Total Hispanics in fast-growing counties | $9,834,000$ | $13,228,000$ | $3,394,000$ | 100 |
| South | $6,296,000$ | $8,482,000$ | $2,186,000$ | 64 |
| Northeast | $1,383,000$ | $1,855,000$ | 472,000 | 14 |
| West | $1,186,000$ | $1,586,000$ | 399,000 | 12 |
| Midwest | 968,000 | $1,305,000$ | 337,000 | 10 |

Note: Based on 789 fast-growing Hispanic counties between 2007 and 2014; see terminology for more details. Hispanic population and absolute growth figures rounded to nearest thousand.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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[^11]2014 was much larger - 35,000. Looked at another way, there were only 230 fast-growing counties with at least 10,000 Hispanics or more in 2014, making up 29\% of the fast-growing Hispanic counties. And despite their above-average growth rates, these 789 fast-growing Hispanic counties accounted for a little more than a third (37\%) of all U.S. Hispanic population growth between 2007 and 2014.

## About a quarter of the U.S. Hispanic population lived in fast-growing counties in 2014

These fast-growing counties were home to a rising share of the nation's Hispanic population in 2014, exemplifying the group's growth and dispersion. That year, about a quarter (24\%) of the nation's Hispanics lived in these fast-growing counties - nearly twice the $13 \%$ of Hispanics who lived in these same fast-growing counties in 1990. ${ }^{9}$ In 2000, $17 \%$ of the nation's Hispanic population lived in these fast-growing counties, and $21 \%$ lived in these counties by 2007.

Still, most Hispanics lived in slow-growing counties - though this share has declined over time. In 2014, three-quarters of the Hispanic population lived in counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics and where their population growth was under the median Hispanic population growth rate - down from $77 \%$ in $2007,82 \%$ in 2000 and $85 \%$ in 1990.

## Fast-growing Hispanic counties from 2007 to 2014 accounted for a larger share of the Hispanic population in 2014 than prior years

|  | 1990 | 2000 | 2007 | 2014 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share } \\ & 1990 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share } \\ & 2000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share } \\ & 2007 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Share } \\ & 2014 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Hispanic population | 22,354,000 | 35,306,000 | 46,197,000 | 55,387,000 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| In fast-growing counties | 2,975,000 | 5,978,000 | 9,834,000 | 13,228,000 | 13 | 17 | 21 | 24 |
| In slow-growing counties | 19,076,000 | 28,849,000 | 37,730,000 | 41,396,000 | 85 | 82 | 77 | 75 |
| In negative-growth counties | 144,000 | 179,000 | 184,000 | 176,000 | 1 | 1 | <0.5 | $<0.5$ |

[^12][^13]
## Fast-growing Hispanic counties are largely in metropolitan areas

The fast-growing Hispanic counties between 2007 and 2014 were largely counties in metropolitan areas. Metropolitan fast-growing counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 accounted for 94\% of the growth that occurred in fast-growing Hispanic counties, compared with $6 \%$ for nonmetropolitan fast-growing counties. Likewise, between 2000 and 2007, metropolitan fast-growing Hispanic counties accounted for $94 \%$ of the growth in fast-growing counties.

## Metropolitan counties account for most of the Hispanic population's growth among fast-growing Hispanic counties

|  |  | Increase $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ to $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ <br> Population |  | Share (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Hispanics in fast-growing counties | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $3,394,000$ | 100 |
| Metropolitan | $9,275,000$ | $13,228,000$ | $12,463,000$ | $3,188,000$ |

Note: Based on 789 fast-growing Hispanic counties between 2007 and 2014; see terminology for more details. Non-metropolitan counties include those that are within micropolitan statistical areas and outside of the core-based statistical areas (CBSA). Hispanic population and absolute growth figures rounded to nearest thousand.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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## Geography of the fast-growing Hispanic counties

More than half (54\%) of the fast-growing Hispanic counties from 2007 to 2014 were located in the South ( 426 counties); $24 \%$ were located in the Midwest; and $11 \%$ each were located in the West and Northeast. In the seven years prior, the South made up $60 \%$ of fast-growing counties, the Midwest made up 22\%, the West made up $10 \%$ and the Northeast made up 8\%.

## Characteristics of fast-growing Hispanic counties

Many fast-growing Hispanic counties are majority U.S. born
Many of the fast-growing counties by Hispanic population have more U.S.born Hispanics than foreignborn Hispanics. And in 21\% of these counties U.S.-born Hispanics outnumbered the foreign born by about 4 to 1 or more. Nationally, $34.9 \%$ of all Hispanics are immigrants.

There were 23 fast-growing counties with majority foreign-born Hispanic populations in 2014, most of which were narrow majorities. Stewart County, Georgia, had the greatest share of foreignborn Hispanics (96\%) among the fast-growing Hispanic counties. Following closely behind was Adams County, Mississippi, where 90\% of

## Fast-growing Hispanic counties with the top five shares of foreign born

\% of Hispanics in each county that are foreign born, 2010-2014


[^14]PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Hispanics were foreign born; Telfair County, Georgia (82\% foreign born); Edgefield County, South Carolina ( $64 \%$ foreign born); and Northampton County, Virginia ( $63 \%$ foreign born). ${ }^{10}$

[^15]
## A majority of Hispanics in fast-growing counties are English proficient

On average, about four-in-ten Hispanics (39\%) ages 5 and older living in fast-growing counties speak only English at home. This is more than 10 percentage points higher than the $26.5 \%$ of all Hispanics ages 5 and older who speak only English at home.

What's more, on average, $72 \%$ of Hispanics in fast-growing counties are English proficient - meaning they speak only English at home or speak a non-English language at home but say they speak English very well. This is above the national average for Hispanics

- 68\% of all Hispanics ages 5 and older are proficient in English.

Fast-growing Hispanic counties with larger shares of English-proficient Hispanics also tend to have larger shares of U.S.-born Hispanics. For example, $99 \%$ of Hispanics in Flathead County, Montana, are English proficient - the highest share among all fast-growing Hispanic counties - and 89\% of Hispanics in this county are U.S.-born.

Among the 789 fast-growing Hispanics counties between 2007 and 2014, a majority of Hispanics ages 5 and older in 113 of these counties (14\%) speak only English at home. Most of these counties were located in states in the Midwest (43) and the West (36). There were 18 such counties in the

South and 16 counties in the Northeast. Kootenai County, Idaho, tops the list for the share of Hispanics ages 5 and older who speak only English at home (83\%). Flathead County, Montana (82\%); Missoula County, Montana (82\%); Warren County, Iowa (82\%); and Yellowstone County, Montana (81\%) round out the list for the top five fast-growing Hispanic counties with the largest share of Hispanics ages 5 and older who speak only English at home.

## Top five fast-growing counties for Hispanics who speak only English at home



[^16]
## Counties with declining Hispanic populations

The Hispanic population is not growing in every county of the country. In 2014, there were 38 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics that saw their Hispanic populations decline between 2007 and 2014. These counties were mainly non-metropolitan ones, with the exception of Crosby County and Lynn County, Texas, in the Lubbock metropolitan area, and Hudspeth County, Texas, in the El Paso metropolitan area. Half of these counties were located in Western states, including Colorado (8), New Mexico (7), California (2), Idaho (1) and Oregon (1). There were 10 counties with declining Hispanic population in Texas alone (Texas is classified as a Southern state).

Among these 38 counties for Hispanic population decline from 2007 to 2014, 19 had also experienced Hispanic population decline from 2000 to 2007. (See Appendix C for a table listing these counties.) These counties were mainly located in Colorado, New Mexico and Texas and were mostly in non-metropolitan areas. The Hispanic population in 12 of these counties accounted for more than half of the county's general population in 2014.

Overall, between 2000 and 2007, there were a total of 46 counties where the Hispanic population declined (among counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2007). More than a third (37\%, or 17
counties) were located in Texas. There were also 11 in New Mexico and seven in Colorado. The other counties were in New York (three); Kansas, Louisiana and Virginia (two each); and Arkansas and Georgia (one each).

Between 1990 and 2000, only 25 counties with at least $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ Hispanics in 2000 experienced declining Hispanic populations. These counties were predominately located in the South, and half were in Texas. (See Appendix B for a map showing these counties.)

## Top 20 counties for Hispanic population loss from 2007 to 2014

| County | Hispanic <br> population <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% decline of <br> Hispanic <br> population |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Culberson County, Texas | 1,665 | -15 |
| Saguache County, Colorado | 2,370 | -14 |
| Presidio County, Texas | 5,771 | -11 |
| Huerfano County, Colorado | 2,224 | -10 |
| Mora County, New Mexico | 3,702 | -8 |
| Hidalgo County, New Mexico | 2,609 | -8 |
| Las Animas County, Colorado | 5,979 | -8 |
| Hudspeth County, Texas | 2,510 | -8 |
| Guadalupe County, New Mexico | 3,548 | -6 |
| Lyon County, Kansas | 6,871 | -6 |
| Edwards County, Texas | 1,023 | -5 |
| Lake County, Colorado | 2,782 | -5 |
| St. Francis County, Arkansas | 1,181 | -5 |
| Colfax County, New Mexico | 6,214 | -5 |
| Conejos County, Colorado | 4,472 | -4 |
| Brooks County, Texas | 6,449 | -4 |
| Costilla County, Colorado | 2,294 | -4 |
| Jones County, Mississippi | 2,919 | -4 |
| Duval County, Texas | 10,210 | -4 |
| San Miguel County, New Mexico | 21,805 | -4 |

Note: Counties that experienced Hispanic population loss from 2007 to 2014, ranked by percent decline.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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## 3. Where Hispanic population growth is driving general population growth

The growth of the Hispanic population accounted for over half (54\%) of total U.S. population growth from 2000 to 2014 . In about $41 \%$ (524) of the 1,270 counties where the total population grew from 2000 to 2014 and the Hispanic population totaled at least 1,000 in 2014, Hispanic population growth accounted for at least $54 \%$ of the total population growth - in other words, it

Hispanic share of population growth in $41 \%$ of U.S. counties equals or exceeds that of the Hispanic share of the nation's population growth between 2000 and 2014
\% of total population growth accounted for by Hispanics


[^17]matched or exceeded the Hispanic share of population growth nationwide. ${ }^{11,12}$

A plurality (46\%) of these 524 counties was located in the South, $24 \%$ were located in the West, $18 \%$ were located in the Midwest and $12 \%$ were located in the Northeast. Over one-third (181) of these counties were located in Southwest border states - including more than one-in-five (115) counties that were located in Texas alone. ${ }^{13}$

About half ( $53 \%$ ) of these counties where the Hispanic share of population growth was equal to or exceeded the Hispanic share of national population growth were non-metropolitan counties. By comparison, $47 \%$ of these counties were in metropolitan areas.

In 2014, about two-thirds (65\%) of the U.S. Latino population lived in these counties where the Latino share of population growth at least equaled the Latino share of national population growth.

Hispanic population and growth in counties, by Hispanic share of population growth

|  |  |  | ---Increase 2000 to 2014--- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hispanic population | \% of Hispanic population | Population | Share (\%) |
| All counties | 55,387,000 | 100 | 20,080,000 | 100 |
| Counties where Hispanic growth accounts for $>=54 \%$ of total population growth | 36,073,000 | 65 | 11,576,000 | 58 |
| Counties where Hispanic growth accounts for $20 \%$ to $<54 \%$ of total population growth | 13,053,000 | 24 | 6,359,000 | 32 |
| Counties where Hispanic growth accounts for $0 \%$ to $<20 \%$ of total population growth | 2,671,000 | 5 | 1,091,000 | 5 |

Note: Nationally, the growth of the Hispanic population accounted for $54 \%$ of the total U.S. population growth from 2000 to 2014 . Hispanic population figures may not sum to the figures for all counties because counties with less than 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 and those with declining total populations and/or declining Hispanic populations are not included. Figures rounded to nearest thousand.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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[^18]That amounts to 36.1 million Latinos. The Latino population in these counties increased by 11.6 million from 2000 to 2014 - accounting for $58 \%$ of the nation's Latino population growth in this period.

## Counties where Hispanic population growth made up at least $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ but less than $\mathbf{5 4 \%}$ of the total population growth

In 475 counties with at least $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ Hispanics in 2014, the growth of the Hispanic population accounted for a substantial share of the total county population growth (at least $20 \%$ but less than $54 \%$ ) in 2014. Many of these counties were located in the South (55\%) and West (21\%). Some $17 \%$ were in the Midwest and $7 \%$ were located in the Northeast.

In 2014, 13.1 million Hispanics lived in counties where Hispanic population growth accounted for at least $20 \%$ but less than $54 \%$ of the county's total population growth (and there were at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014). Between 2000 and 2014, the Hispanic population in these counties increased by 6.4 million. Overall, these counties accounted for $32 \%$ of the Hispanic population growth during that time.

## Counties where Hispanic population growth accounted for less than 20\% of total population growth

In 269 counties with at least $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ Hispanics in 2014, the Hispanic population growth from 2000 to 2014 accounted for a relatively small portion (less than 20\%) of the county's total population growth. The average size of the Hispanic population in these counties was 10,000 - considerably smaller than the average of 35,000 for all counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. But the majority of these counties (211) were metropolitan counties.

More than half ( $57 \%$ ) of these counties where Hispanic population growth made up less than 20\% of total population growth and there were at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 were located in the South and $28 \%$ were in the Midwest. About one-in-ten of these counties were located in the West and $6 \%$ were in the Northeast.

In 2014, 2.7 million Hispanics ( $5 \%$ of the nation's total Hispanic population) lived in these counties where Hispanic growth accounted for less than $20 \%$ of the total population growth and there were at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. Between 2000 and 2014, the Hispanic population in these counties increased by 1.1 million - accounting for about $5 \%$ of the nation's Hispanic population growth.

## 4. Ranking the Latino population in the states

By 2014, eight states had Latino populations of at least 1 million: California, Texas, Florida, New York, Illinois, Arizona, New Jersey and Colorado. ${ }^{14}$

With 15 million Hispanics residing in California, its Hispanic population accounts for more than a quarter (27\%) of the nation's Hispanic population. California also passed a milestone in 2014, when the state's Hispanic population was for the first time ever the largest of any racial or ethnic group.

Following California is Texas. Nearly one-in-five Hispanics nationwide (19\%) reside in Texas, where the Hispanic population reached 10.4 million in 2014. In third place


Note: Charts show the top 10 states for the number of Latinos and the share of the population that is Latino.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2014 American Community Survey (IPUMS) "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

PEW RESEARCH CENTER is Florida, with 4.8 million Hispanics, accounting for nearly one-in-ten (9\%) of the nation's Hispanics.

Nearly half (48\%) of New Mexico's population is Latino, the highest share among the states. New Mexico is followed by California and Texas, whose populations are $39 \%$ Latino in each. Nearly one-third of Arizona's population (31\%) was Latino in 2014, as were about a quarter of Nevada's (28\%) and Florida's (24\%). In Colorado (21\%), New Jersey (19\%), New York (19\%) and Illinois (17\%) about one-in-five people were Latino.

[^19]From 2000 to 2014, the Latino population in South Dakota grew fastest among the 50 states and the District of Columbia. South Dakota's Latino population has nearly tripled, reaching 29,000 in 2014 - up from 10,000 in 2000. The Latino populations in Tennessee and South Carolina have also nearly tripled. In 2014, Tennessee had 322,000 Latinos, up from 117,000 in 2000, and South Carolina had 258,000 Latinos in 2014, up from 95,000 in 2000. North Dakota and other Southern states rounded out the top 10 states for Latino population growth.

The Hispanic population in North Dakota, however, has been the fastest-growing between 2007 and 2014 - the number of Hispanics has nearly doubled in that time. In 2014, about 18,ooo Hispanics lived in North Dakota, up from 9,000 in 2007. Others in the top five for the fastest-growing state Hispanic populations between 2007 and 2014 are Kentucky (66\%), Louisiana (64\%), Delaware (64\%) and Maryland (60\%).

## South Dakota has the fastest-growing state Latino population since 2000

|  | 2014 Latino <br> population | 2000 Latino <br> population | \% change <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0 - 2 0 1 4}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| South Dakota | 29,000 | 10,000 | 190 |
| Tennessee | 322,000 | 117,000 | 176 |
| South Carolina | 258,000 | 95,000 | 172 |
| Alabama | 190,000 | 72,000 | 164 |
| Kentucky | 145,000 | 57,000 | 154 |
| Arkansas | 205,000 | 85,000 | 141 |
| North Dakota | 18,000 | 7,000 | 141 |
| Maryland | 556,000 | 231,000 | 141 |
| North Carolina | 890,000 | 377,000 | 136 |
| Virginia | 732,000 | 333,000 | 120 |

Note: Top 10 states for Latino population growth from 2000 to 2014 shown.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2000 decennial census and the 2014 American Community Survey (IPUMS). "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Between 2007 and 2014, the Latino population in North Dakota has grown faster than in other states

|  | 2014 Latino <br> population | 2007 Latino <br> population | \% change <br> 2007-2014 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Dakota | 18,000 | 9,000 | 97 |
| Kentucky | 145,000 | 87,000 | 66 |
| Louisiana | 222,000 | 135,000 | 64 |
| Delaware | 83,000 | 51,000 | 64 |
| Maryland | 556,000 | 347,000 | 60 |
| Vermont | 10,000 | 6,000 | 58 |
| Alabama | 190,000 | 122,000 | 57 |
| Wyoming | 57,000 | 37,000 | 56 |
| Mississippi | 80,000 | 52,000 | 53 |
| South Carolina | 258,000 | 168,000 | 53 |

[^20]PEW RESEARCH CENTER

In most of the states, the Latino populations were majority U.S. born in 2014. But in the District of Columbia, $53 \%$ of Latinos are foreign born. And in Maryland, 50\% are foreign born. With the exception of New Jersey, each of the top 10 states for the share of Latinos that are foreign born was located in the South.

## States with the highest foreign-born shares among Hispanics are mostly in the South in 2014



Note: The top 10 states for the share of Latinos that were foreign born in 2014 are shown.
Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2014 American Community Survey (IPUMS).
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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## 5. Ranking the Latino population in metropolitan areas

More than half (53\%) of the nation's Hispanics lived in 15 metropolitan areas in 2014 (see chart to the right for a list of these areas). There were 11 metropolitan areas where at least 1 million Hispanics resided.

Los Angeles-Long BeachAnaheim topped the list with 6 million Hispanics in 2014. About one-in-ten (11\%) Hispanics nationwide live in this one metropolitan area. And this metropolitan area has more Hispanics than all but two states: California and Texas.

The New York-NewarkJersey City and Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach metropolitan areas round out the top three by Hispanic population, with 4.8 million and 2.6 million Hispanics, respectively. These top three metropolitan areas account for about a quarter (24\%) of the nation's Hispanic

## More than half of U.S. Hispanics live in the top 15 metropolitan areas for Hispanic population in 2014

Number of Hispanics in millions

population: $9 \%$ of all
Hispanics nationwide live in the New York-NewarkJersey City metropolitan area and $5 \%$ live in the Miami-Fort LauderdaleWest Palm Beach area.

Among the top 15 metropolitan areas, nine are located within two states California (four) and Texas (five). In addition to Los Angeles-Long BeachAnaheim, top Hispanic metropolitan areas located in California are RiversideSan Bernardino-Ontario (with 2.2 million Hispanics), San DiegoCarlsbad ( 1.1 million Hispanics) and San Francisco-OaklandHayward (1 million). In Texas, the following metropolitan areas fall within the top 15 for Hispanic populations nationally: Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land (2.3 million Hispanics), DallasFort Worth-Arlington (1.9 million), San Antonio-New Braunfels ( 1.3 million), McAllen-Edinburg-Mission (800,000) and El Paso (700,000 Hispanics). Two

In 2014, most of the top 15 Hispanic metropolitan areas were majority U.S. born
\% of Hispanics who are ...


Note: The 15 largest metropolitan areas by Hispanic population size in 2014 are shown. Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2014 American Community Survey (IPUMS). "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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of the top 15 metropolitan areas are in Florida: Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach and Orlando-Kissimmee-Sanford, each with 600,000 Hispanics.

Among the top 15 metropolitan areas, most of the Hispanic populations are majority U.S. born. There are two notable exceptions: Hispanics in Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach and Washington-Arlington-Alexandria (in D.C., Virginia, Maryland and West Virginia) are majority foreign born. About six-in-ten Hispanics (61\%) in the Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach metropolitan area and more than half (53\%) of Hispanics in the Washington, D.C., metropolitan area are foreign born.

The geographic settlement of Hispanics across the U.S. is linked to Hispanic origin. For example, 79\% of the Hispanics living in Los Angeles-Long Beach-Anaheim and 76\% of Hispanics in Houston-The Woodlands-Sugar Land were of Mexican origin in 2014. Hispanics of Mexican origin are dominant groups in other metropolitan areas in the Southwest border states. ${ }^{15}$ And in some metropolitan areas, such as El Centro, California, and McAllen-Edinburg-Mission, Texas, nearly

Hispanic populations in metropolitan areas along the East Coast have more diverse origins than in metropolitan areas in states along the Southwest border
\% of Hispanics who are of ___ origin


[^21][^22]all Hispanics are of Mexican origin (98\% and 97\%, respectively).

In metropolitan areas along the East Coast, the origins of the Hispanic populations are more diverse. While the Hispanic population residing in the Atlanta-Sandy Springs-Roswell, Georgia, metropolitan area is predominately of Mexican origin, Puerto Ricans and Dominicans are the largest Hispanic origin groups in New York-Newark-Jersey City and Providence-Warwick, Rhode Island-Massachusetts. In Miami-Fort Lauderdale-West Palm Beach, 43\% of Hispanics were of Cuban origin in 2014. And in the Washington, D.C., metropolitan area, a third of Hispanics were of Salvadoran origin.
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## Methodology

County data in Chapter 1 to Chapter 3 for this report come from the 1990 decennial census SF-1 file. The 2000 data are from the U.S. Census Bureau's population estimates, April 1, 2000, base for the vintage 2009 county population estimates. These may differ slightly from the 2000 decennial census counts because of post-enumeration corrections. The 2007 data come from the U.S. Census Bureau's county intercensal estimates (2000-2010), available here at the Census Bureau's website. The 2014 county estimates are from the U.S. Census Bureau's county population estimates, vintage 2014, found at http://www.census.gov/popest/data/counties/asrh/2014/index.html.

Chapter 2 includes characteristics of the Hispanic population (including nativity and English proficiency) in selected counties where the Hispanic growth rate exceeds the nation's median Hispanic growth rate. These counties are referred to as fast-growing. Detailed population characteristics at the county level are from the 2010 to 2014 American Community Survey 5-year file obtained via American FactFinder.

The state and metropolitan area level analysis in Chapters 4 and 5 are based on the 2014 American Community Survey. The ACS microdata files developed by the University of Minnesota Population Center, or IPUMS files, were utilized. Documentation of the IPUMS version of the microdata files is available at https://usa.ipums.org/usa/.

Figures throughout the report have been rounded to the nearest thousand.
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## Appendix B: Maps

## Hispanic population, 1990

The number of Latinos residing in counties with at least 1,000 Latinos


Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of 1990 decennial census (SF-1).
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Hispanic population, 2000
The number of Latinos residing in counties with at least 1,000 Latinos


Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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## Hispanic population, 2010

The number of Latinos residing in counties with at least 1,000 Latinos
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Counties with fast-growing, slow-growing and declining Hispanic populations, 1990 to 2000


[^24]Counties with fast-growing, slow-growing and declining Hispanic populations, 2000 to 2007
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## Appendix C: Detailed tables

## Top 40 counties for absolute Hispanic population growth, 2000 to 2007 and 2007 to 2014

| Top counties 2000-2007 | 2007 Hispanic Population Rank |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 Harris County, Texas | 3 |
| 2 Riverside County, California | 10 |
| 3 Maricopa County, Arizona | 5 |
| 4 Los Angeles County, California | 1 |
| 5 San Bernardino County, California | 7 |
| 6 Miami-Dade County, Florida | 2 |
| 7 Clark County, Nevada | 16 |
| 8 Bexar County, Texas | 8 |
| 9 Dallas County, Texas | 11 |
| 10 San Diego County, California | 9 |
| 11 Tarrant County, Texas | 20 |
| 12 Hidalgo County, Texas | 13 |
| 13 Broward County, Florida | 22 |
| 14 Kern County, California | 23 |
| 15 Orange County, Florida | 31 |
| 16 Cook County, Illinois | 4 |
| 17 Hillsborough County, Florida | 32 |
| 18 El Paso County, Texas | 14 |
| 19 Sacramento County, California | 30 |
| 20 Fresno County, California | 19 |
| 21 Palm Beach County, Florida | 37 |
| 22 Travis County, Texas | 27 |
| 23 San Joaquin County, California | 34 |
| 24 Gwinnett County, Georgia | 57 |
| 25 Orange County, California | 6 |
| 26 Pima County, Arizona | 25 |
| 27 Suffolk County, New York | 39 |
| 28 Lee County, Florida | 79 |
| 29 Contra Costa County, California | 36 |
| 30 Bronx County, New York | 12 |
| 31 Stanislaus County, California | 41 |
| 32 Bernalillo County, New Mexico | 29 |
| 33 Tulare County, California | 35 |
| 34 Prince George's County, Maryland | 70 |
| 35 Osceola County, Florida | 77 |
| 36 Ventura County, California | 28 |
| 37 Cameron County, Texas | 24 |
| 38 Will County, Illinois | 83 |
| 39 Denton County, Texas | 80 |
| 40 King County, Washington | 53 |


| Top counties 2007-2014 | 2014 Hispanic <br> Population Rank |  |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1 | Los Angeles County, California | 1 |
| 2 | Harris County, Texas | 2 |
| 3 | Miami-Dade County, Florida | 3 |
| 4 | Riverside County, California | 6 |
| 5 | San Diego County, California | 9 |
| 6 | Maricopa County, Arizona | 5 |
| 7 | Bexar County, Texas | 7 |
| 8 | Dallas County, Texas | 11 |
| 9 | San Bernardino County | 8 |
| 10 | Orange County, California | 10 |
| 11 | Cook County, Illinois | 4 |
| 12 | Hidalgo County, Texas | 13 |
| 13 | Tarrant County, Texas | 17 |
| 14 | Broward County, Texas | 18 |
| 15 | Clark County, Nevada | 16 |
| 16 | Orange County, Florida | 26 |
| 17 | Bronx County, New York | 12 |
| 18 | Travis County, Texas | 24 |
| 19 | Kern County, California | 22 |
| 20 | Hillsborough County, Florida | 30 |
| 21 | Queens County, New York | 15 |
| 22 | Fresno County, California | 21 |
| 23 | Palm Beach County, Florida | 33 |
| 24 | Santa Clara County, California | 20 |
| 25 | El Paso County, Texas | 14 |
| 26 | Suffolk County, New York | 38 |
| 27 | Sacramento County, California | 31 |
| 28 | Alameda County, California | 27 |
| 29 | King County, Washington | 48 |
| 30 | Montgomery County, Maryland | 51 |
| 31 | Ventura County, California | 29 |
| 32 | Contra Costa County, California | 37 |
| 33 | Osceola County, Florida | 64 |
| 34 | Pima County, Arizona | 28 |
| 35 | Westchester County, New York | 42 |
| 36 | Nassau County, New York | 44 |
| 37 | Fort Bend County, Texas | 63 |
| 38 | Philadelphia County, Pennsylvania | 45 |
| 39 | Bergen County, New Jersey | 58 |
| 40 | Fairfax County, Virginia | 54 |
|  |  |  |

Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
"U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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## Top 30 fast-growing Hispanic counties, 2007 to 2014

| Rank | County, State | \% growth in Hispanic <br> population | 2014 Hispanic population |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Williams County, North Dakota | 367 | 1,671 |
| 2 | Stark County, North Dakota | 294 | 1,495 |
| 3 | Ward County, North Dakota | 117 | 3,544 |
| 4 | Russell County, Alabama | 92 | 3,007 |
| 5 | Bryan County, Georgia | 91 | 2,148 |
| 6 | Luzerne County, Pennsylvania | 91 | 28,925 |
| 7 | St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana | 89 | 4,249 |
| 8 | Beadle County, South Dakota | 85 | 1,763 |
| 9 | Duchesne County, Utah | 1,642 |  |
| 10 | Burleigh County, North Dakota | 85 | 1,707 |
| 11 | Nantucket County, Massachusetts | 82 | 1,387 |
| 12 | Plaquemines Parish, Louisiana | 81 | 1,512 |
| 13 | Columbia County, Georgia | 79 | 8,690 |
| 14 | Lycoming County, Pennsylvania | 77 | 2,382 |
| 15 | Walton County, Florida | 77 | 3,760 |
| 16 | Geary County, Kansas | 72 | 5,500 |
| 17 | Garfield County, Oklahoma | 72 | 7,193 |
| 18 | Uintah County, Utah | 71 | 2,959 |
| 19 | Trempealeau County, Wisconsin | 70 | 2,008 |
| 20 | James City County, Virginia | 69 | 3,993 |
| 21 | Bedford County, Virginia | 69 | 1,564 |
| 22 | Lee County, Alabama | 6,089 |  |
| 23 | Fredericksburg city, Virginia | 68 | 3,088 |
| 24 | Saline County, Arkansas | 65 | 4,815 |
| 25 | Warren County, lowa | 65 | 1,206 |
| 26 | St. Johns County, Florida | 64 | 13,347 |
| 27 | Dorchester County, Maryland | 64 | 1,503 |
| 28 | Ellis County, Kansas | 64 | 13,2668 |
| 29 | Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania | 64 |  |
| 30 | Plymouth County, lowa | 63 |  |

[^26]
## Top 30 fast-growing Hispanic counties, 2000 to 2007

| Rank | County, State | \% growth in Hispanic <br> population | 2007 Hispanic population |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Stewart County, Georgia | 1,254 | 1,070 |
| 2 | Telfair County, Georgia | 737 | 1,800 |
| 3 | Adams County, Mississippi | 501 | 1,641 |
| 4 | Trempealeau County, Wisconsin | 395 | 1,187 |
| 5 | Frederick County, Virginia | 388 | 4,903 |
| 6 | Culpeper County, Virginia | 361 | 3,959 |
| 7 | Paulding County, Georgia | 334 | 6,074 |
| 8 | Luzerne County, Pennsylvania | 308 | 15,167 |
| 9 | Sevier County, Tennessee | 292 | 3,466 |
| 10 | Macon County, North Carolina | 273 | 1,692 |
| 11 | Kendall County, Illinois | 271 | 15,139 |
| 12 | Henry County, Georgia | 265 | 9,832 |
| 13 | Wapello County, lowa | 252 | 2,812 |
| 14 | Clearfield County, Pennsylvania | 250 | 1,647 |
| 15 | Fauquier County, Virginia | 249 | 3,890 |
| 16 | Newton County, Georgia | 245 | 3,986 |
| 17 | Douglas County, Georgia | 240 | 8,968 |
| 18 | Spotsylvania County, Virginia | 238 | 8,560 |
| 19 | Barrow County, Georgia | 236 | 4,912 |
| 20 | Stafford County, Virginia | 221 | 10,717 |
| 21 | Frederick County, Maryland | 219 | 14,868 |
| 22 | Independence County, Arkansas | 219 | 1,667 |
| 23 | Loudoun County, Virginia | 217 | 31,978 |
| 24 | Pasquotank County, North Carolina | 217 | 1,358 |
| 25 | Calumet County, Wisconsin | 213 | 1,361 |
| 26 | Blaine County, Oklahoma | 212 | 2,472 |
| 27 | Madison County, Mississippi | 208 | 2,284 |
| 28 | Loudon County, Tennessee | 202 | 2,701 |
| 29 | Wasatch County, Utah | 202 | 337 |
| 30 | Hendricks County, Indiana | 201 |  |
|  |  |  | 2 |
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## Top 20 counties for the Hispanic share of total county population growth, 2000 to 2007 and 2007 to 2014

| Hispanic share of total population growth from $\mathbf{2 0 0 0} \mathbf{- 2 0 0 7}$ | Hispanic share of total population growth from $\mathbf{2 0 0 7 - 2 0 1 4}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Roanoke city, Virginia | 50,440 | Karnes County, Texas |
| 2 | Cass County, Illinois | 21,050 | Putnam County, New York |
| 3 | Geary County, Kansas | 20,975 | Luzerne County, Pennsylvania |
| 4 | Blaine County, Oklahoma | 4,938 | Matagorda County, Texas |
| 5 | Monterey County, California | 3,920 | Lake County, California |
| 6 | Mower County, Minnesota | 2,506 | Meade County, Kentucky |
| 7 | Lavaca County, Texas | 2,479 | Union County, New Mexico |
| 8 | Lackawanna County, Pennsylvania | 2,388 | Union County, Pennsylvania |
| 9 | Montgomery County, New York | 1,821 | Colorado County, Texas |
| 10 | Lyon County, Kansas | 1,543 | Lyon County, Nevada |
| 11 | Scurry County, Texas | 1,536 | San Patricio County, Texas |
| 12 | Parmer County, Texas | 1,492 | Shelby County, Texas |
| 13 | Franklin County, Massachusetts | 1,355 | Castro County, Texas |
| 14 | Union County, New Jersey | 1,222 | Dodge County, Nebraska |
| 15 | Morrow County, Oregon | 1,174 | Warren County, Illinois |
| 16 | Putnam County, Ohio | 1,158 | Reno County, Kansas |
| 17 | St. Joseph County, Indiana | 1,481 |  |
| 18 | DuPage County, Illinois | 1,427 |  |
| 19 | Franklin County, lowa | Sheboygan County, Wisconsin | 1,350 |
| 20 | Hockley County, Texas | 977 | Erie County, New York |
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## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

# Counties with Hispanic population loss from 2000 to 2007 and 2007 to 2014 

|  | Hispanic <br> population <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | \% decline of <br> the Hispanic <br> Population, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| County, State | 1,665 | -23 |
| Culberson County, Texas | 2,609 | -22 |
| Hidalgo County, New Mexico | 2,224 | -20 |
| Huerfano County, Colorado | 1,181 | -17 |
| St. Francis County, Arkansas | 1,710 | -17 |
| Franklin County, New York | 3,702 | -12 |
| Mora County, New Mexico | 6,449 | -12 |
| Brooks County, Texas | 10,210 | -12 |
| Duval County, Texas | 4,472 | -10 |
| Conejos County, Colorado | 4,376 | -8 |
| Prowers County, Colorado | 3,186 | -8 |
| Crosby County, Texas | 6,214 | -8 |
| Colfax County, New Mexico | 2,294 | -7 |
| Costilla County, Colorado | 21,805 | -7 |
| San Miguel County, New Mexico | 3,548 | -7 |
| Guadalupe County, New Mexico | 3,345 | -6 |
| Floyd County, Texas | 2,753 | -6 |
| Lynn County, Texas | 28,461 | -5 |
| Rio Arriba County, New Mexico | 8,526 | -3 |
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[^0]:    Note: The Great Recession began in December 2007 and ended in June 2009. Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates. "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

[^1]:    Note: The Great Recession began in
    December 2007 and ended in June 2009. Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates. "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Durand, Telles and Flashman 2006 and Suro and Singer 2002.

[^3]:    ${ }^{2}$ County estimates used throughout this report are based on the Latino population in 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Latinos in 2014, according to the U.S. Census Bureau population estimates vintage 2014, unless otherwise noted. These counties contain $99 \%$ of the nation's Latino population.
    ${ }^{3}$ Population growth in some parts of North Dakota (and in South Dakota) has slowed since 2014 as energy production there has cooled. U.S. Hispanic population estimates for 2015 indicate that, among counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2015, the Hispanic population continued to grow at the fastest rates since 2007 in Williams County (520\%) and Stark County, North Dakota (417\%). Ward County and Burleigh County, North Dakota, also remain in the top 10 fastest-growing counties between 2007 and 2015.

[^4]:    Note: Fast-growing Latino counties include counties where the Latino growth rate from 2007 to 2014 was greater than the median growth rate in this period for counties with at least 1,000 Latinos in 2014 (approximately 27\%).
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^5]:    ${ }^{4}$ The Great Recession began in December 2007 and lasted until June 2009, according to the National Bureau of Economic Research's Business Cycle Dating Committee, which defines national recessions.

[^6]:    5 The median total population for these counties with 1,000-9,999 Hispanics in 1990 exceeded the median county population size of about 22,000 people. For example, the three largest counties with $1,000-9,999$ Hispanics had populations of at least 900,000 . They were Allegheny County, Pennsylvania (with 1.3 million residents); St. Louis County, Missouri (994,000); and Franklin County, Ohio (961,000).

[^7]:    Note: The dissimilarity index measures how many Hispanics or non-Hispanics would need to move to have the same proportion in each U.S. county as the proportion of Hispanics in the nation as a whole. The Great Recession began in December 2007 and ended in June 2009.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates. "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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[^8]:    ${ }^{7}$ Change is calculated prior to rounding.

[^9]:    Note: Fast-growing Hispanic counties include counties where the Hispanic growth rate from 2007 to 2014 was greater than the median growth rate in this period for counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 (approximately 27\%).
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^10]:    Note: Counties shown had the top 10 fastest growth rates for the Hispanic population between 2007 and 2014 or 2000 and 2007. Counties for the 2007 to 2014 time period are based on 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. Counties for the 2000 to 2007 period are based on 1,443 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2007. Stewart County, Georgia, has an immigration detention center run by the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE), and Adams County, Mississippi, has a federal correctional institution that houses many undocumented immigrants. Foreign-born Hispanics account for the vast majority of Hispanics in each county ( $96 \%$ in Stewart County and $90 \%$ in Adams County). It is likely that these facilities are contributing to the rapid growth of the Hispanic populations in both of these counties.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^11]:    ${ }^{8}$ Stewart County, Georgia, has an immigration detention center run by the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) that opened in the early 2000s. Given that Stewart County's Hispanic population is $96 \%$ foreign born, it is likely that this facility has contributed to the rapid growth of the county's Hispanic population.

[^12]:    Note: Hispanic population and shares for each year are based on counties that were fast-growing, slow-growing or negative-growth from 2007 to 2014. Fast-growing Hispanic counties include counties where the Hispanic growth rate from 2007 to 2014 was greater than the median growth rate in this period for counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 (approximately 27\%). See terminology for more details. Total Hispanic population figures are based on all counties, while figures for fast-growing, slow-growing and negative-growth counties are only based on 1,579 counties with 1,000 or more Hispanics in 2014. Therefore, figures for fast-growing, slow-growing and negative-growth counties will not sum to the total Hispanic population. Hispanic population figures rounded to nearest thousand.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates and the 1990 decennial census (SF-1). "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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[^13]:    ${ }^{9}$ In this section, the figures reported for each year are based on the share of Hispanics living within counties with fast-growing or slow-growing Hispanic populations from 2007 to 2014.

[^14]:    Note: Top five of the 789 fastest-growing counties with the highest share of foreign-born Hispanics shown; see terminology for more details. Stewart County, Georgia has an immigration detention center run by the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and Adams County, Mississippi has a federal correctional institution that houses many undocumented immigrants. It is likely that these facilities are contributing to the large share of foreign-born Hispanics in these counties and the rapid growth of these counties' Hispanic populations.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-year estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

[^15]:    ${ }^{10}$ Stewart County, Georgia, has an immigration detention center run by the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and Adams County, Mississippi, has a federal correctional institution that houses many undocumented immigrants. It is likely that these facilities are contributing to the large share of foreign-born Hispanics in these counties and the rapid growth of these counties' Hispanic populations.

[^16]:    Note: Top five of the 789 fastest-growing counties with the highest share of Hispanics ages 5 and older who speak only English at home shown; see terminology for more details. Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2010-2014 American Community Survey 5-year estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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[^17]:    Note: Nationally, the growth of the Hispanic population accounted for $54 \%$ of the total U.S. population growth from 2000 to 2014 . Total population decline includes counties where the total population declined from 2000 to 2014, but the Hispanic population may have increased or decreased.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulation of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^18]:    11 The analysis in this chapter is based on counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 and counties where the total population increased from 2000 to 2014. From 2000 to 2014, among counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014, there were 308 counties where the total population declined. These counties were not included in this analysis of where Hispanics have driven population growth during this time period.
    ${ }^{12}$ From 2000 to 2007 and 2007 to 2014, the Hispanic share of national population growth was roughly similar (55\% and $52 \%$, respectively). Between 2000 and 2007, there were 429 counties (with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2007) where the Hispanic share of population growth was on par with or exceeded the Hispanic share of national population growth. Between 2007 and 2014, there were 525 counties that did so, among those with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014. There were 266 counties that exceeded the national share in both time periods. See Appendix C for a detailed table showing the top 20 counties for the Hispanic share of county population growth for both time periods.
    ${ }^{13}$ Southwest border states are Texas, New Mexico, Arizona and California.

[^19]:    ${ }^{14}$ New Mexico had 994,000 Latinos in 2014, which rounded up to 1.0 million in the chart.

[^20]:    Note: Top 10 states for Latino population growth from 2007 to 2014 shown.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2007 and 2014 American Community Surveys (IPUMS).
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

[^21]:    Note: Hispanics of Dominican origin make up less than $0.5 \%$ of the Hispanic population and Hispanics of Salvadoran origin make up about 1\% of the Hispanic population in the Los Angeles and Houston areas.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2014 American Community Survey (IPUMS).
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^22]:    ${ }^{15}$ Southwest border states are Texas, New Mexico, Arizona and California.

[^23]:    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of the 2010 decennial census P.L. 94-171 summary files.

[^24]:    Note: Fast-growing Hispanic counties include counties where the Hispanic growth rate from 1990 to 2000 was greater than or equal to $90 \%$ for counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2000. The median growth rate for this period was $83 \%$.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
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[^25]:    Note: Fast-growing Hispanic counties include counties where the Hispanic growth rate from 2000 to 2007 was greater than the median growth rate in this period for counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2008 (53.11\%).
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulation of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    pew research center

[^26]:    Note: Based on 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^27]:    Note: Based on 1,443 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2007. Stewart County, Georgia, for example, has an immigration detention center run by the Department of Homeland Security's Immigration and Customs Enforcement (ICE) and Adams County, Mississippi, has a federal correctional institution that houses many undocumented immigrants. Foreign-born Hispanics account for the vast majority of Hispanics in each county ( $96 \%$ in Stewart County and $90 \%$ in Adams County). It is likely that these facilities are contributing to the rapid growth of the Hispanic populations in these counties.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"
    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^28]:    Note: In all of these counties the share of Hispanic population growth exceeds 100\% because the non-Hispanic population in these counties declined, but the total population and Hispanic population increased. Counties for 2007 to 2014 time period are based on 1,579 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2014 and where total population increased. Counties for the 2000 to 2007 time period are based on 1,443 counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2007 and where total population increased.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

[^29]:    Note: Counties that experienced Hispanic population loss from 2000 to 2007 and 2007 to 2014, ranked by percent decline from 2000 to 2014. Based on counties with at least 1,000 Hispanics in 2007 and 2014.
    Source: Pew Research Center tabulations of U.S. Census Bureau population estimates.
    "U.S. Latino Population Growth and Dispersion Has Slowed Since Onset of the Great Recession"

